Community-centered Disease Severity Assessment of Metabolic Dysfunction-associated Fatty Liver Disease
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Abstract

**Background and Aims:** Disease severity across the different diagnostic categories of metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) remains elusive. This study assessed the fibrosis stages and features of MAFLD between different items. We also aimed to investigate the associations between advanced fibrosis and risk factors. **Methods:** This multicenter cross-sectional study enrolled adults participating in liver disease screening in the community. Patients were stratified following MAFLD diagnostic criteria, to group A (395 patients) for type 2 diabetes, group B (1,818 patients) for BMI≤23 kg/m2, and group C (25.0%) than in group A (7.6%) and group B (5.8%) had advanced fibrosis. Advanced fibrosis was defined as a fibrosis-4 index>2.67. **Results:** Between 2009 and 2020, 1,948 MAFLD patients were recruited, including 478 with concomitant liver diseases. Advanced fibrosis was observed in 125 patients. A significantly larger proportion of patients in group C (25.0%) than in group A (7.6%) and group B (5.8%) had advanced fibrosis (p<0.01). Logistic regression analysis found that hepatitis B virus (HBV)/hepatitis C virus (HCV) coinfection (odds ratio [OR]: 12.14, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 4.04–36.52; p<0.01), HCV infection (OR: 7.87, 95% CI: 4.78–12.97; p<0.01), group C (OR: 6.00, 95% CI: 2.53–14.22; p<0.01), and TC/HDLC (OR: 1.21, 95% CI: 1.06–1.38; p<0.01) were significant predictors of advanced fibrosis. **Conclusions:** A higher proportion of lean MAFLD patients with metabolic abnormalities had advanced fibrosis. HCV infection was significantly associated with advanced fibrosis.
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Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common liver disorder globally with a prevalence of 25%1. The incidence has been rapidly progressing in the past several decades throughout the Asia-Pacific region in parallel with the rapid Westernization of the region2,3. Despite a significantly lower body mass index (BMI) and lower rates of obesity compared to other ethnic groups, Asians have a significant prevalence of NAFLD as well as other metabolic disorders such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and metabolic syndrome (MetS)4. Extensive investigation of metabolic liver diseases with complex mechanisms is essential for diagnosis, management, and outcome prediction.

Recently, metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) has been proposed as a new definition for patients with fatty liver disease5. A recent meta-analysis...
showed that the overall prevalence of MAFLD was 38.8%. It estimated that 5.37% of lean and 29.78% of nonobese individuals had MAFLD. The prevalence reached nearly half of the population in some regions. The new definition was designed to avoid stigma and achieve alignment with other liver diseases, focusing on metabolic alterations of the disease and an overarching approach for disease awareness and the management of patients. The major intent of the new nomenclature was to shift toward a diagnosis of inclusion based on the presence of metabolic dysfunction and hepatic steatosis. Therefore, clarification of the new definition according to disease outcome is essential and informative for early diagnosis and prevention efforts in addition to implementation of a region-based strategy. Nevertheless, disease severity in MAFLD has rarely been investigated in a community-based setting in the Asia-Pacific region.

Liver fibrosis is the major determinant and the significant predictor of long-term outcome in patients with NAFLD. There is a dose-dependent association between the risk of mortality and the stage of fibrosis, in which a higher risk of mortality is associated with a higher stage of fibrosis. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis patients with advanced fibrosis had a significantly higher risk of all-cause mortality and liver-related mortality compared with NASH patients without fibrosis. Moreover, the risk of liver-related mortality increased on an exponential rather than linear scale with an increase in fibrosis stage. Liver biopsy is an expensive invasive procedure with potential complications, a high rate of sampling error, and interobserver variability. Recently, the noninvasive fibrosis-4 index (FIB-4) was validated to provide an accurate prediction of liver fibrosis and liver-related events in patients with NAFLD. The serum-based algorithm has been adapted by major societies as a clinically useful tool for advanced fibrosis assessment. Recently it has been also validated in patients with MAFLD with different BMI s. Therefore, its application in a community level deserves investigation.

Taiwan is an endemic for hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, and nearly half of the adults have NAFLD. This unique background provides an excellent opportunity for elucidation of the characteristics of MAFLD and the interaction between steatosis per se and viral infections. Consequently, we conducted a community-based study aiming to elucidate the features and characteristics of MAFLD patients. We attempted to elucidate the disease severity between different MAFLD characteristics and the impact of the prevalent viral hepatitis on the disease severity of MAFLD.

Methods

Study population

The Ethics Committee of the Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital (Kaohsiung City, Taiwan) approved this cross-sectional study before it was initiated. The recruited subjects had participated in a multipurpose integrated health examination that was part of a nonprofit community care program at 10 primary care stations in southern Taiwan between January 2009 and December 2020. Written informed consent was obtained from patients prior to enrollment, the study interview, medical record review, anthropomorphic measurements, and blood testing. Patients who had a weekly ethanol intake of more than 140 g were excluded. Anthropometric data, which included blood pressure, waist circumference, and body weight and height, were measured by standardized techniques. The enrolled subjects fasted overnight for 12 h fast before blood tests, including high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), insulin, total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides (TG), uric acid (UA), and transaminase (aspartate amionotransferase [AST]/alanine aminotransferase [ALT]) levels.

Fatty liver and fibrosis assessment

Abdominal sonography was performed for each participant by well-experienced and licensed hepatologists at the same institution to ensure interobserver consistency. The precise recruitment of patients with fatty liver was further validated by the fatty liver index (FLI) on diagnosis of fatty liver by sonography. FLI≤260 was used to rule in patients with hepatic steatosis. The blood fibrosis test FIB-4 was calculated as age * AST/[µL]*405. We stratified the subjects to T2DM, overweight or obese (BMI>23 kg/m²), and the presence of at least two metabolic risk abnormalities. The metabolic risk abnormalities included seven items: (1) blood pressure ≥130/85 mmHg or specific drug treatment; (2) waist circumference ≥90 cm for men and ≥80 cm for women; (3) fasting plasma TG≥150 mg/dL or specific drug treatment; (4) plasma HDL-C<40 mg/dL for men and <50 mg/dL for women or specific drug treatment; (5) prediabetes with FPG 100–125 mg/dL or hemoglobin A1c 5.7–6.4%; (6) homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) ≥2.5; and (7) plasma hs-CRP>2 mg/L. HOMA-IR was calculated as FPG (mg/dL) × fasting insulin level (µIU/mL) / 405. We stratified the subjects to group A with T2DM, group B with BMI>23 kg/m², and group C with BMI≤23 kg/m² with at least two metabolic factors.

Statistical analysis

Pearson’s chi-square test was used to compare the differences between categorical variables, and Student’s t-test analysis of variance were performed to test differences between/among continuous variables. Logistic regression analysis was used to test the statistical significance (p<0.05) of age, sex, metabolic factors, BMI, excessive alcohol use, smoking, T2DM, and viral hepatitis markers of MAFLD by univariate or multivariate models based on clinical relevance. Two sensitivity analyses were used to validate the results by different periods and randomized assignment to testing and validation groups. The two analyses included stratification of the recruited patients by different periods and 1:1 randomization into testing and validation groups by triple bootstrap sampling. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Quality control procedures, database processing, and statistical analysis were performed with SAS Enterprise Guide (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 5,180 adults≥20 years of age participated the com-
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Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the MAFLD patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total, n=1,948</th>
<th>FIB-4&lt;2.67, n=1,823</th>
<th>FIB-4&gt;2.67, n=125</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>51.5±13.4</td>
<td>50.7±13.1</td>
<td>62.9±12.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>1,015 (52.1)</td>
<td>949 (52.1)</td>
<td>66 (52.8)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>93.9±11.2</td>
<td>94.0±11.3</td>
<td>93.5±10.5</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;90 cm for male, &gt;80 cm for female</td>
<td>1,502 (77.7)</td>
<td>1,409 (77.8)</td>
<td>93 (76.2)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.4±4.5</td>
<td>29.5±4.5</td>
<td>28.0±4.4</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (n=1,572)</td>
<td>406 (20.8)</td>
<td>383 (21.0)</td>
<td>23 (18.4)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (n=1,577)</td>
<td>425 (21.8)</td>
<td>402 (22.1)</td>
<td>23 (18.4)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disease severity among groups and the impact of viral hepatitis infections

One hundred twenty-five (6.4%) patients had advanced fibrosis confirmed by the FIB-4 value (>2.67). Those patients were older (62.9±12.1 vs. 50.7±13.1 years of age; p<0.01), and had lower BMIs (28.0±4.4 vs. 29.5±4.5 kg/m²; p=0.001) and higher prevalence of anti-HCV+ (34.4% vs. 7.7%; p<0.01) than their counterparts. Their mean AST and ALT levels were also significantly higher than those without advanced fibrosis. In addition, they had lower TG, TC, LDL-C, and platelet levels compared with patients with FIB-4≤2.67 (Table 1). There was a significantly higher percentage of group C patients (25.0%, 11/44) with advanced

Data are means±standard deviation or n (%). ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; FIB-4, fibrosis-4 index; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HBsAg+, hepatitis B surface antigen-positive; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

munity screening. We excluded 3,232 adults who had missing laboratory data (hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg] in 74 subjects and anti-HCV in 78 subjects) or incomplete sonographic examination (3,080 subjects). Finally, a total of 1,948 community-based MAFLD patients (mean age of 51.5±13.4 years and 52.1% women) were enrolled. The patients had an FLI>60, and fatty liver was diagnosed by abdominal ultrasound. The mean BMI was 29.4±4.5 kg/m². The presence of past history for T2DM, either diagnosed previously or under antidiabetic treatment, was 258 patients. An additional 137 patients met the diagnostic criteria of T2DM during surveillance, yielding a T2DM prevalence of 20.3% (395/1,948). The study pool included 478 (24.5%) patients with concomitant liver diseases, including 275 (14.1%) HBsAg+ patients, 183 (9.4%) anti-HCV+ patients, and 20 (1%) HBsAg+ and anti-HCV+ patients. Totally there were 395 (20.3%) patients in group A, 1,818 (93.3%) in group B, and 44 (2.3%) in group C, respectively.
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**Fig. 1. Distribution of fibrosis stage.** Patients with T2DM (Gr. A); patients with BMI > 23 kg/m²; patients with BMI ≤ 23 kg/m² and have at least two metabolic factors (Gr. C). BMI, body mass index; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

fibrosis than group A (7.6%, 30/395) and group B (5.8%, 106/1,818) patients (p<0.01; Fig. 1). To determine the roles of common viral infections in MAFLD disease severity among groups, we further analyzed the results by stratifying for the presence of HBV or HCV infection. Excluding the 478 patients with concomitant viral hepatitis infections, 20.7% (6/29) of group C patients had advanced fibrosis, which was significantly higher than the proportion of group A (4.4%) and group B (3.4%) patients (p<0.01).

**Associated factors for predicting advanced fibrosis**

We performed multivariate logistic regression analysis to elucidate the factors associated with advanced fibrosis in MAFLD patients. The results demonstrated that HBV/HCV co-infection was the leading factor associated with advanced fibrosis (odds ratio [OR]: 12.14, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 4.04–36.52; p<0.01). The other significant factors for predicting advanced fibrosis included HCV infection (OR: 7.87, 95% CI: 4.78–12.97; p<0.01), group C (OR: 6.00, 95% CI: 2.53–14.22; p<0.01), and TC/LDL-C (OR: 1.21, 95% CI: 1.06–1.38; p<0.01; Table 2).

**Validation of the associated factors for advanced fibrosis**

We used two sensitivity analyses to validate the results. The first was to stratify the recruited patients by different periods. The results were consistent between the study periods of 2009–2014 and 2015–2020 (Supplementary Table 1). Group C patients, besides HCV infection and age, remained the significant factors associated with advanced fibrosis. The concordant results demonstrated that group C patients, in addition to HBV and HCV, were significant predictors of MAFLD with advanced fibrosis by triple bootstrap sampling for sensitivity analysis. (Supplementary Table 2).

**Discussion**

The change from NAFLD to MAFLD is more than a simple change to the nomenclature, with many clinical implications. Accordingly, the optimal approach is to elucidate the distribution and characteristics of disease severity within and between the defined components of MAFLD. Our results demonstrated that the diagnostic criteria of being overweight, namely having a BMI>23 kg/m², was the main cause of MAFLD in a community-based cohort. Patients with advanced fibrosis were older in age and had a lower BMI, higher TC/LDL-C, higher HCV prevalence, and a different metabolic profile than their counterparts. Of note was that there were significantly more group C patients, defined as BMI≤23 kg/m² with at least two metabolic factors, with advanced fibrosis (25.0%) than the other two groups. The observation remained significant after excluding the factor of viral hepatitis. Multivariate regression analysis showed that group C criteria were the major predictive factor of advanced fibrosis. Thus, our results provide evidence of a link between metabolic alterations and disease severity in MAFLD, and also shed light on the risk stratification and high-risk surveillance of metabolic liver disorders, at least at the community level.

Liver fibrosis is the process of formation and deposition of fibrous connective tissue and extracellular matrix leading to progressive structural tissue remodeling. It is a sequela of necroinflammation and/or cellular insults. A multinational, retrospective analysis of NAFLD patients demonstrated that long-term prognosis and survival after liver transplant depended less on a diagnosis of NASH or non-NASH than on the presence of fibrosis, indicating that fibrosis is the major determinant for long-term outcomes in NAFLD patients. Generally, fibrosis measurement is essential for determination of the disease course and outcome of viral hepatitis infection, before and after viral eradication or sufficient suppression.
### Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors predicting advanced fibrosis in MAFLD patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>FIB-4 ≤2.67, n=1,823</th>
<th>Crude OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>FIB-4 &gt;2.67, n=125</th>
<th>Crude OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>50.7±13.1</td>
<td>62.9±12.1</td>
<td>1.08 (1.06–1.10)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
<td>949 (93.5)</td>
<td>66 (6.5)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.71–1.48)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.05 (0.66–1.66)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td>874 (93.7)</td>
<td>59 (6.3)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.71–1.48)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.05 (0.66–1.66)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI</strong></td>
<td>29.5±4.5</td>
<td>28.0±4.4</td>
<td>0.92 (0.88–0.96)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol, Yes</strong></td>
<td>383 (94.3)</td>
<td>23 (5.7)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.53–1.35)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No</strong></td>
<td>1,440 (93.4)</td>
<td>102 (6.6)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking, Yes</strong></td>
<td>402 (94.6)</td>
<td>23 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.50–1.27)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No</strong></td>
<td>1,421 (93.3)</td>
<td>102 (6.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TC/LDL-C</strong></td>
<td>1.9±0.8</td>
<td>2.3±2.3</td>
<td>1.21 (1.06–1.42)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>1.21 (1.06–1.38)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HbA1c (%)</strong></td>
<td>6.3±1.4</td>
<td>6.5±1.6</td>
<td>1.08 (0.90–1.26)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAFLD phenotype</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≤23 kg/m² and ≥2 metabolic items</td>
<td>33 (75.0)</td>
<td>11 (25.0)</td>
<td>5.38 (2.64–10.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>6.00 (2.53–14.22)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤23 kg/m² and &lt;2 metabolic items</td>
<td>73 (91.3)</td>
<td>7 (8.7)</td>
<td>1.54 (0.70–4.45)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.77 (0.22–2.68)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;23 kg/m²</td>
<td>1,712 (94.2)</td>
<td>106 (5.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T2DM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>365 (92.4)</td>
<td>30 (7.6)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.82–1.93)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.99 (0.56–1.72)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1,457 (93.9)</td>
<td>95 (6.1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBSAg+/anti-HCV+</td>
<td>15 (75.0)</td>
<td>5 (25.0)</td>
<td>8.58 (3.01–24.44)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>12.14 (4.04–36.52)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HCV+</td>
<td>140 (86.5)</td>
<td>43 (23.5)</td>
<td>7.90 (5.11–12.21)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>7.87 (4.78–12.97)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBSAg+</td>
<td>253 (92.0)</td>
<td>22 (8.0)</td>
<td>2.24 (1.34–3.73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>1.02 (0.42–2.45)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBSAg-/anti-HCV−</td>
<td>1,415 (96.3)</td>
<td>55 (3.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means±standard deviation or n (%). BMI, body mass index; FIB-4, fibrosis-4 index; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HBSAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HCV, hepatitis C virus; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MAFLD, metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; TC, total cholesterol.
However, fibrosis measurement is much more vital in the context of MAFLD as there is no reliable surrogate biomarker for this complex metabolic disorder.

Several noninvasive tests to assess liver fibrosis have been developed. The FIB-4 index is a simple and easy-to-access test index with high predictive performance and reproducibility. A score of >2.67 was defined as advanced-stage fibrosis and allowed avoiding liver biopsy examination. Recent practice guidelines recommend FIB-4 as the initial noninvasive test for risk stratification in NAFLD patients based on metabolic risk factors owing to its simplicity and ease of use. However, the use of the FIB-4 index for fibrosis assessment has rarely been investigated in MAFLD in a community-based study. Our results demonstrated that a low proportion (6.4%) of MAFLD patients had advanced fibrosis as assessed by the FIB-4 index. There was a significantly higher proportion of patients with advanced fibrosis in group C than their counterpart groups. The high proportion remained significant even after excluding the factor of viral hepatitis infection. The results were validated and confirmed by sensitivity analysis using period stratification and triple bootstrap sampling. The observation was in accordance with previous studies showing that metabolic abnormality is the key driver of fatty liver disease, irrespective of BMI. Previous studies have indicated that patients with lean NAFLD had a lower prevalence of T2DM, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and MetS but higher fibrosis scores than their non-lean counterparts. Our results suggest the benefits of high-risk surveillance for viral hepatitis in MAFLD patients.

In conclusion, the study described the characteristics of disease severity in a community-based setting. Viral hepatitis was the major factor contributing to the occurrence of advanced fibrosis. A significantly higher proportion of lean patients with metabolic abnormalities had advanced fibrosis than their counterparts. This observation remained significant after excluding viral hepatitis infection. Further longitudinal studies are needed for risk stratification and precision prevention.
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