Guideline



Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Management of Primary Biliary Cholangitis (2021)



Hong You^{1#}, Weijia Duan^{1#}, Shuxiang Li¹, Tingting Lv¹, Sha Chen¹, Lungen Lu², Xiong Ma³, Ying Han⁴, Yuemin Nan⁵, Xiaoyuan Xu^{6*}, Zhongping Duan⁷, Lai Wei⁸, Jidong Jia^{1*}, Hui Zhuang⁹ and Chinese Society of Hepatology, Chinese Medical Association

¹Liver Research Center, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University & National Clinical Research Center for Digestive Diseases, Beijing, China; ²Department of Gastroenterology, First People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; ³Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China; ⁴Department of Gastroenterology, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China; ⁵Department of Traditional and Western Medical Hepatology, The Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China; ⁶Department of Infectious Diseases and Center for Liver Diseases, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China; ⁷Artificial Liver Center, Beijing You-An Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; ⁸Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Center, Tsinghua Changgung Hospital, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China; ⁹Department of Microbiology and Infectious Disease Center, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, China

Received: 22 July 2022 | Revised: 2 November 2022 | Accepted: 20 November 2022 | Published: 10 February 2023

Abstract

In 2015, the Chinese Society of Hepatology and the Chinese Society of Gastroenterology published a consensus on primary biliary cholangitis (PBC). In the past years, numerous clinical studies have been published in the field of PBC. To guide the clinical diagnosis and management of PBC patients, the Chinese Society of Hepatology invited a panel of experts to assess the new clinical evidence and formulate the current guidelines.

Citation of this article: You H, Duan W, Li S, Lv T, Chen S, Lu L, *et al.* Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Management of Primary Biliary Cholangitis (2021). J Clin Transl Hepatol 2023. doi: 10.14218/JCTH.2022.00347.

Introduction

PBC, formerly known as primary biliary cirrhosis, is an autoimmune intrahepatic cholestatic disease. The etiology and

Keywords: Primary biliary cholangitis; Guidance; Diagnosis; Management; Chinese

Abbreviations: AIH, autoimmune hepatitis; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AMA, antimitochondrial antibodies; ANAs, Antinuclear antibodies; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, glutamyl transpeptidase; GRADE, Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation; IgM, increased immunoglobulin M; OCA, Obeticholic acid; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; TBIL, total bilirubin; UDCA, ursodeoxycholic acids; ULN, upper limit of norman. #Contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence to: Jidong Jia, Liver Research Center, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, 95 Yong-an Road, Beijing 100050, China. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4673-8890. Tel: +86-10-63139816, Fax: +86-10-631392146, E-mail: jia_jd@ccmu.edu.cn; Xiaoyuan Xu, Department of Infectious Diseases, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing 100034, China. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1759-4330. Tel/Fax: +86-10-83575787, E-mail: xiaoyuanxu@bjmu.edu.cn

pathogenesis have not been fully elucidated, but may involve complex interactions between genetic predisposition and environmental triggers that lead to immune-mediated injury of biliary epithelial cells. PBC predominantly affects middle-aged women. Fatigue and pruritus are the most common symptoms. Laboratory characteristics include the elevation of serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), positive antimitochondrial antibodies (AMA), and increased immunoglobulin M (IgM). Histologic evidence includes non-suppurative destructive interlobular cholangitis. Ursodeoxycholic acids (UDCA) are the treatment of choice for PBC. ²

In 2015, the Chinese Society of Hepatology and the Chinese Society of Gastroenterology published a consensus on the diagnosis and management of PBC. In the past years, additional clinical evidence has been reported in the field of PBC. To guide the clinical diagnosis and management of patients with PBC, the Chinese Society of Hepatology invited a panel of experts to assess the new clinical evidence and formulate the current guidelines. The recommendations follow the Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation (GRADE) system (Table 1).

Epidemiology

All races are affected, with a variable frequency worldwide. A recent meta-analysis reported an increasing incidence and prevalence of PBC, with North America being the highest, followed by Europe. Annual incidence and prevalence of PBC were estimated to be 0.23–5.31/100,000 and 1.91–40.2/100,000, respectively.³ Population-based epidemiological data on PBC are lacking in China. A recent meta-analysis estimated the prevalence of PBC in China to be 20.5/100,000, the second-highest in the Asia-Pacific region after Japan.⁴ Environmental factors, including exposure to toxins or chemicals, ⁵ poor environmental hygiene in child-

Table 1. Grading evidence and recommendations

Grade of evidence

- A High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.
- B Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.
- C Low or very low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Grade of recommendation

- 1 Strong recommendation: Factors influencing the strength of the recommendation included the quality of the evidence, presumed patient-important outcomes and cost.
- Weaker recommendation: Variability in preferences and values, or more uncertainty. Recommendation is made with less certainty, higher cost or resource consumption.

hood, 6 urinary infections, 7 and $smoking^8$ are potential risk factors for the development of PBC.

Natural history

In the pre-UDCA era, the natural history of PBC was divided into four phases.⁹ (1) The preclinical stage included only AMA positivity. (2) The asymptomatic stage included elevated liver enzymes without clinical symptoms. (3) The symptomatic stage included symptoms of fatigue or pruritus. (4) The liver insufficiency stage included progressive jaundice, hepatic encephalopathy, and liver failure. Early diagnosis and UDCA treatment have significantly altered the natural history of PBC. Patients with biochemical responses to UDCA have a survival similar to the matched control population.¹⁰ However, transplant-free survival of PBC patients with a suboptimal response to UDCA is significantly lower than that of healthy controls, although it is still higher than that of untreated PBC patients.¹¹

Clinical manifestations

The early stages of PBC are generally asymptomatic. ¹² About one-third of patients remain asymptomatic for many years, and some gradually develop symptoms including fatigue and pruritus. ¹³ Most untreated patients and patients with poor responses to treatment develop cholestasis and cirrhosis-related complications. PBC patients often have concomitant extrahepatic autoimmune (EHA) diseases, including Sjögren's syndrome (3.5–73%), systemic sclerosis (1.4–12.3%), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and autoimmune thyroid disease. ¹⁴ A recent study found concomitant EHA diseases did not compromise the long-term outcomes of PBC patients. ¹⁵

Laboratory, imaging, and histology studies

Liver biochemical tests

Most PBC patients have significantly increased ALP and/or GGT, mildly to moderately elevated aminotransferase (alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST)) and increased immunoglobulins (mainly IgM). As the disease progresses, serum bilirubin (mainly direct bilirubin) gradually increases and serum albumin gradually decreases.

Autoantibodies

AMA

Serum AMA is a specific marker for the diagnosis of PBC,

especially the AMA-M2 subtype. There are three common methods for detecting AMA, indirect immunofluorescence (IIF), immunoblotting, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. IIF is the preferred method for routine screening for AMA. However, each method has advantages and disadvantages. ¹⁶ The sensitivity and specificity of AMA for the diagnosis of PBC are 90% and 95%. ¹⁷ However, positive AMA can also be found in various intrahepatic and extrahepatic diseases, such as autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), chronic hepatitis C, acute liver failure caused by various etiologies, systemic lupus erythematosus, Sjögren's syndrome, and chronic bacterial infection, or even healthy people. ¹⁸

Antinuclear antibodies (ANAs)

ANAs are also important diagnostic markers for PBC, and are present in approximately 50% of PBC patients. ANAs have unique immunofluorescence patterns such as nuclear dots or a nuclear ring-like pattern. PBC-specific nuclear antigens include a 210 kDa glycoprotein of the nuclear pore membrane (gp210), nuclear body speckled 100 kDa (sp100), and nucleoporin p62. A meta-analysis found that anti-gp210 and anti-sp100 had low sensitivity (23% and 25%, respectively), but high specificity (99% and 97%, respectively) for AMA-negative PBC patients. Furthermore, the simultaneous positivity of both anti-sp100 and anti-gp210 had a 100% positive predictive value for PBC in a large study. Anti-sp100 and anti-gp210 positivity was also reported to be associated with more advanced disease and worse outcomes.

Imaging examinations

As biliary lesions are restricted to small intrahepatic ducts, imaging findings are usually normal in PBC patients. Imaging examinations are mainly used to exclude biliary obstruction and tumors. Ultrasonography is recommended as an initial diagnostic step for patients with cholestasis. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) are indicated for patients who are both AMA and PBC-specific ANAs negative, but with a rapid elevation of serum bilirubin, and suspicion of bile duct stricture or dilation on ultrasonography.

Transient elastography (TE) or magnetic resonance elastography is a reliable noninvasive means for assessing fibrosis and can be used to evaluate PBC staging. ²² A recent large international, retrospective study found that liver stiffness measurement by TE was an independent predictor of PBC with poor outcomes and could be a useful surrogate endpoint in PBC trials. ²³

Table 2. Histologic staging of PBC by the Ludwig system

Stage	Histological features	
Stage I	Portal inflammation with bile duct damage with or without florid duct lesion	
Stage II	Periportal inflammation, ductular reaction (periportal fibrosis often present)	
Stage III	Bridging fibrosis (ductopenia usually present)	
Stage IV	Biliary cirrhosis with regenerative nodules	

PBC, primary biliary cholangitis.

Histological features

The histological feature of PBC is chronic, non-suppurative cholangitis that mainly affects interlobular and septal bile ducts. Lymphocyte infiltration and granuloma formation around the bile duct, known as florid duct lesion, is characteristic of PBC.²⁴ Duct paucity or ductopenia is usually defined as less than 50% of portal tracts containing bile ducts. Histologic lesions are classically divided into four stages by Ludwig's system (Table 2).

Diagnosis and differential diagnosis

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of PBC is based on a comprehensive assessment of clinical features, laboratory tests, imaging examinations, and histological findings. The diagnosis of PBC is based on the presence of two of the three criteria: (1) biochemical evidence of cholestasis (ALP and GGT elevation), and exclusion of extrahepatic cholestasis by imaging examinations; (2) presence of AMA/AMA-M2 or other PBC-specific autoantibodies (such as anti-spl00 or anti-gp210); and (3) histologic evidence of non-suppurative destructive cholangitis and destruction of the interlobular bile ducts.

Differential diagnosis

The differential diagnosis of PBC includes extrahepatic or intrahepatic cholestasis with various etiologies. Extrahepatic or intrahepatic biliary obstruction by stones, inflammatory stenosis, or tumors can be diagnosed by ultrasonography, CT, MRI, and other imaging modalities. The differential diagnosis of intrahepatic cholestasis requires detailed history taking and careful physical examination. If laboratory and imaging examinations are not diagnostic, then a liver biopsy is necessary. Disorders involving hepatocytes (such as alcoholic liver disease, and drug-induced liver injury), bile ducts (such as small-duct primary sclerosing cholangitis, IgG4-related cholangitis, or idiopathic adulthood ductopenia), intrahepatic vessels (such as sinusoidal obstruction syndrome, or Budd-Chiari syndrome), storage or infiltrative liver diseases (such as sarcoidosis, Langerhans cell histiocytosis, or hepatic amyloidosis) are all needed to distinguish PBC.

Recommendations

- 1. In patients with unexplained elevation of ALP and/or GGT, testing for AMA and/or AMA-M2 is recommended, and anti-sp100 or anti-gp210 should be tested, if negative for AMA or AMA-M2. (A1)
- 2. Liver biopsy is not required for the diagnosis of PBC in patients with cholestasis and PBC-specific autoantibodies (AMA, AMA-M2, anti-sp100, and anti-gp210), but histologic staging can provide prognostic information. (A1)
- Liver biopsy is necessary for (1) patients with intrahepatic cholestasis but negative for PBC-specific autoantibodies; (2) PBC patients with unexplained elevation of

- transaminases AST or ALT \geq 5 times the upper limit of norman (ULN), or with features of other liver diseases (such as AIH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis or DILI); and (3) PBC patients with suboptimal biochemical response to UDCA. (C1)
- 4. The diagnosis of PBC is based on at least two of the following: (1) Elevation of ALP and GGT with the exclusion of extrahepatic cholestasis; (2) Presence of AMA/AMA-M2, or other PBC-specific autoantibodies such as anti-sp100 and anti-gp210 if AMA/AMA-M2 is negative; (3) Histological evidence of non-suppurative destructive cholangitis and interlobular bile ducts destruction. (A1)

Treatment of PBC

First-line therapy

UDCA is the first-line therapy for PBC. Several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have demonstrated that UDCA (13-15 mg/kg/d) significantly improved liver biochemistry, delayed the progression of the disease to death, and reduced the need for transplantation. 11,25 A low dose of UDCA (≤ 10 mg/kg/d) had inadequate efficacy. High-dose UDCA (28-30 mg/kg/d) did not have more benefits and was associated with serious adverse reactions, as demonstrated in primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) patients.²⁶ UDCA at a dose of 13-15 mg/kg/d is recommended by all the major practice guidelines of PBC. UDCA should be continued indefinitely and can be given one, two, or three times per day, as per the patient's choice. In addition, it is necessary to monitor the change in body weight and adjust the UDCA dose accordingly. Cholestyramine may interfere with the absorption of UDCA, therefore, they should be taken 4-6 hours apart. UDCA is well tolerated. The side effects are limited to diarrhea, abdominal distension, weight gain, and aggravation of pruritus, which usually does not need UDCA withdrawal. Very few patients are intolerant or allergic to UDCA.

Second-line therapy

Patients with suboptimal response to UDCA are at risk of disease progression, so a second-line treatment should be considered. Biochemical response to UDCA is usually assessed after 1 year of treatment in most criteria, but some studies have shown that the biochemical response at 6 months has similar predictability to that at 12 months.²⁷ Several biochemical response criteria have been established for PBC (Table 3).²⁸ Paris I²⁹ and Paris II³⁰ criteria are widely used for patients with advanced PBC (stage III-IV) and early PBC (stage I-II), respectively. In the clinical trial of new agents for PBC, ALP ≥1.67ULN is an important criterion for patient enrollment.31,32 GLOBE score and UK-PBC score are also suitable for assessing response to treatment. 33 For patients with an insufficient response to UDCA, adding a second-line therapy such as obeticholic acid, fibrates, and budesonide can be considered.

Obeticholic acid (OCA): OCA is the only second-line therapy approved by the US Food and Drug Administration

Table 3. Criteria for evaluation of response to UDCA therapy in patients with PBC

Response criteria	Time (months)	Definition of response
Barcelona	12	>40% decrease or normalization of ALP
Mayo	6	ALP < 2 × ULN
Paris I	12	ALP \leq 3.0 \times ULN and AST \leq 2.0 \times ULN and normalization of bilirubin
Paris II	12	ALP and AST $\leq 1.5 \times ULN$ and normalization of bilirubin
Toronto	24	$ALP < 1.67 \times ULN$
Rotterdam	12	Normalization of abnormal bilirubin and/or albumin
UK-PBC score	12	Baseline albumin and platelet count, ALP, bilirubin and AST (or ALT) at 12 months
GLOBE score	12	Age at diagnosis. ALP, bilirubin, albumin and platelet count at 12 month

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ULN upper limit of normal; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis; UDCA, urso-deoxycholic acids.

(FDA). As a semisynthetic hydrophobic bile acid analog that is highly selective for farnesol X receptor (FXR), OCA inhibits the expression of genes for rate-limiting enzymes for bile acid synthesis, thereby regulating the metabolism of bile acids and affecting inflammation, and liver fibrosis.34 Several phase II and phase III clinical trials have demonstrated that adding or switching to OCA (10 mg or 5-10 mg dose titration) significantly improved serum ALP and total bilirubin (TBIL) levels in patients with a suboptimal biochemical response or intolerant to UDCA.³¹ An open-label extension study³⁵ and a randomized, double-blind phase III clinical trial also reported that OCA significantly reduced ALP, TBIL, direct bilirubin, GLOBE score, and UK-PBC score in PBC patients with UDCA intolerance or poor response.36 A subanalysis of data from a phase III clinical trial found 3 years of OCA treatment in PBC patients (n=17) was associated with improvement or stabilization of fibrosis and ductular injury.37

OCA is generally well tolerated, with pruritus (77%) and fatigue (33%) being the most common side effects.³⁵ The incidence and severity of pruritus were dose-dependent.³⁸ OCA treatment results in a reduction of high-density cholesterol, but whether that increases the risk of cardiovascular events is unclear.^{31,38} Another safety concern is that OCA can cause serious liver decompensation events.³⁹ Therefore, the FDA issued a new warning regarding OCA use in patients with advanced liver cirrhosis (e.g. decompensation events such as hepatic encephalopathy, ascites, esophageal and gastric varices, or persistent thrombocytopenia).⁴⁰ Thus, the use of OCA in patients with decompensated cirrhosis is not recommended. In addition, clinicians should be cautious about using OCA even in patients with well-compensated cirrhosis.

Fibrates: Fibrates, including fenofibrate and bezafibrate, regulate bile acid synthesis by activating the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor pathway. A recent meta-analysis showed that the combination therapy of UDCA and fenofibrate was superior to UDCA monotherapy in reducing ALP, GGT, IgM, and triglyceride, but not pruritus.⁴¹ Bezafibrate improved the liver chemistries of patients with a suboptimal response to UDCA. A recent phase III trial confirmed that patients on a combination of UDCA and bezafibrate had substantial remission in ALP and other biochemical markers.⁴² Furthermore, an RCT showed bezafibrate led to a ≥ 50% reduction of severe or moderate pruritus in 45% of patients compared with 11 % of the those in the placebo group. Bezafibrate also reduced the intensity of pruritus in the morning and evening and improved responses to the validated 5D-Itch Questionnaire. 43 In addition, a large retrospective cohort study in Japan found that bezafibrate significantly reduced all-cause and liver-related mortality or liver transplantation rates in PBC patients with suboptimal responses to UDCA.⁴⁴

Fibrates appear to be safe and well tolerated in PBC patients. The most commonly reported side effects were gastrointestinal and musculoskeletal abnormalities. In addition, the use of fibrates can lead to the elevation of transaminases and serum creatinine. ⁴⁵ A single-center study reported that both fenofibrate and bezafibrate induced significant biochemical improvement, but that the former could better reduce the low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and uric acid. ⁴⁶ However, fenofibrate treatment was associated higher rates of side effects and withdrawal events than bezafibrate. ⁴⁷

Budesonide: Budesonide is a second-generation glucocorticoid with high first-pass elimination in the liver and with relatively few systemic side effects. Budesonide regulates bile acid synthesis, transport, and metabolism through the glucocorticoid receptor/pregnane X receptor pathway. Two multicenter prospective RCTs showed that combination therapy of budesonide (6-9 mg/d) and UDCA (15 mg/kg/d) was superior to UDCA monotherapy in improving the liver chemistries and histological progress.⁴⁸ Another placebocontrolled, double-blind trial found that budesonide (9 mg/d) combined with UDCA (12-16 mg/kg/d) was associated with improved biochemical markers, but not liver histology.31 Therefore, further studies are warranted to explore the effect of budesonide on improving mortality and liver transplantation in PBC patients. In advanced PBC patients, the plasma concentration of budesonide increases significantly, and serious adverse events such as portal vein thrombosis may occur. Therefore, budesonide is not recommended for patients with cirrhosis or portal hypertension.⁴⁹

Liver transplantation: Indications for liver transplantation for PBC patients include decompensated cirrhosis (e.g. ascites, variceal hemorrhage, and hepatic encephalopathy), a Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) score >15, or a Mayo risk score of PBC of at least 7.8.⁵⁰ Intractable severe pruritus is an additional indication for liver transplantation specific to PBC patients.

The outcome of liver transplantation for patients with PBC is generally good, but the recurrence of PBC exists, which is associated with graft loss. The incidence of recurrent PBC (rPBC) after a liver transplant is 22% at 5 years, 21–37% at 10 years, and 40% at 15 years. Clinical and biochemical features are often absent, and AMA alone cannot be used for the diagnosis of rPBC since it could be persistently positive in both patients with or without rPBC. Therefore, the diagnosis of rPBC depends on the histological features, including granulomatous cholangitis and/or florid duct lesions. Section 22.

factors of PBC recurrence include younger age at liver transplantation, use of tacrolimus, and occurrence of cholestasis. The association between the immunosuppressive regimen and recurrent PBC remains controversial. Some studies found tacrolimus was associated with an increased risk of rPBC when compared with cyclosporine. In contrast, one study suggested that tacrolimus and cyclosporine had no significant influence on the rate of rPBC. Meanwhile, tacrolimus showed fewer side effects than that cyclosporine. Studies showed that the conventional use of UDCA after liver transplant could effectively decrease the rate of rPBC.

Recommendations

- 5. UDCA at 13–15 mg/kg/d for life-long is a standard therapy for all PBC patients, which can be taken in single or divided doses. It is necessary to monitor the change in body weight and adjust the dose of UDCA in time. (A1)
- 6. Biochemical response to UDCA should be assessed 6–12 months after treatment initiation. Paris II criteria are suitable for patients with early-stage (I-II) PBC with ALP and AST ≤1.5 times the ULN, normalization of TBIL after 1 year of UDCA treatment. Paris I criteria are suitable for advanced stage (III-IV) PBC with ALP ≤3 times the ULN, AST ≤2 times the ULN, normalization of TBIL after 1 year of UDCA treatment. (B2)
- 7. OCA at a dose of 5-10 mg/d is recommended for patients with suboptimal biochemical response to UDCA. OCA should not be used in patients with current or previous evidence of decompensation (e.g, ascites, encephalopathy, gastroesophageal varices bleeding), abnormal coagulation function, and persistent thrombocytopenia. Patients with compensated cirrhosis need to be closely monitored during the use of OCA. (A1)
- Bezafibrate (400 mg/d) or fenofibrate (200 mg/d) are off-label therapies for patients with a suboptimal biochemical response to UDCA. Fibrates are contraindicated for patients with decompensated cirrhosis. It is necessary to monitor drug-induced liver injury (especially the elevation of bilirubin) and other related side effects during fibrate therapy. (B1)
- 9. Decompensated PBC patients with MELD score >15 or Mayo score >7.8, or patients with severe intractable pruritus, should be evaluated for liver transplantation. (C1)
- 10. UDCA is recommended for post-transplant patients to prevent and reduce the recurrence of PBC. (A1)
- The available data are not sufficient to recommend the best immunosuppressive drugs and regimens for liver transplantation patients. (C2)

Treatment of symptoms and comorbidities

Fatigue

Fatigue is the most common symptom of PBC. It is necessary to exclude the alternate causes of fatigue (such as anemia, thyroid disorder, sleep disorders, and depression), and provide appropriate treatment. To date, there is no effective therapy for fatigue caused by PBC. A meta-analysis has shown that UDCA, OCA, fluoxetine, colchicine, methotrexate, and cyclosporine did not improve fatigue. A prospective study found that liver transplantation was associated with an improvement in the fatigue score of PBC patients. Whether modafinil improves fatigue in PBC patients is still under debate. Second

Recommendations

12. No specific therapeutic agent is recommended for fatigue in PBC patients. Other factors associated with fa-

tigue such as anemia, extrahepatic autoimmune diseases, sleep disorders, and depression should be evaluated and treated as appropriate. (C1)

Pruritus

About 70% of PBC patients suffer from pruritus, which decreases the quality of life.57 Cholestyramine, rifampicin, and opioid receptor blockers are the main drugs used to relieve pruritus. Intractable pruritus is also a specific indication for liver transplantation. Some studies have suggested that bezafibrate may help alleviate pruritus in PBC patients.⁴³ Cholestyramine, a bile acid chelator, is the first-line therapy for pruritus. The recommended dose is 4–16 g per day,⁵⁸ given 4–6 h apart from other medications to avoid inhibiting their absorption. Cholestyramine is not well tolerated and the side effects such as nausea, abdominal distension, and constipation often occur. Rifampicin is the second-line therapy for those who are ineffective or intolerant to cholestyramine. A Meta-analysis found that rifampicin effectively alleviated the pruritus caused by cholestasis.⁵⁹ The recommended dose is 150-300 mg twice a day. However, rifampicin can cause severe liver injury, hemolytic anemia, renal injury, and interaction with other medications.⁶⁰ So, it is necessary to start with a low dose (100–300 mg/d) and closely monitor the side effects.

Opioid antagonists are also effective for pruritus, but their withdrawal-like reactions limit their use. Two RCTs and follow-up studies have shown that intravenous or oral naloxone is effective for intractable pruritus, ⁶¹ which needs to start with a low dose and titrate to the appropriate dose to reduce adverse reactions. Nafuranfen hydrochloride is a selective opioid receptor agonist approved in Japan to treat intractable pruritus in PBC patients. ⁶¹ Drugs that antagonize the effects of serotonin, such as ondansetron and sertraline, are also used to treat pruritus. Sertraline and rifampicin are equally effective in improving pruritus, but sertraline is safer because of its lower liver toxicity. ⁶⁰ In addition, many new agents targeting ileal bile acid transporters and reducing enterohepatic circulation, like linerixibat, are effective for cholestasis-associated pruritus. ⁶²

Recommendations

- 13. Cholestyramine (4–16 g/d) is the first-line therapy for pruritus. It should be taken 4–6 h apart from other medications, especially UDCA, to avoid affecting the absorption of other medicines. (B2)
- 14. Rifampicin (100-300 mg/day) is recommended for those who do not respond or are intolerant to cholestyramine. Liver biochemical tests are needed to routinely monitor drug-induced liver injury. (C2)

Dry eyes and dry mouth

Artificial tears are preferred for patients with dry eyes. Cyclosporine or lifitegrast is suitable for those for whom artificial tears alone are ineffective. 63 For patients with dry mouth and dysphagia, it is recommended to try over-the-counter saliva substitutes such as moisturizing mouthwashes and mouth spray. If the symptoms worsen, cholinergic agents such as pilocarpine or cevimeline are recommended to increase saliva secretion. RCTs have confirmed that cholinergic agents alleviate the symptoms of dry mouth and dry eyes but may have side effects such as nausea, sweating, flushing, frequent urination, dizziness, or diarrhea. 64

Recommendations

15. Artificial tears are the treatment of choice for patients with

dry eyes. Those with poor responses to artificial tears can try pilocarpine or cevimeline. Cyclosporine or lifitegrast can be used for those being refractory to other agents. (C1)

16. Patients with dry mouth and dysphagia can be treated with over-the-counter saliva substitutes; pilocarpine or cevimeline can be used to increase saliva secretion in patients with moderate to severe symptoms. (C1)

Osteoporosis

Metabolic bone disease is a common complication in PBC patients, including osteopenia and osteoporosis. Osteoporosis occurs in about 20-45% of patients with PBC and is more common in liver transplant and postmenopausal patients. 65,66 Bisphosphonates, vitamin D, and calcium can be used to treat osteoporosis in patients with PBC, with particular care in patients with femur T-scores lower than -1.5.66,67The efficacy of bisphosphonates in PBC patients remains controversial.⁶⁸ A meta-analysis found that first-generation bisphosphonates did not reduce fracture incidence in patients with PBC.69 However, a recent RCT showed that third-generation bisphosphonates (e.g. alendronate 70 mg/week or ibandronate 150 mg/month) significantly increased lumbar bone mineral density and were safe in patients with PBC.70 As bisphosphonates may cause side effects such as variceal bleeding, gastroesophageal reaction, and atrial fibrillation, they should be used with caution in patients with esophageal varices. Bleeding risk should be monitored.

Vitamin D deficiency is common in PBC patients. 66,71 EASL nutritional guidelines recommend oral supplement vitamin D in cirrhotic patients with vitamin D levels of <20 ng/mL, to reach serum vitamin D (25-hydroxyvitamin D) >30 ng/ml. 72 For patients over 50 years of age, a daily dietary intake of $^{800-1,000}$ mg is recommended. For patients with osteoporosis, supplementation with $^{500-1,200}$ mg of calcium and $^{400-800}$ IU vitamin D per day is recommended. In addition, vitamin D is recommended at a dose of $^{800-1,200}$ IU/d to prevent osteoporosis. 73 A 3 -year study found significant attenuation in the loss of bone mineral density in PBC patients treated with vitamin D, calcium, and calcitonin. 74

Recommendations

- 17. All PBC patients, especially postmenopausal women, should be monitored because of osteoporosis risk. (C2)
- 18. For PBC patients without a history of kidney stones, 800–1,200 mg of calcium and 800–1,000 IU of vitamin D should be taken daily in the diet or supplements to prevent or treat osteoporosis. (C2)
- 19. Patients with osteoporosis can be treated with bisphosphonates (e.g. alendronate 70 mg/week, ibandronate 150 mg/month, or other similar agents). However, they should be used with caution in patients with esophageal varices, and they should be monitored because of the risk of bleeding. (C2)

Special considerations

AMA-negative PBC

Generally, 5–10% of PBC patients are AMA-negative, ^{16,17} but a higher rate of AMA-negative PBC patients (about 15%) has been reported in China. ⁷⁵ Most studies demonstrated that AMA-negative and AMA-positive PBC patients had similar clinical manifestations, pathological features, natural history, and prognosis. ^{75,76} However, AMA-negative PBC had worse scores in itch and social/emotional domains of questionnaires. ⁷⁷ They were also more likely to have concomitant extrahepatic autoimmune diseases; ⁷⁸ lower IgM levels and

higher positive rates of PBC-specific ANA antibodies (antigp210 and anti-sp100). ^{76,78-80} Histologically, AMA-negative patients had more severe bile duct damage around the portal areas. ⁸¹ In addition, liver-related complication-free survival was significantly lower in AMA-negative PBC patients. ⁸⁰ Therefore, timely liver biopsies are recommended for cholestatic patients with unknown causes and negative PBC antibodies (AMA, anti-gp210, and anti-sp100) to confirm the diagnosis and avoid delayed treatment.

Preclinical PBC or AMA positivity alone

Preclinical PBC refers to patients with positive AMA, normal serum cholestatic markers (ALP, GGT), and no histological evidence of PBC but eventually developed PBC during follow-up. A recent single-center study in China found that up to 80% of patients with positive AMA and normal ALP were histologically diagnosed with PBC,82 similar to a multicenter study in Switzerland, 83 Higher AMA titers, elevated IgM, and ALP approaching the upper limit of normal (ULN) were predictors of the histological findings of PBC.82,83 Furthermore, although ALP was normal in these two studies, most patients had an elevated GGT, which may explain the high rates of PBC development. A prospective, multicenter study in France found a 5-year PBC incidence of 16% in a cohort of positive AMA and normal ALP.84 In line with this, a recent single-center Austrian study reported that only six of 59 patients with AMA positivity alone progressed to PBC after a mean followup of 5.8 years.⁸⁵ An earlier study followed 26 AMA-positive first-degree relatives of PBC patients. The relatives had normal ALP values for up to 8.9 years, and only one developed PBC.86 All these studies showed that among patients with positive AMA but normal ALP and GGT and no other evidence of chronic liver injury, only a minority progressed to PBC over long-term follow-up. All of these pieces of evidence demonstrate that AMA positivity alone was not enough to diagnose PBC; for AMA-positive patients with normal ALP and GGT and no evidence of chronic liver injury, the prevalence of developing PBC is low.⁸⁷ Therefore, for such patients, it is reasonable to monitor liver biochemistry annually. For patients with any clinical evidence of chronic liver injury, elevated GGT, or elevated IgM, a liver biopsy may be considered to rule in or rule out PBC. Patients with biochemical or histological evidence of PBC that emerged during follow-up should be treated with UDCA promptly. Currently, there is no sufficient clinical evidence to recommend the prophylactic use of UDCA for those with AMA positivity alone.

Recommendations

20. AMA or AMA-M2 positivity alone is not enough to diagnose PBC. Liver biochemistry should be monitored yearly. If clinical or biochemical evidence of liver injury such as elevated IgM or elevated GGT emerges, a liver biopsy may be a reasonable choice to confirm the existence of PBC. (C2)

PBC with features of AIH

PBC and AIH that coexist in a patient simultaneously or sequentially are considered PBC with AIH features or PBC-AIH overlap syndrome. Some investigators believe that PBC with features of AIH may develop in PBC patients with genetic susceptibility to AIH.⁸⁸ Recent studies have found that the histological immunophenotype of PBC with features of AIH was similar to that of PBC, suggesting that the overlap syndrome may be a variant form of PBC.⁸⁹

Diagnosis of PBC with features of AIH: There are no unanimously accepted diagnostic criteria for PBC with fea-

tures of AIH. The most commonly used Paris criteria include the presence of at least two of the three items for each disease. 90 The diagnostic criteria for PBC are (1) serum ALP ≥ $2 \times ULN$ or serum GGT $\geq 5 \times ULN$, (2) positive serum AMA/ AMA-M2, (3) florid bile duct lesion on histology. The diagnostic criteria for AIH are (1) serum ALT \geq 5 × ULN, (2) serum $IgG \ge 2 \times ULN$ or positive anti-smooth muscle antibody (ASMA), and (3) liver histology (mandatory) showing moderate/severe interface hepatitis. The presence of ASMA or IgG ≥ 2 × ULN is a critical Paris diagnostic criterion. However, whether it is suitable for Chinese patients is still an issue of discussion.91 A prospective study in China found that an IgG \geq 1.3 × ULN had a sensitivity of 60% and specificity of 97% for identifying patients who had a complete response to corticosteroids, but the sensitivity and specificity of the Paris IgG \geq 2 × ULN criterion were 10% and 100%, respectively.⁹² Therefore, $1.3 \times ULN IgG$ is a more appropriate threshold for Chinese patients. In addition, studies have shown that the simultaneous positivity of ds-DNA and AMA have 98%specificity for the diagnosis of PBC with features of AIH, 93 but its diagnostic value needs further verification. Most hepatologists and pathologists agree that the revised original scoring system and the simplified AIH score developed by the International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group (IAIHG) are not for diagnosing PBC with features of AIH.94 First, the two scoring systems are designed for AIH but not for PBC with features of AIH. Second, the presence of AMA is a subtraction item in the revised original scoring system, which may lead to the underdiagnosis of overlap syndrome. Third, the simplified AIH score may lead to the over-diagnosis of overlap syndrome, resulting in unnecessary corticosteroid exposure.

Treatment of PBC with features of AIH: PBC with features of AIH has a worse prognosis than PBC or AIH alone. 95 At present, there is no consensus on the treatment protocol for PBC with features of AIH. Studies have shown that treatment with glucocorticoids alone or combined with azathioprine or second-line immunosuppressive agents like mycophenolate mofetil, tacrolimus, or cyclosporine A, can improve the biochemical response and prognosis of patients. 96 A multicenter retrospective study showed that severe interface hepatitis is an independent risk factor for incomplete response to UDCA monotherapy in patients with PBC with features of AIH, 97 supporting the use of UDCA combined with immunosuppressants treatment as the treatment of choice for patients with severe interface hepatitis.

Recommendations

- 21. A diagnosis of PBC with features of AIH can be made in PBC patients who also meet two of the three diagnostic criteria AIH (1+2, or 1+3): (1) moderate/severe interface hepatitis; (2) AST or ALT≥5×ULN; (3) IgG ≥ 1.3 × ULN or the presence of ASMA. (C2)
- 22. Patients with moderate interface hepatitis can be treated with UDCA and immunosuppressants, or initially treated with UDCA monotherapy and added immunosuppressants if not responding to UDCA. (C2)
- 23. Patients with severe interface hepatitis should be treated with UDCA and immunosuppressants (glucocorticoid alone, or combined with azathioprine 50 mg/d or mycophenolate mofetil 0.5–1.0 g/d). (C2)

PBC-PSC overlap syndrome

PBC-PSC overlap is defined as the presence of PBC and PSC simultaneously or sequentially in the same patient. A recent review summarized 12 cases of PBC-PSC overlap syndrome from 10 case reports, with most cases successively diag-

nosed with PSC after 3 months to 18 years of PBC diagnosis. 98 At present, there are no well-accepted diagnostic criteria or standardized dosage of UDCA treatment for PBC-PSC overlap syndrome. The diagnosis is mainly based on the establishment of both PBC and PSC. Although most patients can achieve biochemical remission after UDCA therapy, the long-term prognosis is not clear. 98

Pregnancy of PBC patients

Retrospective studies showed that most PBC patients were stable during pregnancy. Only a few patients experienced newly developed or worsened pruritus. Liver biochemistry often deteriorates after giving birth. Maternal and infant outcomes are usually favorable, but patients with cirrhosis have an increased risk of maternal and infant complications. 99,100 Therefore, female PBC patients of childbearing age need individualized counseling before pregnancy. For those with portal hypertension, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy can be performed in the second trimester, and endoscopic intervention can be performed as appropriate to reduce the risk of variceal bleeding. There are few reports on use of UDCA by PBC patients during pregnancy. No significant fetal adverse effects were observed with daily administration of UDCA up to 2,000 mg/kg, which is equivalent to approximately 100 times the clinical dose, in rats during pregnancy. 101 There is much experience in the use of UDCA in patients with intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP) in the second and third trimesters. A recent meta-analysis showed that UDCA treatment did not increase the stillbirth rate in patients with ICP.¹⁰² There are few safety data on UDCA in the first trimester of pregnancy. In a recent study, no fetal side effects were observed in 16 PBC patients who continued to take UDCA during the first trimester. 100 Several earlier studies included 4, 8, and 12 PBC patients who continued using UDCA during pregnancy, respectively, none of whom reported fetal side effects.⁹⁹ These data suggested that UDCA use during pregnancy appears safe and well tolerated. Therefore, most researchers support the continued use of UDCA throughout pregnancy to prevent the disease progression of PBC. Safety data on UDCA use during breastfeeding are limited. German investigators could not detect UDCA in the breast milk of a patient taking 750 mg/d UDCA using high-pressure liquid chromatography. 103 A recent case report also showed that an increased UDCA dose of up to 1,500 mg/d had no effect on the bile acid content of breast milk, and the children grew normally. 104 Additional studies have shown that the total bile acid concentration in the colostrum of ICP patients was higher than that in normal controls, and UDCA treatment reduced endogenous bile acid levels in colostrum. 105 Therefore, UDCA treatment during breastfeeding may be safe for PBC patients.

Recommendations

24. Pregnancy is acceptable in female PBC patients of childbearing age, but patients with cirrhosis have an increased risk of maternal and infant complications. Limited data suggest that UDCA use appears safe during pregnancy, including the first trimester. Therefore, UDCA can be used with fully informed consent after carefully weighing the benefits and risks. (C2)

Male PBC

As reported by previous international studies, the female-to-male ratio in PBC patients was about 10:1, but two large studies in China reported a lower ratio (6.2–6.9:1).^{75,106} Compared with female PBC patients, the incidence of PBC-related clinical symptoms and the proportion of patients con-

comitant with Sjögren's syndrome was significantly lower in male patients. The long-term outcomes of male PBC patients are still controversial. Studies in China⁷⁵ and Canada¹⁰⁷ reported that the prognosis was worse in male than in female patients. In the Global PBC Study, Male PBC patients had a treatment response and outcome similar to those in female patients.¹⁰⁸ Male sex was also found to be an independent risk factor for HCC in PBC patients,¹⁰⁹ which supports close monitoring of HCC in male PBC patients.

Recommendations

25. Male sex and cirrhosis are independent risk factors for HCC in PBC patients, therefore, abdominal ultrasonography and/or AFP should be monitored every 6 months for those patients. (B1)

Young PBC patients

PBC patients less than 45 years of age were shown to have significantly higher baseline serum levels of transaminases and ALP than older patients. ¹⁰⁸ Young patients also had higher rates of symptoms including pruritus and fatigue, lower response rates to UDCA, and increased risk of liver transplant or death compared with older PBC patients. ^{108,110} Therefore, regular follow-up is essential for this group of people.

PBC with bile duct loss

Bile duct loss involves partial or complete disappearance of intrahepatic small bile ducts, and has many causes. Vanishing bile duct syndrome is defined as less than 50% of portal areas having bile ducts. Bile duct loss is a risk factor for biochemical nonresponse in PBC patients. Pagular administration of sufficient UDCA dosage may not improve the degree of bile duct loss. Pagular in view of the association of bile duct loss with persistent cholestasis and refractory jaundice, the degree of bile duct loss has been identified as one of the markers of disease staging in patients with PBC.

Prognosis

The overall prognosis of PBC patients has been improved significantly by UDCA therapy. In China, the 5-year and 10-year transplantation-free survival rates of PBC patients treated with UDCA are estimated as 78.0-86.7% and 71.1-74.3%, respectively, and the 5-year incidence of HCC and decompensation as 1.62% and 3.81-4.31%, respectively.4,75 Not surprisingly, the prognosis of PBC patients with cirrhosis is poor. The 5-year transplantation-free survival rates for PBC patients with compensated and decompensated cirrhosis were reported to be 77.1% and 35.9%, respectively.⁷⁵ The GLOBE score³³ and UK-PBC¹¹⁵ score are based on data from multi-center large cohorts. The scores accurately predict the 5-, 10-, and 15-year transplantation-free survival rates of PBC patients, which have been verified in cohorts in many countries, including China. Generally, they are more predictive than other models. 116 The calculation of the GLOBE (www.globalpbc.com/globe) and UK-PBC (www.uk-pbc.com) scores can be performed with online tools.

Recommendations

 Prognostic models, such as GLOBE and UK-PBC scores, can assess the clinical outcome of patients with PBC after treatment with UDCA. (C2)

Screening and follow-up

Screening of first-degree relatives: Family members of

PBC patients have an increased risk of PBC development that mainly includes first-degree female relatives, especially sisters, mothers, and daughters. The AMA positivity in first-degree relatives of PBC patients is as high as 13.1%, and as high as 20.7% in sisters. To-incidence cases in mother and child, and siblings have also been reported. Although the evidence for screening first-degree relatives of PBC patients is insufficient, the screening of AMA and ALP in first-degree female relatives over 30 years of age is recommended. Further studies to optimize the diagnosis, treatment and follow-up strategies for PBC relatives are justified.

Follow-up: PBC patients require long-term UDCA treatment. Monitoring with liver biochemical tests every 3-6 months is recommended to evaluate the biochemical response and identify patients who may develop PBC with features of AIH. Liver ultrasonography and alpha-fetoprotein should be assessed every 6 months to monitor HCC in cirrhotic and male patients. All patients should be screened for thyroid function annually. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy should be performed to assess gastroesophageal varices in cirrhotic patients. Endoscopy should be repeated every 1-3 years based on endoscopy and evaluation of liver function reserve. According to the baseline bone mineral density and the severity of cholestasis, bone mineral density should be assessed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) every 2-3 years. For patients with jaundice, the level of fat-soluble vitamins can be monitored every year if it is feasible.

Gaps and future research directions

- 1. There is still a lack of population-based epidemiological data on PBC in China.
- There is still a lack of evidence-based diagnostic criteria and treatment options for special conditions such as AIH and preclinical PBC.
- Safe and effective second-line therapy is strongly recommended for patients with suboptimal biochemical response to UDCA, especially for those with compensated and decompensation cirrhosis.
- 4. The etiology and pathogenesis of PBC, especially the initiating factors that trigger the autoimmune response to intrahepatic bile duct epithelium, need to be explored and clarified.
- Novel therapeutic agents targeting the key pathogenesis of PBC are urgently needed for new drug development.

Funding

None to declare.

Conflict of interest

HY has been an editorial board member of *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology* since 2021, YH has been an editorial board member of *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology* since 2013, YN has been an editorial board member of *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology* since 2023, LL has been an associate editor of *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology* since 2013, LW and JJ have been executive associate editor of *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology* since 2021. The other authors have no conflict of interests related to this publication.

Author contributions

Designed, revised, and finalized the manuscript (HY, JJ, YH, XX), searched the literature and drafted the manuscript (WD,

SL, TL, SC), critically reviewed and revised the recommendations (all other authors), read and approved the final manuscript (all authors).

List of contributors

Professors Xiaobo Cai, Yu Chen, Hongsong Chen, Lina Cui, Jiaqiang Dong, Xiaoguang Dou, Zhongping Duan, Changcun Guo, Guanya Guo, Tao Han, Jinlin Hou, Peng Hu, Yi Huan, Yuanyuan Kong, Jie Li, Jun Li, Zengshan Li, Enqiang Linghu, Jiayun Liu, Jingfeng Liu, Xiaoqing Liu, Yanmin Liu, Yingdi Liu, Xinghua Luo, Qi Miao, Ying Qu, Hong Ren, Wanhua Ren, Jia Shang, Yulong Shang, Yongquan Shi, Chengwei Tang, Jianshe Wang, Jingwen Wang, Qixia Wang, Hao Wu, Ming Yan, Dongliang Yang, Yongfeng Yang, Zhaoxu Yang, Xinxin Zhang, Yuexin Zhang, Jingmin Zhao, Shousong Zhao, Xinyan Zhao, Linhua Zheng, Xinmin Zhou.

References

- [1] Lleo A, Leung PSC, Hirschfield GM, Gershwin EM. The Pathogenesis of Pri-
- mary Biliary Cholangitis: A Comprehensive Review. Semin Liver Dis 2020; 40(1):34–48. doi:10.1055/s-0039-1697617, PMID:31537031. You H, Ma X, Efe C, Wang G, Jeong SH, Abe K, et al. APASL clinical practice guidance: the diagnosis and management of patients with primary biliary cholangitis. Hepatol Int 2022;16(1):1-23. doi:10.1007/s12072-021-10276-6, PMID:35119627.
- Lv T, Chen S, Li M, Zhang D, Kong Y, Jia J. Regional variation and temporal trend of primary biliary cholangitis epidemiology: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;36(6):1423–1434. doi:10.1111/jgh.15329, PMID:33141955.
- Zeng N, Duan W, Chen S, Wu S, Ma H, Ou X, et al. Epidemiology and clinical
- zeng N, Duan W, Chen S, Wu S, Ma H, Ou X, et al. Epidemiology and clinical course of primary biliary cholangitis in the Asia-Pacific region: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hepatol Int 2019;13(6):788–799. doi:10.1007/s12072-019-09984-x, PMID:31552558.

 Dyson JK, Blain A, Foster Shirley MD, Hudson M, Rushton S, Jeffreys Jones DE. Geo-epidemiology and environmental co-variate mapping of primary biliary cholangitis and primary sclerosing cholangitis. JHEP Rep 2021;3(1):100202. doi:10.1016/j.jhepr.2020.100202, PMID:33474546.

 Matsumoto K, Ohfuji S, Abe M, Komori A, Takahashi A, Fujii H, et al. Environmental factors medical and family history and compeliables associations are proposed to the compeliable of the compeliables associations.
- ronmental factors, medical and family history, and comorbidities associated with primary biliary cholangitis in Japan: a multicenter case-control study. J Gastroenterol 2022;57(1):19–29. doi:10.1007/s00535-021-01836-6, PMID:34796398.
- Prince MI, Ducker SJ, James OF. Case-control studies of risk factors for primary biliary cirrhosis in two United Kingdom populations. Gut 2010;
- Wijarnpreecha K, Werlang M, Panjawatanan P, Kroner PT, Mousa OY, Pungpapong S, *et al.* Association between Smoking and Risk of Primary Billary Cholangitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 2019;28:197–203. doi:10.15403/jgld-181, PMID:31204401.
- Mayo MJ. Natural history of primary biliary cirrhosis. Clin Liver Dis 2008;12(2):277–288. doi:10.1016/j.cld.2008.02.012, PMID:18456180.
- [10] Parés A, Caballería L, Rodés J. Excellent long-term survival in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis and biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic Acid. Gastroenterology 2006;130(3):715–720. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2005.12.029, PMID:16530513.
- tro.2005.12.029, PMID:16530513.
 [11] Harms MH, van Buuren HR, Corpechot C, Thorburn D, Janssen HLA, Lindor KD, et al. Ursodeoxycholic acid therapy and liver transplant-free survival in patients with primary biliary cholangitis. J Hepatol 2019;71(2):357–365. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2019.04.001, PMID:30980847.
 [12] Murillo Perez CF, Goet JC, Lammers WJ, Gulamhusein A, van Buuren HR, Ponsioen CY, et al. Milder disease stage in patients with primary biliary cholangitis over a 44-year period: A changing natural history. Hepatology 2018;67(5):1920–1930. doi:10.1002/hep.29717, PMID:29220537.
 [13] Prince MI, Chetwynd A, Craig WL, Metcalf JV, James OF. Asymptomatic primary biliary cirrhosis: clinical features. prognosis. and symptom pro-
- primary biliary cirrhosis: clinical features, prognosis, and symptom progression in a large population based cohort. Gut 2004;53(6):865–870. doi:10.1136/gut.2003.023937, PMID:15138215.
 [14] Chalifoux SL, Konyn PG, Choi G, Saab S. Extrahepatic Manifestations of
- Primary Biliary Cholangitis. Gut Liver 2017;11(6):771-780. doi:10.5009/gnl16365, PMID:28292174.
- [15] Chen S, Li MQ, Duan WJ, Li BE, Li SX, Lv TT, et al. Concomitant extrahepat-[15] Chen S, Li MQ, Duan WJ, Li BE, Li SX, Lv TT, et al. Concomitant extrahepatic autoimmune diseases do not compromise the long-term outcomes of primary biliary cholangitis. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2022;21(6):577–582. doi:10.1016/j.hbpd.2022.05.009, PMID:35668014.
 [16] Gatselis NK, Dalekos GN. Molecular diagnostic testing for primary biliary cholangitis. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2016;16(9):1001–1010. doi:10.1080/14737159.2016.1217159, PMID:27460480.
 [17] Granito A, Muratori P, Quarneti C, Pappas G, Cicola R, Muratori L. Antinuclear antibodies as ancillary markers in primary biliary cirrhosis. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2012;12(1):65–74. doi:10.1586/erm.11.82, PMID:22133120.
 [18] Leung PS, Rossaro L, Davis PA, Park O, Tanaka A, Kikuchi K, et al. Antimition chondrial antibodies in acutal liver failure: implications for primary biliary cirr

- chondrial antibodies in acute liver failure: implications for primary biliary cir-

- rhosis. Hepatology 2007;46(5):1436-1442. doi:10.1002/hep.21828, PMID: 17657817
- [19] Zhang Q, Liu Z, Wu S, Duan W, Chen S, Ou X, et al. Meta-Analysis of Antinuclear Antibodies in the Diagnosis of Antimitochondrial Antibody-Negative
- Primary Biliary Cholangitis. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2019;2019:8959103. doi:10.1155/2019/8959103. PMID:31281353. [20] Granito A, Muratori P, Muratori L, Pappas G, Cassani F, Worthington J, et al. Antinuclear antibodies giving the 'multiple nuclear dots' or the 'rimlike/membranous' patterns: diagnostic accuracy for primary biliary cirrhosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006;24(11-12):1575–1583. doi:10.1111/ j.1365-2036.2006.03172.x, PMID:17206945. [21] Nakamura M. Clinical significance of autoantibodies in primary biliary cirrho-
- sis. Semin Liver Dis 2014;34(3):334–340. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1383732, PMID:25057956.
- [22] Corpechot C, Carrat F, Poujol-Robert A, Gaouar F, Wendum D, Chazouillères O, et al. Noninvasive elastography-based assessment of liver fibrosis progression and prognosis in primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2012;56(1):198-208. doi:10.1002/hep.25599, PMID:22271046.
- [23] Corpechot C, Carrat F, Gaouar F, Chau F, Hirschfield G, Gulamhusein A, et al. Liver stiffness measurement by vibration-controlled transient elastography improves outcome prediction in primary biliary cholangitis. J Hepatol 2022;77(6):1545-1553. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2022.06.017, PMID: 35777587.
- [24] Ludwig J, Dickson ER, McDonald GS. Staging of chronic nonsuppurative destructive cholangitis (syndrome of primary biliary cirrhosis). Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histol 1978;379(2):103–112. doi:10.1007/BF00432479, PMID: 150690
- [25] Gordon SC, Wu KH, Lindor K, Bowlus CL, Rodriguez CV, Anderson H, et al. Ursodeoxycholic Acid Treatment Preferentially Improves Overall Survival Among African Americans With Primary Biliary Cholangitis. Am J Gastroenterol 2020;115(2):262-270. doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000000512, PMID:
- [26] Angulo P, Dickson ER, Therneau TM, Jorgensen RA, Smith C, DeSotel CK, et al. Comparison of three doses of ursodeoxycholic acid in the treatment
- et al. Comparison of three closes of unsoleoxyclinic action in the treatment of primary biliary cirrhosis: a randomized trial. J Hepatol 1999;30(5):830–835. doi:10.1016/s0168-8278(99)80136-6, PMID:10365809.

 [27] Chen S, Duan W, You H, Jia J. A brief review on prognostic models of primary biliary cholangitis. Hepatol Int 2017;11(5):412–418. doi:10.1007/s12072-017-9819-9, PMID:28913620.
- [28] Corpechot C, Abenavoli L, Rabahi N, Chrétien Y, Andréani T, Johanet C, et al. Biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid and long-term prognosis in primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2008;48(3):871–877. doi:10.1002/hep.22428, PMID:18752324.
- [29] Corpechot C, Chazouillères O, Poupon R. Early primary biliary cirrhosis: biochemical response to treatment and prediction of long-term outcome. J Hepatol 2011;55(6):1361-1367. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2011.02.031, PMID: 21703194
- [30] Nevens F, Andreone P, Mazzella G, Strasser SI, Bowlus C, Invernizzi P, et al. A Placebo-Controlled Trial of Obeticholic Acid in Primary Biliary Cholangitis. N Engl J Med 2016;375(7):631–643. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1509840, PMID:27532829.
- [31] Hirschfield GM, Beuers U, Kupcinskas L, Ott P, Bergquist A, Färkkilä M, et al. A placebo-controlled randomised trial of budesonide for PBC following an insufficient response to UDCA. J Hepatol 2021;74(2):321–329. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2020.09.011, PMID:32950590
- [32] Zhang LN, Shi TY, Shi XH, Wang L, Yang YJ, Liu B, et al. Early biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid and long-term prognosis of primary biliary cirrhosis: results of a 14-year cohort study. Hepatology 2013;58(1):264–272. doi:10.1002/hep.26322, PMID:23408380.
- 2013;58(1):264–272. doi:10.1002/hep.26322, PMID:23408380.
 [33] Lammers WJ, Hirschfield GM, Corpechot C, Nevens F, Lindor KD, Janssen HL, et al. Development and Validation of a Scoring System to Predict Outcomes of Patients With Primary Biliary Cirrhosis Receiving Ursodeoxycholic Acid Therapy. Gastroenterology 2015;149(7):1804–1812.e4. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2015.07.061, PMID:26261009.
 [34] Manne V, Kowdley KV. Obeticholic acid in primary biliary cholangitis: where we stand. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2019;35(3):191–196. doi:10.1097/MOG.00000000000525, PMID:30844895.
 [35] Trauner M, Nevens F, Shiffman ML, Drenth JPH, Bowlus CL, Vargas V, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of obeticholic acid for patients with primary
- Long-term efficacy and safety of obeticholic acid for patients with primary biliary cholangitis: 3-year results of an international open-label extension study. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;4(6):445-453. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30094-9, PMID:30922873.
- [36] Parés A, Shiffman M, Vargas V, Invernizzi P, Malecha ES, Liberman A, et al. Reduction and stabilization of bilirubin with obeticholic acid treatment in patients with primary biliary cholangitis. Liver Int 2020;40(5):1121–1129. doi:10.1111/liv.14429, PMID:32145129.
- [37] Bowlus CL, Pockros PJ, Kremer AE, Parés A, Forman LM, Drenth JPH, et al. Long-Term Obeticholic Acid Therapy Improves Histological Endpoints in Patients With Primary Biliary Cholangitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18(5):1170-1178.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2019.09.050, PMID:31606455. [38] Kowdley KV, Luketic V, Chapman R, Hirschfield GM, Poupon R, Schramm
- C, et al. A randomized trial of obeticholic acid monotherapy in patients with primary biliary cholangitis. Hepatology 2018;67(5):1890–1902. doi:10.1002/hep.29569, PMID:29023915.

 [39] John BV, Schwartz K, Levy C, Dahman B, Deng Y, Martin P, et al. Impact of Obeticholic acid Exposure on Decompensation and Mortality in Primary Biliary Cholangitis and Cirrhosis. Hepatol Commun 2021;5(8):1426–1436.
- doi:10.1002/hep4.1720, PMID:34430786
- [40] Lindor KD, Bowlus CL, Boyer J, Levy C, Mayo M. Primary biliary cholangi-tis: 2021 practice guidance update from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology 2022;75(4):1012-1013. doi:10.1002/

- hep.32117, PMID:34431119.
- [41] Zhang Y, Li S, He L, Wang F, Chen K, Li J, et al. Combination therapy of fenofibrate and ursodeoxycholic acid in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis who respond incompletely to UDCA monotherapy: a meta-analy sis. Drug Des Devel Ther 2015;9:2757–2766. doi:10.2147/dddt.S79837, PMID:26045661.
- [42] Corpechot C, Chazouillères O, Rousseau A, Le Gruyer A, Habersetzer F, Mathurin P, et al. A Placebo-Controlled Trial of Bezafibrate in Primary Biliary Cholangitis. N Engl J Med 2018;378(23):2171–2181. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1714519, PMID:29874528.
- [43] de Vries E, Bolier R, Goet J, Parés A, Verbeek J, de Vree M, et al. Fibrates for Itch (FITCH) in Fibrosing Cholangiopathies: A Double-Blind, Rand-omized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Gastroenterology 2021;160(3):734–743. e6. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2020.10.001, PMID:33031833.
- [44] Tanaka A, Hirohara J, Nakano T, Matsumoto K, Chazouillères O, Taki-kawa H, et al. Association of bezafibrate with transplant-free survival in patients with primary biliary cholangitis. J Hepatol 2021;75(3):565–571. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2021.04.010, PMID:33882268. [45] Carrion AF, Lindor KD, Levy C. Safety of fibrates in cholestatic liver dis-
- eases. Liver Int 2021;41(6):1335-1343. doi:10.1111/liv.14871, PMID:
- [46] Dohmen K, Tanaka H, Haruno M. Effectiveness of fenofibrate in comparison to bezafibrate for patients with asymptomatic primary biliary cirrhosis. Fukuoka Igaku Zasshi 2013;104(10):350–361. PMID:24511666. [47] Wang L, Sun K, Tian A, Liu Y, Zhang M, Zhou X, et al. Fenofibrate improves
- GLOBE and UK-PBC scores and histological features in primary biliary chol angitis. Minerva Med 2021. doi:10.23736/s0026-4806.21.07316-x, PMID:
- [48] Rautiainen H, Kärkkäinen P, Karvonen AL, Nurmi H, Pikkarainen P, Nuutinen H, et al. Budesonide combined with UDCA to improve liver histology in primary biliary cirrhosis: a three-year randomized trial. Hepatology 2005;41(4):747–752. doi:10.1002/hep.20646, PMID:15754377.
- 2005;41(4):747–752. doi:10.1002/hep.20646, PMID:15754377.
 [49] Hempfling W, Grunhage F, Dilger K, Reichel C, Beuers U, Sauerbruch T. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamic action of budesonide in early-and late-stage primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2003;38(1):196–202. doi:10.1053/jhep.2003.50266, PMID:12830002.
 [50] European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: The diagnosis and management of patients with primary biliary cholangitis. J Hepatol 2017;67(1):145–172. doi:10.1016/j.jhpa.2017.03.02. PMID:3842765.
- jhep.2017.03.022, PMID:28427765. [51] Montano-Loza AJ, Hansen BE, Corpechot C, Roccarina D, Thorburn D,
- Trivedi P, et al. Factors Associated With Recurrence of Primary Biliary Cholangitis After Liver Transplantation and Effects on Graft and Patient Survival. Gastroenterology 2019;156(1):96-107.e1. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.10.001, PMID:30296431.
- [52] Silveira MG, Talwalkar JA, Lindor KD, Wiesner RH. Recurrent primary biliary cirrhosis after liver transplantation. Am J Transplant 2010;10(4):720–726. doi:10.1111/j.1600-6143.2010.03038.x, PMID:20199502.
- doi:10.1111/J.1600-6143.2010.03038.x, PMID:20199502.
 [53] Jacob DA, Neumann UP, Bahra M, Langrehr JM, Neuhaus P. Liver transplantation for primary biliary cirrhosis: influence of primary immunosuppression on survival. Transplant Proc 2005;37(4):1691–1692. doi:10.1016/j. transproceed.2005.03.130, PMID:15919432.
 [54] Corpechot C, Chazouillères O, Belnou P, Montano-Loza AJ, Mason A, Ebadi M, et al. Long-term impact of preventive UDCA therapy after transplantation for primary biliary cholangitis. J Hepatol 2020;73(3):559–565. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2020.03.043, PMID:32275981.
 [55] Carbone M, Bufton S, Monaco A, Griffiths L, Jones DE, Neuberger JM. The effect of liver transplantation on fatigue in patients with primary biliary cir-
- effect of liver transplantation on fatigue in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis: a prospective study. J Hepatol 2013;59(3):490-494. doi:10.1016/j.
- rinosis: a prospective study. J nepatiol 2013;59(3):490–494. doi:10.1016/j. jhep.2013.04.017, PMID:23628322.
 [56] Silveira MG, Gossard AA, Stahler AC, Jorgensen RA, Petz JL, Ali AH, et al. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial of Efficacy and Safety: Modafinil in the Treatment of Fatigue in Patients With Primary Biliary Cirrhosis. Am J Ther 2017;24(2):e167–e176. doi:10.1097/mjt.00000 00000000387, PMID:27148676.
- [57] Shah RA, Kowdley KV. Mechanisms and Treatments of Pruritus in Primary Biliary Cholangitis. Semin Liver Dis 2019;39(2):209–220. doi:10.1055 /s-0039-1679918, PMID:30849783.
- [58] Schaffner F, Klion FM, Latuff AJ. The long term use of cholestyramine in the treatment of primary biliary cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 1965;48:293– 298. PMID:14292140.
- [59] Tandon P, Rowe BH, Vandermeer B, Bain VG. The efficacy and safety of bile Acid binding agents, opioid antagonists, or rifampin in the treatment of cholestasis-associated pruritus. Am J Gastroenterol 2007;102(7):1528-
- 1536. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01200.x, PMID:17403073.

 [60] Ataei S, Kord L, Larki A, Yasrebifar F, Mehrpooya M, Seyedtabib M, *et al.*Comparison of Sertraline with Rifampin in the treatment of Cholestatic Pruritus: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Rev Recent Clin Trials 2019;14(3):217-223. doi:10.2174/1574887114666190328130720, PMID:30919782
- [61] Jones DE, Newton JL. An open study of modafinil for the treatment of day-time somnolence and fatigue in primary biliary cirrhosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007;25(4):471–476. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.03223.x, PMID:17270003.
- [62] Hegade VS, Pechlivanis A, McDonald JAK, Rees D, Corrigan M, Hirschfield GM, et al. Autotaxin, bile acid profile and effect of ileal bile acid trans-porter inhibition in primary biliary cholangitis patients with pruritus. Liver Int 2019;39(5):967–975. doi:10.1111/liv.14069, PMID:30735608.
- [63] Tatlipinar S, Akpek EK. Topical ciclosporin in the treatment of ocular surface disorders. Br J Ophthalmol 2005;89(10):1363–1367. doi:10.1136/bjo.2005.070888, PMID:16170133.
- [64] Vitali C, Minniti A, Pignataro F, Maglione W, Del Papa N. Management of

- Sjögren's Syndrome: Present Issues and Future Perspectives. Front Med (Lausanne) 2021;8:676885. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.676885, PMID:3416 4418.
- [65] Seki A, Ikeda F, Miyatake H, Takaguchi K, Hayashi S, Osawa T, et al. Risk of secondary osteoporosis due to lobular cholestasis in non-cirrhotic primary biliary cholangitis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;32(9):1611–1616. doi:10.1111/jqh.13746, PMID:28114749.
- [66] Efe C, Torgutalp M, Henriksson I, Alalkim F, Lytvyak E, Trivedi H, et al. Extrahepatic autoimmune diseases in primary biliary cholangitis: Prevalence and significance for clinical presentation and disease outcome. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;36(4):936-942. doi:10.1111/jgh.15214, PMID:327
- [67] Guañabens N, Cerdá D, Monegal A, Pons F, Caballería L, Peris P, et al. Low bone mass and severity of cholestasis affect fracture risk in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2010;138(7):2348–2356. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2010.02.016, PMID:20178794.
- [68] Rudic JS, Giljaca V, Krstic MN, Bjelakovic G, Gluud C. Bisphosphonates for osteoporosis in primary biliary cirrhosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011;(12):CD009144. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009144.pub2, PMID:221 61446
- [69] Danford CJ, Ezaz G, Trivedi HD, Tapper EB, Bonder A. The Pharmacologic [69] Danford CJ, E2az G, Irivedi HD, Iapper EB, Bonder A. The Pharmacologic Management of Osteoporosis in Primary Biliary Cholangitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Densitom 2020;23(2):223–236. doi:10.1016/j.jocd.2019.05.003, PMID:31146965.
 [70] Guañabens N, Monegal A, Cerdá D, Muxí Á, Gifre L, Peris P, et al. Randomized trial comparing monthly ibandronate and weekly alendronate for osteoporosis in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2013;58(6):2070–2078. doi:10.1002/hep.26466, PMID:23686738.
 [71] Wang Z, Pong C, Wang P, Sui J, Wang Y, Sui G, et al. Serum vitamin D.
- [71] Wang Z, Peng C, Wang P, Sui J, Wang Y, Sun G, et al. Serum vitamin D level is related to disease progression in primary biliary cholangitis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2020;55(11):1333-1340. doi:10.1080/00365521.2020.18 29030, PMID:33021858.
- [72] European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on nutrition in chronic liver disease. J Hepatol 2019;70(1):172–
- 193. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2018.06.024, PMID:30144956.

 [73] Lindor KD, Bowlus CL, Boyer J, Levy C, Mayo M. Primary Biliary Cholangitis: 2018 Practice Guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology 2019;69(1):394-419. doi:10.1002/hep.30145, PMID:30070375.
- [74] Floreani A, Zappala F, Fries W, Naccarato R, Plebani M, D'Angelo A, et al. A 3-year pilot study with 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, calcium, and calcitonin for severe osteodystrophy in primary biliary cirrhosis. J Clin Gastro-enterol 1997;24(4):239–244. doi:10.1097/00004836-199706000-00012, PMID:9252849
- [75] Chen S, Duan W, Li M, Li S, Lv T, Tian Q, et al. Prognosis of 732 ursodeoxycholic acid-treated patients with primary biliary cholangitis: A single center follow-up study from China. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;34(7):1236–
- 1241. doi:10.1111/jgh.14521, PMID:30365184.
 [76] Invernizzi P, Crosignani A, Battezzati PM, Covini G, De Valle G, Larghi A, et al. Comparison of the clinical features and clinical course of antimitochondrial antibody-positive and -negative primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 1997;25(5):1090-1095. doi:10.1002/hep.510250507, PMID:9141422
- [77] Raszeja-Wyszomirska J, Wunsch E, Krawczyk M, Rigopoulou EI, Kostrzewa
- [77] Raszeja-Wyszomirska J, Wunsch E, Krawczyk M, Rigopoulou EI, Kostrzewa K, Norman GL, et al. Assessment of health related quality of life in polish patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2016;40(4):471–479. doi:10.1016/j.clinre.2015.10.006, PMID:26621536.
 [78] Sakauchi F, Mori M, Zeniya M, Toda G. Antimitochondrial antibody negative primary biliary cirrhosis in Japan: utilization of clinical data when patients applied to receive public financial aid. J Epidemiol 2006;16(1):30–34. doi:10.2188/jea.16.30, PMID:16369106.
 [79] Hirschfield GM, Heathcote EJ. Antimitochondrial antibody-negative primary biliary cirrhosis. Clin Liver Dis 2008;12(2):323–331. doi:10.1016/j. cld 2008.02.003. PMID:18456183.
- cld.2008.02.003, PMID:18456183. [80] Juliusson G, Imam M, Björnsson ES, Talwalkar JA, Lindor KD. Long-term
- outcomes in antimitochondrial antibody negative primary biliary cirrhosis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2016;51(6):745–752. doi:10.3109/00365521.2015 1132337, PMID:26776319.
- [81] Jin Q, Moritoki Y, Lleo A, Tsuneyama K, Invernizzi P, Moritoki H, et al. Comparative analysis of portal cell infiltrates in antimitochondrial autoantibodypositive versus antimitochondrial autoantibody-negative primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2012;55(5):1495-1506. doi:10.1002/hep.25511, PMID:22135136.
- [82] Sun C, Xiao X, Yan L, Sheng L, Wang Q, Jiang P, et al. Histologically proven AMA positive primary biliary cholangitis but normal serum alkaline phos-phatase: Is alkaline phosphatase truly a surrogate marker? J Autoimmun
- phatase: Is alkaline phosphatase truly a surrogate marker? J Autoimmun 2019;99:33–38. doi:10.1016/j.jaut.2019.01.005, PMID:30709684.

 [83] Terziroli Beretta-Piccoli B, Stirnimann G, Mertens J, Semela D, Zen Y, Mazzucchelli L, et al. Primary biliary cholangitis with normal alkaline phosphatase: A neglected clinical entity challenging current guidelines. J Autoimmun 2021;116:102578. doi:10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102578, PMID:33229138.

 [84] Dahlqvist G, Gaouar F, Carrat F, Meurisse S, Chazouillères O, Poupon R, et al. Large-scale characterization study of patients with antimitochondrial antibodics but posperablished primary bilizory chalangitis.
- drial antibodies but nonestablished primary biliary cholangitis. Hepatology 2017;65(1):152–163. doi:10.1002/hep.28859, PMID:27688145.
- 2017;65(1):152–163. doi:10.1002/nep.28859, PMID:27688145.
 [85] Zandanell S, Strasser M, Feldman A, Tevini J, Strebinger G, Niederseer D, et al. Low rate of new-onset primary biliary cholangitis in a cohort of anti-mitochondrial antibody-positive subjects over six years of follow-up. J Intern Med 2020;287(4):395–404. doi:10.1111/joim.13005, PMID:31802567.
 [86] Gulamhusein AF, Juran BD, Atkinson EJ, McCauley B, Schlicht E, Lazaridis KN. Low incidence of primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) in the first-

- degree relatives of PBC probands after 8 years of follow-up. Liver Int 2016;36(9):1378–1382. doi:10.1111/liv.13143, PMID:27062298. [87] Duan W, Chen S, Li S, Lv T, Li B, Wang X, *et al.* The future risk of pri-
- mary biliary cholangitis (PBC) is low among patients with incidental anti-mitochondrial antibodies but without baseline PBC. Hepatol Commun 2022;6(11):3112–3119. doi:10.1002/hep4.2067, PMID:35998274.

 [88] Lohse AW, zum Büschenfelde KH, Franz B, Kanzler S, Gerken G, Dienes HP. Characterization of the overlap syndrome of primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC)
- and autoimmune hepatitis: evidence for it being a hepatitic form of PBC in genetically susceptible individuals. Hepatology 1999;29(4):1078–1084.
- doi:10.1002/hep.510290409, PMID:10094950.

 [89] Lee BT, Wang Y, Yang A, Han H, Yuan L, Donovan J, et al. IgG:IgM Ratios of Liver Plasma Cells Reveal Similar Phenotypes of Primary Biliary Cholangitis With and Without Features of Autoimmune Hepatitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;19(2):397–399. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2019.11.024, PMID:31751773.
- [90] Chazouillères O, Wendum D, Serfaty L, Montembault S, Rosmorduc O, Poupon R. Primary biliary cirrhosis-autoimmune hepatitis overlap syndrome: clinical features and response to therapy. Hepatology 1998;28(2):296–
- 301. doi:10.1002/hep.510280203, PMID:9695990. [91] Yang F, Wang Q, Bian Z, Ren LL, Jia J, Ma X. Autoimmune hepatitis: East meets west. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;30(8):1230–1236. doi:10.1111/jgh.12952, PMID:25765710.

- jgh.12952, PMID:25765710.
 [92] Wang Q, Selmi C, Zhou X, Qiu D, Li Z, Miao Q, et al. Epigenetic considerations and the clinical reevaluation of the overlap syndrome between primary biliary cirrhosis and autoimmune hepatitis. J Autoimmun 2013;41:140–145. doi:10.1016/j.jaut.2012.10.004, PMID:23187010.
 [93] Muratori P, Granito A, Pappas G, Pendino GM, Quarneti C, Cicola R, et al. The serological profile of the autoimmune hepatitis/primary biliary cirrhosis overlap syndrome. Am J Gastroenterol 2009;104(6):1420–1425. doi:10.1038/ajg.2009.126, PMID:19491855.
 [94] Boberg KM, Chapman RW, Hirschfield GM, Lohse AW, Manns MP, Schrumpf E, International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group. Overlap syndromes: the International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group (IAIHG) position statement on a controversial issue. J Hepatol 2011;54(2):374–385. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2010.09.002, PMID:21067838.
 [95] Yang F, Wang Q, Wang Z, Miao Q, Xiao X, Tang R, et al. The Natural His-
- [95] Yang F, Wang Q, Wang Z, Miao Q, Xiao X, Tang R, et al. The Natural History and Prognosis of Primary Biliary Cirrhosis with Clinical Features of Autoimmune Hepatitis. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2016;50(1):114–123. doi:10.1007/s12016-015-8516-5, PMID:26411425.
- [96] Freedman BL, Danford CJ, Patwardhan V, Bonder A. Treatment of Overlap Syndromes in Autoimmune Liver Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Med 2020;9(5):1449. doi:10.3390/jcm9051449. PMID:32414025. [97] Ozaslan E, Efe C, Heurgué-Berlot A, Kav T, Masi C, Purnak T, et al. Fac-
- tors associated with response to therapy and outcome of patients with primary biliary cirrhosis with features of autoimmune hepatitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;12(5):863–869. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2013.09.021, PMID: 24076417.
- [98] Mago S, Wu GY. Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis and Primary Biliary Cirrhosis Overlap Syndrome: A Review. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2020;8(3):336-346. doi:10.14218/jcth.2020.00036, PMID:33083257.
- [99] Floreani A, Infantolino C, Franceschet I, Tene IM, Cazzagon N, Buja A, et al. Pregnancy and primary biliary cirrhosis: a case-control study. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2015;48(2-3):236-242. doi:10.1007/s12016-014-8433-z, PMID:24984967.
- [100] Cauldwell M, Mackie FL, Steer PJ, Henehghan MA, Baalman JH, Brennand J, et al. Pregnancy outcomes in women with primary biliary cholangitis and primary sclerosing cholangitis: a retrospective cohort study. BJOG 2020;127(7):876–884. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.16119, PMID:32012415. [101] Hempfling W, Dilger K, Beuers U. Systematic review: ursodeoxycholic
- acid—adverse effects and drug interactions. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2003;18(10):963–972. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2036.2003.01792.x, PMID: 14616161
- [102] Ovadia C, Sajous J, Seed PT, Patel K, Williamson NJ, Attilakos G, et al. Ursodeoxycholic acid in intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: a system-

- atic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;6(7):547-558. doi:10.1016/s2468-1253(21)00074-1, PMID:33915090.
- [103] Rudi J, Schönig T, Stremmel W. Therapy with ursodeoxycholic acid in primary biliary cirrhosis in pregnancy. Z Gastroenterol 1996;34(3):188–191. PMID:8650973.
- PMID:8650973.

 [104] Vítek L, Zelenková M, Brůha R. Safe use of ursodeoxycholic acid in a breast-feeding patient with primary biliary cirrhosis. Dig Liver Dis 2010;42(12):911–912. doi:10.1016/j.dld.2010.06.002, PMID:20619755.

 [105] Brites D, Rodrigues CM. Elevated levels of bile acids in colostrum of
- [105] Brites D, Rodrigues CM. Elevated levels of bile acids in colostrum of patients with cholestasis of pregnancy are decreased following ursode-oxycholic acid therapy [see comemnts]. J Hepatol 1998;29(5):743–751. doi:10.1016/s0168-8278(98)80255-9, PMID:9833912.
 [106] Fan X, Wang T, Shen Y, Xi X, Yang L. Underestimated Male Prevalence of Primary Biliary Cholangitis in China: Results of a 16-yr cohort study involving 769 patients. Sci Rep 2017;7(1):6560. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-06807-7, PMID:28747696.
 [107] Myers PB, Shabeen AA, Fond A, Burak KW, Wan A, Swain MG, et al. Epides
- [107] Myers RP, Shaheen AA, Fong A, Burak KW, Wan A, Swain MG, et al. Epidemiology and natural history of primary billiary cirrhosis in a Canadian health region: a population-based study. Hepatology 2009;50(6):1884–1892. doi:10.1002/hep.23210, PMID:19821525.
- [108] Cheung AC, Lammers WJ, Murillo Perez CF, van Buuren HR, Gulamhusein A, Trivedi PJ, et al. Effects of Age and Sex of Response to Ursodeoxycholic Acid and Transplant-free Survival in Patients With Primary Biliary Cholangitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;17(10):2076–2084.e2. doi:10.1016/j.
- cgh.2018.12.028, PMID:30616022.

 [109] Natarajan Y, Tansel A, Patel P, Emologu K, Shukla R, Qureshi Z, *et al.* Incidence of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Primary Biliary Cholangitis: A Sys-
- tematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Dig Dis Sci 2021;66(7):2439–2451. doi:10.1007/s10620-020-06498-7, PMID:32743773. [110] Carbone M, Mells GF, Pells G, Dawwas MF, Newton JL, Heneghan MA, et al. Sex and age are determinants of the clinical phenotype of primary biliary cirrhosis and response to ursodeoxycholic acid. Gastroenterology 2013;144(3):560–569.e7. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2012.12.005, PMID: 23246637.
- [111] Nakamura M, Kondo H, Tanaka A, Komori A, Ito M, Yamamoto K, *et al.*Autoantibody status and histological variables influence biochemical response to treatment and long-term outcomes in Japanese patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatol Res 2015;45(8):846-855. doi:10.1111/ hepr.12423, PMID:25220608. [112] Poupon R, Chazouillères O, Balkau B, Poupon RE. Clinical and biochemi-
- cal expression of the histopathological lesions of primary biliary cirrhosis. UDCA-PBC Group. J Hepatol 1999;30(3):408–412. doi:10.1016/s0168-8278(99)80098-1, PMID:10190722.
 [113] Nakanuma Y, Hoso M, Mizuno Y, Unoura M. Pathologic study of primary bil-
- iary cirrhosis of early histologic stages presenting cholestatic jaundice. Liver 1988;8(6):319–324. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0676.1988.tb01010.x, PMID:
- [114] Harada K, Hsu M, Ikeda H, Zeniya M, Nakanuma Y. Application and validation of a new histologic staging and grading system for primary biliary cirrhosis. J Clin Gastroenterol 2013;47(2):174–181. doi:10.1097/
- MCG.0b013e31827234e4, PMID:23269312.

 [115] Carbone M, Sharp SJ, Flack S, Paximadas D, Spiess K, Adgey C, et al. The UK-PBC risk scores: Derivation and validation of a scoring system for long-term prediction of end-stage liver disease in primary biliary cholangitis. Hepatology 2016;63(3):930–950. doi:10.1002/hep.28017, PMID:26223498.
- [116] Yang F, Yang Y, Wang Q, Wang Z, Miao Q, Xiao X, $\it et al.$ The risk predictive values of UK-PBC and GLOBE scoring system in Chinese patients with primary biliary cholangitis: the additional effect of anti-gp210. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017;45(5):733-743. doi:10.1111/apt.13927, PMID:
- [117] Lazaridis KN, Juran BD, Boe GM, Slusser JP, de Andrade M, Homburger HA, et al. Increased prevalence of antimitochondrial antibodies in first-degree relatives of patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2007;46(3):785-792. doi:10.1002/hep.21749, PMID:17680647.