Current Perspective of Zika Virus and Vaccine Development
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Abstract

In our previous review published in 2017 on the Zika virus pandemic sweeping the Western World, we mentioned that understanding viral pandemics and vaccine preparedness is vital to combating new outbreaks. In this review, we discuss key updated aspects of the Zika virus in terms of its origin, present status, and prognosis. We also discuss developments in the preventive measure of designing a vaccine to limit the fatal effects of the virus in its current or mutated form. By summarizing updated knowledge of the Zika virus and its effects, we aim to understand how modern technology may help in this objective and how we can apply our knowledge to help mitigate the crises caused by other deadly viruses, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).

Introduction

The Zika virus epidemic in Brazil in 2015 and the subsequent development into pandemic form caught the scientific community by surprise. Even though the intensity of the Zika virus is much lower now compared to what it was before, the virus is still being transmitted in Southeast and South Asia, as well as other various parts of the globe. For example, in the year 2020 (up to 5th September 2020), confirmed Zika virus cases spanned across various countries in the Americas, including Brazil (1,313 cases), Puerto Rico (22 cases), Bolivia (12 cases), Mexico (6 cases), and Colombia (1 case). Like other RNA viruses, Zika virus exhibits a large probability for mutation and may develop into new outbreaks that need intense study and surveillance. In this review, we consider new knowledge of Zika virus and describe the status of preventive measures, therapeutics, and technological approaches that have been developed to combat such eventualities with the Zika virus and perhaps other epidemics to come.

Origin and epidemiology

Zika virus was named after the Zika forest, located in Uganda, from where it was first identified and isolated in the year 1947 from a rhesus monkey.2 1952 marked the first reported case of Zika virus infection in humans in Uganda and Tanganyika, and antibodies thereof were soon after found in human blood or in mosquitoes in several countries.3,4 The emergence of the virus as an outbreak in 2007 in the Yap Island of Federated States of Micronesia marked the beginning of the new outbreaks. This initial event was soon followed in 2013–2014 by another outbreak of the virus in French Polynesia and other Pacific Islands.5,6 Most recently, the outbreak that caught the scientific community by surprise was the Brazilian epidemic of 2015 which led to a high incidence of birth defects as a result of viral infection.7

Initially, this epidemic was believed to include the first reported cases in America, but Grubaugh et al.8 later showed by genomic sequence studies that Zika viral infection started in the north-eastern part of the country in 2013. The rapid catastrophic spread of the virus in other parts of America led the PAN American Health Organization and World Health Organization (WHO) to issue an epidemiological alert and to declare the outbreak a Public Health Emergency of International Concern in February 2016. After reaching such a peak, Zika virus infections declined during 2017–2018 (Table 1).1 In 2017, three cases were reported in Ahmedabad, India, and several locally transmitted Zika cases were confirmed in Singapore.4 Subsequently, 195 cases were reported in India and several cases in Indonesia, Thailand, and Laos, where about 9% of the Lao children were found to have had prior exposure to the virus. Cases of microcephaly and foetal death have been reported as...
a result of viral infection in several Asian countries such as Thailand, Vietnam, and others. Nevertheless, in a twist of fate, the American strain of Zika virus was found to have spread to Angola in 2017–18 and caused instances of microcephaly.

According to the 2019 Zika Epidemiology Update from the WHO, autochthonous mosquito-borne transmission of the virus spanned over 87 countries and territories across the African region, the region of the Americas, South-East Asia region, and the western pacific region (see Fig. 1 for an update geographical distribution of Zika virus spread). The Centre for Disease Control (CDC) of the USA in its report dated 3rd September 2020 provisionally noted one new case of Zika virus in the state of Virginia and 13 cases in Puerto Rico. These recent cases indicated that Zika infection continues, albeit on a much-reduced scale compared to previous outbreaks.

### Molecular aspects and structure of Zika virus

Zika virus is a member of the Flavivirus genus and Flaviviridae family that also includes viruses such as Dengue, West Nile Virus, Japanese Encephalitis, Spondweni, Yellow Fever Virus, etc. Similar to other viruses, Zika virus consists of an envelope, i.e., a capsid, and an inner core of nucleic acid. The virion is spherical in shape with a diameter of approximately 50 nm and includes an electron-dense core with an approximate diameter of 30 nm. The whole genome of Zika virus is approximately 10,700 base pairs long and is comprised of a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA. The genome is encapsulated by an icosahedral protein-like structure and the RNA translates a single open reading frame flanked by two structured untranslated regions. Inside the host, the RNA genome is processed and cleaved by the host and viral proteases into three structural proteins (the capsid (C), pre-membrane (prM) and envelope (E)), as well as seven non-structural proteins (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, and NS5). The NS proteins are responsible for viral replication and assembly of the genome, as well as for hijacking the host cell’s biochemical machinery for use by the virus. The E protein envelops the virus, which binds with the host membrane and facilitates fusion of the viral membrane.

### Table 1. From the report of PAHO/WHO,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total cases</th>
<th>Confirmed cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>78,858</td>
<td>19,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>651,590</td>
<td>199,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>57,543</td>
<td>19,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>31,576</td>
<td>3,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>33,896</td>
<td>6,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 (till 05/09/2020)</td>
<td>11,909</td>
<td>1,354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fig. 1. Geographical distribution of Zika virus spread. The map is drawn using data from the website: https://www.who.int/health-topics/zika-virus-disease#tab=tab_1.*
with the host membrane to release the genomic RNA into the host cell cytoplasm. The E protein consists of three distinct domains that are bridged by the flexible fusion loops and are anchored with the viral membrane by a helical stem and two antiparallel transmembrane domains.\textsuperscript{17,18} It has been found in most Zika strains that the E protein is defined by a single N-linked glycan site at E154, which is located in domain 1 and was previously absent in some pre-epidemic strains from Africa.\textsuperscript{17} Zika virus is most closely related to another African \textit{Flavivirus}, the Spondweni virus, where it exhibits approximately 68\% homology in the amino acid composition of the E protein. Zika also exhibits about 50\% sequence similarity with Dengue virus strains, most notably Dengue type 2 virus, than all the other Flaviviruses.\textsuperscript{19,20} Previous studies have shown that Zika virus, along with other human pathogenic members of the \textit{Flavivirus}, share four conserved surface-exposed regions of the E proteins.\textsuperscript{26} Although conserved regions can be targeted by vaccines or antibodies, there are many hurdles to overcome, such as whether human trials face any antibody-dependent enhancement effect similar to the Dengue infection. This also raises the prospect of adverse immune reactions in individuals exposed sequentially to Zika and Dengue viruses.\textsuperscript{21} Genetic analysis shows two distinct lineages of Zika virus with differential pathogenicity: African and non-African or Asian (which differ approximately from each other by 10\% at the nucleotide level of the genome). A study by Faria \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{22} revealed that the American strains originated from the Asian lineage. Zika strains in the African lineage are more virulent compared to the Asian strains since they are more ancient in origin, have had time for many mutations and have induced stronger inflammatory responses in cytokines such as IL-6 or tumor necrosis factor (TNF).\textsuperscript{22,23} However, the pregnancy and neurological effects of Zika virus infection observed in Brazil and the Americas have not been noted in the African human lineage.\textsuperscript{12}

The prM protein is a small glycoprotein that plays a significant role in the assembly of mature virions. This is done through the cleavage of prM into the membrane (M) protein upon exposure to the mildly acidic environment in the trans-Golgi network during the process of secretion by the host furin-like protease, and allows for the rearrangement of E proteins into homodimers and facilitates virion maturation.\textsuperscript{24,25} Another recent study revealed that the first 40 amino acids of the prM domain are influential regarding the interactions within trimeric spikes in the immature virus particle, and affects the dynamics of conformational changes that are important for viral packaging.\textsuperscript{25} The densities of the C protein can also differentiate between the immature and the mature virion and play an important role in the virus assembly process.\textsuperscript{26} Thus, understanding the role of these structural proteins for viral pathogenicity becomes significant in Zika vaccine development for the prevention, control, and therapeutic strategies of the virus.

To understand the role of the E and prM proteins associated with receptor binding during Zika virus infection, several structural studies have been employed at different resolutions. Sirohi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{27} determined the structure of mature Zika virus at room temperature with a resolution of 3.8 Å and Kostyuchenko \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{28} did the same at 3.7 Å at 40 °C. Similarly, Prasad \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{25} determined the structure of immature Zika virus at a resolution of 9.1 Å. According to the latest report by Sevvana \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{29} the refined structure of the mature Zika virus was determined at a resolution of 3.1 Å by cryo-electron microscopy and was found to exhibit a large structural difference at the glycosylation loop associated with receptor binding. The authors of this work claimed that the results of the structural analysis would facilitate the process of vaccine design against Zika virus.

Previously, based on the microscopic structure, it was assumed that Zika virus exhibits icosahedral-like symmetry, similar to other flaviviruses. However, according to currently available studies these icosahedral viruses are not perfectly symmetrical, and rather have imperfect icosahedral symmetry,\textsuperscript{30} which indicates that there is a varied interaction between the core and glycoproteins. These deviations from icosahedral symmetry are thought to promote viral assembly and budding.

### Mutations of Zika virus

Like other arboviruses, Zika virus has a considerable mutation rate due to the lack of error-checking and mismatch-repair mechanisms in its viral polymerases.\textsuperscript{31} Among the various mutations of Zika virus, some of enhanced virus fitness can lead to adaptive evolution and the emergence of outbreaks.

Shan \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{32} showed that there was a single mutation in the viral envelope gene (E-V473M) of Zika virus which might be the underlying cause for the enhancement in its virulence and the high rate of maternal-to-fetal transmission during pregnancy seen since 2013. Liu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{33} also showed that the NS1 (A188V) mutation is responsible for an enhancement in the virulence of Zika virus and is the underlying cause for the most recent outbreak in the Americas. Furthermore, it has been shown there that these newly evolved epidemic strains have higher NS1 antigenemia than previously isolated FSS13025 strains (isolated in Cambodia in 2010) in mosquitoes due to an alanine-to-valine amino acid substitution at residue 188 in NS1. The virulence of Zika virus can also be enriched by this amino acid substitution in the Zika FSS13025 strain in mosquitoes.\textsuperscript{33} Wang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{34} also suggested that one pathogenic mutation at T233A, located at the dimer interface, in NS1 of Zika virus is responsible for neonatal microcephaly in South America.\textsuperscript{34} In addition, Yuan \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{35} revealed the presence of the S139N mutation in the precursor membrane protein of Zika virus, which first appeared in 2013 in French Polynesia, before the transmission of the virus to Brazil in 2015. These authors reported that due to this mutation, the virus was more infectious with enhanced virulence towards neural cell damage or death.

### Symptoms of Zika virus infection

The majority of infected persons with Zika virus are asymptotic. In some cases, mild fever, rash, conjunctivitis, muscle and joint pain, malaise, and headaches are observed, and usually last for 2–7 days. However, Zika virus infection during pregnancy can often lead to microcephaly i.e., undeveloped, smaller size brain of the newborn baby.\textsuperscript{36} Infection with Zika virus is also associated with other complications during pregnancy, including premature birth and miscarriage.\textsuperscript{37} Zika virus infections are often associated with congenital Zika syndrome which includes microcephaly, congenital malformation, foetal death,\textsuperscript{38,44} and Guillain–Barre syndrome which often manifests as muscle weakness and paralysis.\textsuperscript{45–48}

### Transmission of Zika virus

Zika virus is primarily transmitted by the bite of Aedes mosquitoes, which include the \textit{Aedes africanus}, \textit{Aedes aegypti}, \textit{Aedes hensilli}, and \textit{Aedes albopictus} species.\textsuperscript{49} There are other non-vector borne transmission modes which include sexual transmission,\textsuperscript{50} transmission from mother to child via placenta\textsuperscript{50} or through breast milk.\textsuperscript{51} Zika is also detected in and may be transmitted through semen, vaginal fluids, saliva and urine.\textsuperscript{52} According to the reports of the CDC, National Centre for Emerging and Zoonotic Infec-

DOI: 10.14218/ERHM.2020.00060  |  Volume 00 Issue 00, Month Year 3
tious Diseases, Division of Vector-Borne Diseases, dated July 24, 2019.84 Zika virus is also transmitted through blood transfusion, laboratory and healthcare setting exposures and travelling. Advice for travellers are regularly available at the CDC website.

Host immune responses against Zika infections

For interaction between the virus and the host immune system, the interferon system is the mediator for innate immune responses and targets of viral counterattacks. On the other hand, the antibody and T-cell responses to viruses determine the outcome of adaptive immunity. Studies show that in cell culture and mice models, the primary immune response is generated in most cell types during Zika infections by generating type 1 interferons (IFNs).55–57 However, to facilitate viral infection, multiple yet distinct mechanisms inhibit the signal transduction pathways that induce IFN production and IFN-stimulated genes expression.58 In particular, Zika NS proteins have been found to target distinct signalling steps to inhibit type 1 interferon production.58 At present, interferon antagonism strategies mediated by early IFNs against Zika infection in vivo have yet to be explored even though they are the first line of defence against the pathogens. Answers to questions related to how Zika replicates and causes infection in animals lacking components of IFN signalling, like IFNα/β receptors59 and STAT2, may also provide novel insights into the mechanism of action of the interferon system.59

The E protein is the main target of neutralizing antibodies in Zika infections for humoral immunity provided by the host.60–62 Recently, E dimer epitope-specific antibodies derived from Dengue patients have shown cross-reaction with Zika virus and enhance its replication63,64 with implications for the antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of infection and disease. ADE plays an important role in Dengue pathogenesis in humans, and perhaps Zika too,21 although the molecular mechanisms of ADE are currently unknown. Further investigation of ADE mechanisms through clinical trials are required to design a potent vaccine.

Researchers are also investigating the role of T-cells in Zika immunity using animal models. Zika specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses have been detected in virus-infected humans, non-human primates (cynomolgus macaques, rhesus macaques, and pigtail macaques) and mice.54–60 The immune response varies from population to population and between individuals due to the diversity at human leukocyte antigen (HLA) levels, and so epitopes of T-cell are very much specific for a particular ethnic group. One vaccine which induces adaptive immunity for a given population cannot be equally effective for all individuals since the immune protection will be different for each target population and will induce different levels of B cell and T cell responses.

Vaccines development against Zika infection

With the increasing frequency of viral epidemics and pandemics, designing vaccines to enhance the human immune response system has been the most promising weapon to combat viral infections. With the outbreak of Zika cases in Latin American countries in 2016 and complications associated with neurological and congenital diseases in newborns, the search for vaccines against infection intensified. Several vaccine trials are still ongoing in animal models to establish immunogenic protection against Zika, but no specific vaccine has yet been found effective.78 Among the greatest challenges for the rapid implementation of immunogenic, safe, and effective Zika vaccines is addressing potential side effects that may include Guillain–Barré syndrome. This condition is a potential consequence of Zika virus infection and antibody enhancement, similar to cases of Dengue infections.71–74 The WHO Zika vaccine development roadmap provided two strategies for dealing with the infected population75: One option involves mass vaccination of vulnerable populations, including pregnant women and women of child-bearing age during an ongoing epidemic, while the other involves the broad vaccination of the general population during inter-epidemic times. Presently, several vaccine candidates are in progress. These include DNA vaccines, purified inactivated viruses, live attenuated viruses, mRNA vaccines, and viral vectored vaccines (measles virus and adenovirus vectors). A few selected cases are as follows:

• The US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) is undertaking vaccine trials against the Zika virus infection.76 A DNA-based vaccine similar in platform to the flavivirus vaccine for West Nile virus infection, was created by scientists of NIAID’s Vaccine Research Centre (VRC). A Phase 2 clinical trial was completed and showed potentially viable results.77 Later, the trial VRC 705 called for evaluating the safety and immunogenicity of the vaccine, as well as the appropriate dose to be administered.

• A purified inactivated form of Zika vaccine named ZPIV was developed by the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR).78,79 The concept of ZPIV is similar to the vaccines against the related Japanese Encephalitis and Dengue viruses. NIAID together with WRAIR came up with satisfactory results from multiple Phase 1 trials at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Centre and at other hospitals, which give hopes for future progress.80,81 Recently, using the same clinical batch of the first generation of ZPIV as a benchmark, Lecouturier et al.79 reported that different doses of the optimized vaccine created by Sanofi Pasteur (ZPIV-SP) elicited sustained neutralizing antibodies, specific T-cells and memory B-cells, and provided absolute immunity against a Zika infection.

• An investigational live attenuated Zika vaccine known as rZIKV/D4A30-713 was developed by the researchers in the NIAID’s Laboratory of Viral Diseases. This vaccine is a chimeric viral vaccine made of several genes from different viruses, mostly from Dengue serotypes using genetic engineering. Phase 1 clinical trials were initiated in August 2018 and are currently undergoing Phase 3 trials in Brazil. This vaccine is expected to be effective against both Zika and all four Dengue serotypes.76

• NIAID’s VRC is working on potential mRNA vaccines in collaboration with GlaxoSmithKline, the University of Pennsylvania and Moderna/Valera to evaluate various mRNA vaccine technologies to identify immunogenic and scalable candidates. These vaccines are undergoing concurrent phase 1 and 2 clinical trials.82,83

• The investigational vaccine, called AGS-v was made by the London-based pharmaceutical company SEEK, which has since formed a joint venture with hVIVO in London to carry out clinical trials at the NIH Clinical Centre in Bethesda, Maryland, USA. This vaccine is a synthetic vaccine made from proteins of mosquito salivary glands, rather than viral proteins, that can prevent infection when a person is bitten by a disease-carrying mosquito.76

• Several viral vector-based vaccines are also undergoing clinical trials and believed to be safe and non-pathogenic in humans. Phase 1 trials using a measles vector was performed in April 2018,84 and similarly, a Phase 1 trial with an adenovirus-based vector for immunogenic Zika virus proteins was completed in 2019.85 Adenoviruses have been used effectively against HIV and are currently being used as a platform for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), the illness caused by
Table 2. The promising Zika vaccine candidates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developer</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Type of vaccine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases</td>
<td>In phase 2 clinical trials</td>
<td>DNA vaccine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Reed Army Institute of Research</td>
<td>In phase 1 clinical trials</td>
<td>Whole, purified, inactivated virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIAID’s Laboratory of Viral Diseases</td>
<td>In phase 3 clinical trials</td>
<td>Live attenuated Zika vaccine (a chimeric viral vaccine made from several genes from different viruses, mostly from Dengue serotypes using genetic engineering).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIAID’s Vaccine Research Centre in collaboration with GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), University of Pennsylvania and Moderna/Valera</td>
<td>Concurrent phase 1 and 2 clinical trials</td>
<td>mRNA vaccine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEEK (London-based pharmaceutical company) with hVIVO in London and NIH Clinical Centre in Bethesda, Maryland.</td>
<td>Preclinical phase</td>
<td>Synthetic vaccine (made from proteins of mosquito salivary glands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford university</td>
<td>In phase 1 clinical phase</td>
<td>Live adenovirus recombinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Themis Bioscience</td>
<td>In phase 1 clinical phase</td>
<td>Live measles recombinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Adelaide</td>
<td>Preclinical phase</td>
<td>T-cell based vaccine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the novel SARS-CoV-2 virus, treatments by scientists of Oxford University. Researchers from the University of Adelaide have also made significant advances in developing a novel T-cell based vaccine against a systemic Zika infection. This vaccine offers an advantage over other vaccines by eliminating the ongoing concerns in the field about the enhancement of infection following exposure to the Dengue virus. This work was funded by the National Foundation for Medical Research and Innovation and The Hospital Research Foundation, and is almost ready to go under clinical trials. To summarize, the promising Zika vaccine candidates are shown in the Table 2.

Future perspective of Zika vaccine

The development of a traditional vaccine is time-consuming and expensive. The emergence of new epidemics and pandemics with high degrees of severity demands the quick development of vaccines. To fulfill this demand, new paradigms in vaccine formulation have been developed. Peptide vaccine design is one such new approach which discards the “one-size-fits-all” concept and introduces the possibilities of population-orientated, community-specific and individual vaccine design.

Due to the Zika virus epidemic of 2015–16, there have been several studies using immunoinformatic approaches to design peptide vaccines. Employing immunoinformatic and molecular dynamic simulations, Maza et al. predicted antigenic epitopes of Zika viral proteins. Similarly, using an in-silico approach, Dar et al. predicted promiscuous T-cell epitopes in the Zika polyprotein.

Our group has been working on peptide vaccine design by targeting conserved and surface-exposed regions of the virus. Our previous study suggested four peptide regions of the envelope protein E of Zika virus which are suitable for peptide vaccine development against this deadly virus. Some approximations used in the development have recently been replaced by more robust mathematical approaches—e.g. the 2D Polygon Representation mode—to identify the most highly conserved and surface-accessible peptide regions. A detailed flow chart for searching for suitable peptide regions of Zika virus using this model is shown in Figure 2.

Using this new model, we have already reported various peptide regions suitable for vaccine design for SARS-CoV-2 and Ebola virus. Applying this new model, five peptide regions of the E protein of Zika virus have been identified as probable vaccine candidates and have been suggested for wet laboratory experiments for the Zika virus vaccine. The list of identified peptide regions is shown in Table 3 (amino acid numbers based on protein sequence having Accession ID AHL43501.1).

The technological imperative

The above discussions describe the considerable changes and progress in the Zika virus to date. Currently, with tremendous advances in immunoinformatics, genomics, information technology and developments in analytic and computational hardware, it is paramount to use the vast variety of available technological tools and techniques to mitigate and combat emerging diseases that may reach epidemic and pandemic levels. Basak et al. proposed a four-pronged approach to monitoring the epidemiology and characterization of suspected pathogens. This approach involved the consideration of fast computational methods to determine the novelty and the severity of the emerging pathogens, rapid assessment, and the development of vaccines. Such development spans across the creation of peptide vaccines and the computer-assisted design of therapeutics, to the development of new or repurposed drugs to help control the impact of the disease. Such applications in a fast-developing pandemic like the SARS-CoV-2 could conceivably help mitigate its effects, but these methods are still in a stage of infancy.

In another technological approach, Nandy et al. proposed setting up peptide vaccine labs and production centres at selected locations around the globe. While we have covered in many reviews the different approaches to designing peptide vaccines against pandemic viruses like Zika and COVID-19, several issues need to be resolved in the wet-lab environment before such vaccines can be safely and successfully marketed. With these issues taken care of and peptide vaccines accepted in the regulatory framework, one can conceive a situation where an emergent
epidemic virus is detected early, analysed in selected labs and the peptide targets identified for vaccine production. These targets can then be electronically sent to the regional labs where they can be modified to better suit local communities through their HLA alleles and then mass produced to optimally mitigate the epidemic/pandemic crises until more mature and well-tested vaccines are realised. This technology-based approach from start to finish could conceivably deliver a working product in a matter of months rather than years as more mature methodologies would require.

**Future direction**

To combat the epidemic/pandemic situations due to virus infection, the optimal option is the development of vaccines. After the latest outbreak of Zika virus and the emergence of various severe side effects, researchers all over the globe have focused on the development of safe and efficacious vaccines. Though there is not yet an accepted candidate for a Zika virus vaccine, there are many candidates currently under development that are in different stages of human clinical trials. The current race to develop viable vaccines against the SARS-CoV-2 virus follows this well-trodden path, although it seems that given the worldwide effort, results may be more forthcoming for this virus compared to Zika virus. Although Zika virus infection has already reduced significantly, the quest for suitable vaccines continues. According to various reports from WHO and CDC, though low numbers of new Zika virus infections are currently being reported, the virus is still circulating, especially in South and Southeast Asia. Therefore, the potential for new outbreaks exists and highlights the need to expedite vaccine development to guard against such eventualities.

To date, Zika virus vaccine development has followed tradi-

---

**Table 3. List of identified peptide regions suitable for vaccine design against Zika virus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid range of identified regions</th>
<th>Amino acid sequence of identified regions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90–112</td>
<td>DIPLPWHAGADTGPHWNNKEAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210–230</td>
<td>KVPAQMAVDQQLTPVGLIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38–56</td>
<td>EVTPNSPRAEATLGGFGL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230–250</td>
<td>TANPVITESTENKMLLELDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–25</td>
<td>VHGSQHSGMIVND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tional vaccine design protocols. However, with the reduction in virulence, the virus may be inactive in its current genetic form by the time such vaccines would be available on the market. Similar to other viruses, Zika virus can mutate frequently and create new forms of the virus. In these cases, traditional vaccines designed against current strains of the Zika virus may lose their relevance and be ineffective against mutated strains. In this context, peptide vaccines that are designed based on conserved (less mutable) and surface exposed regions (more binding ability to the receptor) of the virus may be a promising vaccine design protocol for the future. In this sense, more emphasis may be given to computational peptide vaccine design and experimental validation of the resultant peptide library for sustainable, community-specific peptide vaccine against Zika virus.

Conclusions

With the help of modern bioinformatics approaches, in association with biotechnology and computer assisted peptide and protein design technologies, it is necessary to have a readily available protocol for vaccine design to combat a virus so that quick action can be taken to mitigate the crisis. This framework would not only suggest vaccine candidates for Zika virus, but also provide a generalized protocol with a concrete mathematical foundation to help automate the process of identifying the best peptide vaccine candidates. Thus, in the future, such a framework has the potential to provide a rapid solution to vaccine design for any upcoming deadly virus.
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