A Vaccine Kit for Prevention and Therapy of Alzheimer’s Disease in a Transgenic Mouse Model
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Abstract

Background and objective: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a major health problem in developed countries. The absence of specific biomarkers for diagnosis and the multiple limitations of available screening methods have slowed down the efficient implementation of AD population-based screening programs. Based on recent developments, we have designed and tested a new AD vaccine tool kit as an effective framework for large-scale animal model screening. The AD vaccine tool kit was developed for transgenic animal models of AD in order to evaluate their neuropathological characteristics via multiple screening strategies.

Methods: This vaccine consists of the inoculation of a new immunogen-adjuvant designed to specifically activate antibodies against the generation of neuritic plaques produced by the toxic excess of amyloid-β (Aβ) and simultaneously to deal with the autoimmune activation that triggers acute meningoencephalitis, as observed in human trials in the past.

Results: In the present study, we show the current status of the AD vaccine tool kit, with a special focus on the evaluated data and its available settings. We also review the strengths and limitations of this kit in the context of its applicability in experimental research.

Conclusions: We focus our attention on the ‘framework experimental immunization guidelines’ for AD screening, which have recently been boosted by numerous research lines implemented throughout the scientific community.

Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common type of dementia, affecting multiple brain functions. The exact cause of AD is still unknown, although some factors are thought to increase the risk of developing this progressive neurological disease. These factors include increasing age, a genetic-risk family history, previous severe head injuries, adverse lifestyle and cardiovascular aspects. The neuropathological hallmarks in the affected brain are characterized by the accumulation of extracellular amyloid-β (Aβ) peptide in amyloid plaques and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles with hyperphosphorylated tau proteins and massive neuronal-synaptic loss, affecting particularly the neocortex, hippocampus and entorhinal regions.

In AD preclinical studies that have focused on basic research and drug discovery, animal models (mice in particular) are essential tools for uncovering biological mechanisms, validating molecular targets and screening potential immunization compounds. Both transgenic (Tg) and nongenetically-modified mouse models enable access to different types of AD-like pathology in vivo, crucial for gaining knowledge on disease etiology and to study the multiple AD interventions measured by targeting biomarkers. Over the last decade, the scientific community has been taking advantage of the potential aspects of double- and triple-Tg mouse lines, used to study emergent therapies for the prevention and reduction of the neurodegenerative features of AD, in order to extrapolate later to human studies. Among these, active and passive immunization procedures against Aβ have been widely inves-
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tigated as potential immunotherapeutic approaches.\textsuperscript{9,10} However, until now, the AD vaccines tested in mice and humans have failed to control the progress of AD neuropathology, due to a massive T cell activation that results in a meningoencephalitis-like reaction.\textsuperscript{11}

The purpose of this paper is to present a novel AD vaccine tool kit, that allows preclinical investigators and biomedical researchers to test its efficacy on different animal models of AD. Moreover, the AD vaccine tool kit was also designed to be a useful tool in the developmental process of improving our understanding of the pathology of AD in Tg models. This experimental tool is formed by the components of the immunogenic vaccine EB101, with a positive effect on the immunotherapeutic response of AD-like pathology in mouse models.\textsuperscript{12–17} Therefore, this effective vaccine was formulated to reduce Aβ burden by generating robust anti-Aβ antibody production, preventing or slowing down the AD-like pathological alterations and stimulating an anti-inflammatory T helper (Th) 2 immune response.\textsuperscript{18–20}

Materials and methods

This innovative AD vaccine tool kit consists of several doses of immunotherapeutic inoculation compound, designed to specifically activate antibodies against the generation of neuritic plaques produced by Aβ, and simultaneously to deal with the autoimmune activation that triggers acute brain inflammation.\textsuperscript{12–17} The AD vaccine tool kit was developed based on previous results obtained with the EB101 vaccine compound (Fig. 1\textsuperscript{\textcopyright}), formed by liposomes encapsulating phospholipids (phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylglycerol, and cholesterol), into which a naturally-occurring immunostimulant adjuvant called sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) with neuroregenerator characteristics and the antigenic Aβ1–42 protein is introduced.\textsuperscript{12–17}

Animals

A well-studied mouse model of Aβ amyloidosis is the double-Tg mice B6C3F1/J (APP\textsuperscript{Swe}/PS1\textsuperscript{Ar}) expressing a chimeric mouse/human amyloid precursor protein (Mo/HuAPP\textsuperscript{Swe}) and human presenilin 1 (PS1\textsuperscript{ΔE9}) mutants, both directed to central nervous system neurons, that exhibits Aβ plaques in the hippocampus and cortex beginning at 6 months of age (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA). All experimental procedures conformed to the guidelines established by the European Communities Directive 2010/63/EU and the Spanish Royal Decree 1201/2005 for animal experimentation, and were approved by the Ethical Committee of the EuroEspes Biotechnology Research Centre (Permit number: EE/2015-14).

Experimental design

Two groups of experimental studies, preventive treatment (before amyloid deposition onset, starting at 7 weeks of age) and therapeutic treatment (after amyloid deposition onset at 35 weeks of age), were carried out as described in previous reports.\textsuperscript{12–17} Similar to the long-term protocol reported by Schenk and colleagues,\textsuperscript{9} Mice of both sexes (balanced between treatment groups) were randomly assigned to each of the two experimental groups, and they were divided into two treatment groups per study. For the preventive treatment, Group A was formed by 10 mice (7 Tg and 3 wild-type mice) that were immunized with a cocktail of synthetic human Aβ42/S1P-containing liposomes (EB101), and Group B was formed by 10 mice (7 Tg and 3 wild-type mice, control) inoculated with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). For the therapeutic treatment, the same treatments were administrated in Groups A and B, formed by 10 mice in each group.

Preparation of Aβ

Two milligrams of human Aβ1–42 (Tocris Bioscience, Tocris Cookson Ltd., Minneapolis, MN, USA), corresponding to the human form of the predominant Aβ found in the brains of patients with AD, were dissolved in 0.9 mL of autoclaved ultrapure water and brought up to 1 mL total volume by adding 0.1 mL of 10x PBS. This solution was vortexed, lyophilized and stored as a dry powder at −20 °C until the preparation of liposomes.

Preparation of liposomes

Liposomes were prepared from 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylglycerol (sodium salt), and cholesterol (Northern Lipids Inc., Transferra Nanosciences Inc., Burnaby, BC, Canada), plus/minus D-erythro-S1P (at 0.3/0.3/0.39/0.01, molar ratio, respectively). A 100 mg aliquot of each lipid was dissolved in 1 mL of chloroform and stored at −20 °C until the preparation of the S1P liposomes, while 10 mg was dissolved in 1.5 mL (2:1 chloroform/methanol) and stored at −20 °C until use. Lipids, in the final proportion indicated above, were thoroughly mixed in the organic solvent and evaporated under nitrogen. The corresponding mixture, containing 100 mg of total lipid and 0.6 mg of S1P, was resuspended in autoclaved ultrapure water and thoroughly vortex-mixed until a milky solution was formed, the so-called “multilamellar vesicles”. Small or single unilamellar vesicles were subsequently prepared by sonication of the multilamellar vesicles for 2 m at 30-s intervals in an ice bath until the solution was clear, and then centrifuged at 2,500 g/15-m to eliminate debris. The SUV preparation was freeze-dried and resuspended in PBS-containing Aβ (10 mg), and thoroughly mixed. The freeze-dried mixture was resuspended in the corresponding amount of autoclaved ultrapure water, ready for immunization. A 100 μL aliquot of EB101 was used for the im-
Preparation of EB101 liposomal formulation

We combined a biologically active lipid, S1P, with the antigenic Aβ1–42 protein, an adjuvant previously used for liposomal immunization that has been successfully used for other vaccines, including influenza. This new liposomal vehicle acts as a matrix to solubilize and deliver Aβ1–42 and S1P as an adjuvant (Fig. 1).

Immunization procedures

Wild-type and AD Tg mice were inoculated intraperitoneally with 100 µL per injection of EB101 vaccine during 7 months (9 injections) for each treatment period. The immunization protocol was systematically used in previous similar studies and was followed strictly in accordance with the related published studies,21,22 with 3 injections every 2 weeks in the first month, and then 1 injection each following month. This immunization regime must be followed during the preventive and therapeutic periods.

Immunohistochemistry analysis

Immunohistochemical hallmarks were analyzed by using methods described in previous publications.12–17 In summary, parallel transverse sections (12–14 µm) from the left half of the brain were sectioned by cryostat and pretreated with H2O2 in PBS at room temperature for 15 m to eliminate endogenous peroxidase. They were then rinsed twice in 0.05 M Trizma-buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.1% Tween-20 at pH 7.4 (TBS-T) for 10 m each, pretreated with an avidin/biotin blocking kit (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, USA) and then incubated overnight with the corresponding primary antibody [Aβ1–42, mouse monoclonal 1:1,000, 05-831-I (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA); glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), mouse monoclonal 1:100, G-3893 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA); CD11/B-cells, rat polyclonal 1:500, MABF514 (Millipore)], as shown in Figure 2.

The sections were successively rinsed in TBS-T, incubated in goat IgG anti-fat (Millipore) or goat IgG anti-mouse (Sigma), depending on the primary antibody, for 1 h, rinsed in TBS-T, and then incubated for 30 m using the ABC kit system (Vectastain; Vector Labs). The labeling was revealed by incubating sections with 3,3-diaminobenzidine (Sigma) as chromogen and hydrogen peroxide as oxidant. In several adjacent sections, negative controls (performed by omitting the primary, secondary or tertiary antibodies) showed no immunostaining.

Images were visualized using a microscope (BX50; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and digitized using a digital camera (DP-10; Olympus). The photographs were adjusted for brightness and contrast with Corel Photo-Paint (Corel 11, Ottawa, Canada) and figure images were composed using Corel Draw. Immunopositive hallmark quantitation was performed with pixcavator 4.0 software on the left half of the brain of each mouse. The left brain coronal sections were previously reconstituted from digital images acquired with a 10x–20x objective using the Photo-Merge tool in Corel Draw.

Indications and usage

EB101 is a lyophilized vaccine constituted by Aβ1–42 and S1P emulsified in liposome complex, indicated for the prevention and treatment of AD pathological dysfunction in animal models (Fig. 3).

Dosage and administration

EB101 is administered intraperitoneally, as indicated above. For most experimental protocols, the starting dose is 100 µg in 100 µL.
of PBS per injection, up to once monthly. This is increased to 120 µg or decreased to 90 µg, based on efficacy/tolerability. A starting dose of 100 µL of EB101 should be considered in mice older than 6 months of age. The maximum recommended dosing frequency is one injection per week. EB101 may be administered without adjuvants. In AD Tg mice older than 12 months, the recommended starting dose is 100 µg in 100 µL per injection.

Dosage forms and strengths

EB101 lyophilized powder (100 µg) was reconstituted with 90 µL, 100 µL or 120 µL of PBS per injection. Solution for injection was supplied as a single-dose vial of lyophilized vaccine to be reconstituted. The single-dose after reconstitution was 100 mL.

Contraindications

Contraindications included administration with complete Freund’s adjuvant or other adjuvants, and severe allergic reaction (e.g., anaphylaxis) after a previous dose of any component of EB101.

Results and discussion

Efficacy of AD vaccine (EB101) in preventing and treating Aβ burden

We have recently designed, developed and tested a vaccine against the pathological effects of AD in mice, based on passive immunotherapy delivering Aβ1–42 in a novel immunogen-adjuvant consisting of S1P-containing liposomes, to APP/PS1 Tg mice before and after detectable AD-like neuropathological hallmarks. Quantitative analysis of amyloid burden area and density, performed in the affected brain regions such as neocortex, entorhinal cortex and hippocampus, showed a remarkable decrease in Aβ plaques, when EB101 vaccine was compared with other control treatments (Fig. 2). This finding demonstrates a key role as a regenerative-neuroprotective agent during the development and consolidation periods of AD neuropathology.

Therefore, results published by Carrera and colleagues demonstrated that EB101 vaccine significantly prevents and halts the development of AD neuropathological hallmarks in mice by inhibiting the generation of new Aβ plaques, reducing dystrophic plaque neurites and minimizing neuroinflammation (Fig. 2), while reducing notably the neuronal degeneration and thus prolonging lifespan in Tg mice, paving the way for future clinical trials. Based on our previous studies, the preventive and therapeutic effects of the EB101 vaccine in APP/PS1 mice, designed to address the pitfalls of previous preclinical vaccines, preserve the immunogenicity targeted for the reduction of Aβ burden, but avoid the massive activation of T cell-mediated immune response that potentially causes adverse inflammatory effects.

Efficacy of AD vaccine (EB101) in the immune response of APP/PS1 mouse models

The immune response of AD vaccine in Tg mice was addressed by the quantification of astrocyte activation density in the hip-
pocampal regions. Reactive astrocytes are known to be implicated in several pathological mechanisms, such as neuroinflammation, amyloidogenesis and neuronal cell death in AD. At the end of the preventive immunization, EB101 vaccine led to a significant reduction in the density of GFAP-reactive cell clusters in the hippocampal and neocortical regions, when compared with control treatments. Transverse brain sections of AD Tg mice immunized with EB101 vaccine showed only a few scattered GFAP-reactive clusters, mainly at the outer cortical layers, contrasting with the numerous dystrophic reactive astrocytes observed in different cortical and hippocampal areas of mouse brains under control treatment (Fig. 2).

After therapeutic immunization with the EB101 vaccine, AD Tg mouse brains were histopathologically similar to those of wild-type control mice, mostly devoid of astrogliosis and reactive clusters, except for a few scattered hallmarks in the intermediate cortical layers. No astrogliosis was observed in wild-type control mice during preventive or therapeutic treatment periods.

**Dual effect of the AD vaccine (EB101) in APP/PS1 mouse models**

The data already published by Carrera and colleagues support the dual beneficial effect observed in all the experimental studies in which the EB101 vaccine was used as an immunotherapeutic agent in AD mouse models.12-17 The results indicate the potential benefits of EB101 immunization, emphasizing the effective Aβ1–42 protein delivered in a novel immunogen-adjuvant composed of liposome-containing S1P, which induces a safe and effective antibody response, while preventing damaging neuroinflammation and ameliorating pathological brain degeneration in mice. The data collected during 5 years of preclinical research indicate that the chronic administration of EB101 vaccine to AD Tg mice led to a dual immunotherapeutic effect.

When AD Tg mice were immunized with EB101 vaccine at younger ages, before Aβ plaques appeared, the preventive effect was observed since a notably reduced number of Aβ plaques were developed at older ages. The dual effect was confirmed when EB101 vaccine induced a significant reduction of Aβ accumulation in both cortical and hippocampal regions of AD Tg mice when Aβ plaques had already developed. This therapeutic effect was also measured by different imaging and biochemical methods, leading to the experimental assumption that AD vaccine immunization protocol can be effective both before and after Aβ plaque development (Fig. 2). Therefore, immunization with EB101 has demonstrated a significant neuroprotective effect in the prevention and control of AD-like neuropathology, by halting disease progression without developing behavioral deficits in the AD Tg mice tested.12-17

**EB101 AD vaccine immunization strategy in Tg mice**

The immunotherapeutic approach has become one of the most promising ways to prevent or treat AD and related neuropathological hallmarks, based on previous results of immunization strategies in Tg mice.23 Over the past decade, the goal of achieving an effective immunity rate against Aβ by means of a range of different antigenic designs and immunomodulatory strategies has been attempted, with varying success in AD mouse model studies.24-26 However, some of these studies have demonstrated that both passive and active immunization can lead to a significant reduction in amyloid deposition density and can even prevent the decline in cognitive performance in mice.27

At present, our EB101 vaccine is one of few vaccines against AD that has demonstrated effective results in AD Tg animal models genetically affected by the related pathological hallmarks.12-17 Moreover, recent advances in the development of liposome-based immunization techniques have demonstrated effective potential in the treatment of AD.28 Based on our previous studies, using active immunotherapy to reduce Aβ plaque accumulation,12-17 here, we show a newly-developed vaccine tool kit to be applied to animal AD models in order to circumvent the previous vaccine failures in humans, due to an extensive T cell-mediated immune response.29

The present EB101 vaccine tool kit features a novel, proven formulation that generates autoimmunity against Aβ1–42, and easily associates with the phospholipid-S1P-liposomes by the hydration-rehydration method.12-17 This methodology has been used previously in other immunization studies for an efficient liposomal-protein configuration, overcoming the immune pathological response of other types of adjuvants such as Freund’s adjuvant,6,26 Quil-A and the detergent polysorbate 80 to solubilize Aβ, which are believed to induce a proinflammatory Th1 cell response.7,29,30

Experimental studies using Aβ immunotherapy in Tg mouse models have revealed the induction of significantly high Aβ antibody levels in serum, depending on the type of vaccine adjuvant, mouse model, immunization methodology, and the level of response evoked by the adjuvant applied.31,32 These factors have been taken into consideration in the final formulation strategy for the development of a novel adjuvant-liposomal vaccine kit, in which the phospholipid-S1P-liposomes represent the pivotal structure of the lyophilized powder that provides an effective immunotherapeutic AD-related biomarker response, unlike previous vaccine formulations tested in AD-like mice. The remarkable effect of the EB101 immunization protocol, obtained in AD Tg mice after the establishment of Aβ plaques in the hippocampus/neocortex and subsequent neuropathological changes, demonstrates that the EB101 vaccine tool kit presents a dramatic potential as a future therapeutic agent to effectively reverse the progression of pathological hallmarks.13-17

The experimental results obtained, both in the preventive and therapeutic treatments, demonstrated the dual effectivity of EB101 vaccine, not only in preventing the development of AD-like pathology but also in reducing its development once established. By using the EB101 vaccine tool kit in APP/PS1 Tg mice as preventive immunization, a significant reduction in density of the Aβ plaque burden was observed in the affected regions, as well as reduced burden areas when compared with APP/PS1 mice treated with different vaccine components or PBS. Schenk and colleagues reported similar preventive immunization results in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease (PDAPP) mice, although immunization efficiency and vaccine conformation have been improved in the present vaccine formulation.

The scientific community has accepted massive glial activation as a direct response to early development of Aβ deposits in the AD brain, representing the main key to an efficient preventive immunization.32-35 Accepting this statement, we have developed the EB101 vaccine under this hypothesis, reinforcing its effect on the prevention of the astrocyte activation process. Since the activation of astrocytes and microglia has been reported to induce the degradation of Aβ,36,37 the near-absence of Aβ-related astrocytosis in cortex and hippocampus of mice treated with EB101 vaccine confirmed the preventive effect against the development of AD-like pathology in this mouse model.13-17

Comparative Aβ antibody titers and Th1/Th2 cytokine levels have been also analyzed in previous studies by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay during mouse immunization. Data have showed that preventive treatment with EB101 resulted in a marked...
increase of specific IgG Aβ1–42 antibody titers production,12–15 between 1:2,000 and 1:8,000, while the control group showed three and four times lower serum titer level (p < 0.01). The effect of EB101 vaccine on Th1 and Th2 cytokines was also studied.12–15 The EB101-treated group showed a tendency to Th1 secretion reduction, with respect to the control group, in both preventive and therapeutic treatments. The changes in Th2 protein levels followed the inverse trend, where the highest differences between the EB101-treated group and the control group were observed in the interleukin (IL)-4, IL-5 and IL-10 cytokine secretions (p < 0.05). The data indicates that EB101 vaccine resulted in an effective immunological response in AD mice models, including the induction of a Th2 cell response, which effectively prevented neuroinflammation.

These studies have provided further evidence to demonstrate that the EB101 vaccine tool kit (Fig. 3) is a reliable immunization agent in animal models used in AD research. However, the mechanism by which passive immunization blocks AD hallmarks is not yet well understood.16–17 One possibility is that the antibodies neutralize Aβ in some restricted compartment or deplete the soluble form of Aβ responsible for the pathological degeneration observed in the brain.18 In recent studies, soluble Aβ forms have been proposed as the cause of synapse loss in APP Tg mice.41 A second possibility is the clearance effect of Aβ deposits exerted by microglia, activated by immunizations.42 Based on our previous reports,12–17 the absence of adverse effects on behavior and brain functioning, and the neuroprotection in the affected area by the EB101 vaccine, we strongly recommend trying this AD vaccine tool kit for the treatment and prevention of AD in different animal models.

Future research directions

Currently, immunotherapy has become a reliable strategy and is starting to play a strong role not only in the preclinical research studies of degenerative molecular interaction but also in early diagnosis and treatment.43,44 However, it remains to be seen whether the antibody-based EB101 vaccine under development will have the high impact that is expected in other animal models, and probably in AD patients in a near future. The AD vaccine tool kit presentation (Fig. 3) described above, encourages optimism in which immunotherapy will surely constitute the best therapeutic treatment and prevention strategy against AD in the next decade.

An effective immunotherapy technique that can delay AD onset by 5 years would reduce the prevalence of the disease by half, while delaying its onset for 10 years would almost eradicate symptomatic AD.45 With the growing societal and governmental recognition of the importance of improving AD treatment, in the coming years this dramatic development process of passive vaccination for AD will hopefully bring some good news to the global health system.

Conclusions

We have shown above the beneficial dual effect of the EB101 AD vaccine tool kit derived from combining the liposomal/S1P adjuvant with an Aβ1–42 antigen to induce an effective immunological response in a mouse model of AD. Based on the numerous research studies performed, we can assume that the EB101 vaccine prevents or strongly reduces the main AD-like pathological hallmarks in the brain, while inhibiting astrocyte activation and the neuroinflammation response that is expected to develop in AD Tg mice. However, further studies are needed in other AD animal models to effectively test the therapeutic approach of this preclinical vaccine formulation. We believe that understanding the biological mechanisms of this immunotherapeutic paradigm will speed up novel treatment regimens for AD patients.
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