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Abstract

Hepatic encephalopathy is a spectrum of reversible neuropsychiatric abnormalities, seen in patients with liver dysfunction and/or portosystemic shunting. One of the most debilitating complications of cirrhosis, encephalopathy affects 30-45% of cirrhotics. In addition to significantly affecting the lives of patients and their caregivers, it is also associated with increased morbidity and mortality as well as significant utilization of health care resources. In this paper, we provide an overview on the pathophysiology, diagnosis, management and newer therapies of hepatic encephalopathy.


Introduction

Cirrhosis is the 12th leading cause of death in the United States (US), accounting for more than 36,000 deaths and having a death rate of 11.5 per 100,000 in 2013.1 Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is one of the most debilitating complications of cirrhosis and severely affects the lives of patients and their caregivers.2 HE embodies a spectrum of reversible neuropsychiatric abnormalities, which are seen in patients with liver dysfunction and/or portosystemic shunting.3,4

HE is generally divided into covert and overt types. Covert HE includes minimal HE and grade 1 HE according to the West Haven criteria (WHC), while overt HE includes grades 2–4 (Table 1).3 HE is further divided into 3 subtypes based on etiology, with type A associated with acute liver failure, type B with portosystemic shunts (such as transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS)) and type C with cirrhosis.4,6 This review will focus on HE types B and C, which can either be spontaneous or precipitated. Depending on its frequency, HE is classified as episodic (if one episode occurs in 6 months), recurrent (if more than one episode occurs in a 6-month period) or persistent (if the neuropsychiatric abnormalities persist and do not return to baseline).3,4,6

Incidence and disease burden

Overt and clinically evident forms of HE occur in 30–45% of patients with cirrhosis and in 10-50% of patients who undergo TIPS placement, while the subtler forms occur in a higher percentage of patients.7 HE is associated with increases in hospitalizations, health care costs and mortality. In 2003 alone, over 40,000 patients were hospitalized in the US for a primary diagnosis of HE.7 Resource utilization for patients discharged from US hospitals with a diagnosis of HE increased from 2005 to 2009, with total national charges related to HE estimated at $7244.7 million in 2009.8 Moreover, HE remains the most common reason for readmission of patients hospitalized with decompensated cirrhosis.9

Development of HE is associated with increased mortality. In a study by Bustamante and colleagues,10 patients with cirrhosis who developed HE had a 1-year survival probability of 42% and 3-year survival probability of 23%. The increased mortality observed in patients with HE is independent of model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score.11 The presence of grade 3 or 4 HE in patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation has been reported as associated with a 66% greater risk of 90-day mortality, as compared to patients without HE.12 Patients who had grade 3 or 4 HE at time of liver transplantation showed significantly lower 1- and 5-year survival rates, as compared to patients without HE at time of transplant (1-year: 82.5% vs 90.3%, p < 0.001; 5-year: 69.1% vs 74.4%, p < 0.001).12

Pathophysiology

The pathophysiology of HE is multifactorial and involves agents such as ammonia (NH3), inflammatory cytokines, manganese deposition in the basal ganglia, and benzodiazepine-like compounds (i.e. gamma-amino butyric acid (GABA)); recent studies are also recognizing roles for microbiota and aromatic amino acids.3,5,14 Although HE pathogenesis is a complex entity (Fig. 1) with multiple components resulting in functional impairment of neuronal cells—none of which are well understood—NH3 has been considered the primary pathophysiologic mechanism of HE.

NH3 is mostly a gut-derived nitrogenous toxin produced by bacterial metabolism of urea from proteins that are consumed...
in the human diet.\textsuperscript{5,14} NH\textsubscript{3} is metabolized by the liver and subsequently cleared by the kidneys, and to a lesser extent by the muscles.\textsuperscript{5} In patients with cirrhosis, liver dysfunction impairs hepatic metabolism of NH\textsubscript{3} and portal hypertension results in shunting of NH\textsubscript{3}-rich portal blood to the systemic circulation without detoxification.\textsuperscript{5,14,15} In the brain, NH\textsubscript{3} crosses the blood-brain barrier and is metabolized in the astrocytes by glutamine synthetase, which converts NH\textsubscript{3} and glutamate to glutamine.\textsuperscript{14} Accumulation of glutamine in astrocytes creates an osmotic gradient, resulting in astrocyte swelling\textsuperscript{1,5} and generation of reactive oxygen species, thereby contributing to the cerebral dysfunction seen in HE.\textsuperscript{14} The dysbiosis and increased gut permeability seen in cirrhosis also results in an increase in the production of multiple inflammatory cytokines, which contributes to an increase in blood-brain barrier permeability and contributes to cerebral edema.\textsuperscript{3} Ammonia also binds to GABA receptors on astrocytes, leading to activation and production of neurosteroids, which further contribute to the occurrence of HE.\textsuperscript{3} The neurotoxicity of NH\textsubscript{3} results in increased resting membrane potential and inactivation of neuronal chloride extrusion pumps; these processes result in inhibition of both axonal conduction and excitatory postsynaptic potentials, subsequently suppressing inhibitory postsynaptic potential formation and depolarizing neurons.\textsuperscript{16} The resulting total brain NH\textsubscript{3} in HE may not reach an order of magnitude sufficient to alter the postsynaptic potentials, until advanced stages, but likely contributing to asterixis. As glutamine is the main amino acid involved in HE, glutaminase haplotypes have been found to be associated with overt HE, as well as increased mitochondrial NH\textsubscript{3} resulting in reactive nitrogen and oxygen species worsening brain edema.\textsuperscript{17–19} Furthermore, as overt HE has been associated with elevated NH\textsubscript{3} levels, recent studies suggest that various interactions with gut microbiota can play a crucial role in HE. A proposed mechanism of dysbiosis in cirrhotosics relates to bile acids.\textsuperscript{20} As commensal bacteria receive energy from primary bile acids that transition to secondary bile acids (i.e. cholic acid $\rightarrow$ deoxycholic acid), destabilization of bacterial membranes, along with increased intestinal permeability, results in an antimicrobial effect.\textsuperscript{21} As cirrhosis progresses to a decompensated state, the microbiome enters a dysbiosis state,\textsuperscript{22} leading to greater inflammation and cholestasis, in turn suppressing bile acid production in the liver and resulting in an overgrowth of pathogenic bacteria as the intestinal bile acids are decreased. Following the decrease in the ratio of secondary bile acids to primary bile acids, as seen in cirrhotosics, the composition of gut microbiota becomes altered, resulting in a reduction in commensal bacteria.\textsuperscript{23} Cirrhotic patients have also been shown to have an increased \textit{Bacteroides/Firmicutes} ratio, with changes in other pathogenic bacteria as well, including an increase in \textit{Enterobacteriaceae} and a correlated reduction in the commensal bacterium \textit{Lachnospiraceae}.\textsuperscript{24} The central role of gut microbiota in the pathogenesis of HE was recently demonstrated in a mouse model of cirrhosis (and compared to conventionally raised cirrhotic mice), wherein, under germ-free conditions, no brain inflammation or hyperammonemia was found to occur.\textsuperscript{25}

Alternatively, proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) may contribute to the dysbiosis that is seen in patients with cirrhosis. The decrease in stomach acidity facilitates intestinal bacterial overgrowth and increases the risk of translocation of gut bacteria.\textsuperscript{26} This finding led to concerns that PPIs may be a risk factor for the development of HE. Dam and colleagues\textsuperscript{26} used data from patients with ascites and cirrhosis who were enrolled in clinical trials evaluating the efficacy of satavaptan to examine whether PPIs increased the risk of first-time HE. The cumulative 1-year risk for the development of HE was 31% for those who used PPIs at baseline compared to 25% for those who did not. Similar results were reported from a case-control study conducted in Taiwan, which found that, in patients with cirrhosis and an occurrence of HE, 38% ($n = 445$) had a history of PPI use before HE occurrence.\textsuperscript{27}

\textbf{Diagnosis}

HE tends to be a clinical diagnosis; however, covert HE can be more challenging (i.e. findings are normal in clinical examination) to diagnose than overt HE. Patients with covert HE will exhibit subtle signs and symptoms, like sleep-wake cycle disturbances; therefore, neuropsychological and psychometric tests are used to aid in the diagnosis.\textsuperscript{3,4,6,28} These psychometric tests are usually performed by experienced providers and may not be widely available.\textsuperscript{28,29} Furthermore, they are time-consuming and, as a result, are not widely used in clinical practice.\textsuperscript{3,4,6,29} However, the STROOP smartphone application is a short, valid, and reliable tool (c-statistic of 0.84) for screening covert HE patients.\textsuperscript{30} Alternatively, patients with overt HE demonstrating WHC stage 2 or 3, will exhibit mental or behavioral changes, such as confusion, disorientation, bizarre behavior and personality changes (Table 1). These patients can exhibit astrexis, tremors and/or ataxia, in addition to hyperreflexia and hypertonia.\textsuperscript{3} Patients with WHC stage 4 HE are comatose and their exam will show diminished or absent deep tendon reflexes. The diagnosis of HE in these patients is often made based on clinical grounds after exclusion of other factors that may cause encephalopathy.\textsuperscript{29,31}

Elevated fasting NH\textsubscript{3} levels in patients without clinical signs of HE was shown to correlate with the probability of occurrence of HE episodes. Patients that had NH\textsubscript{3} values 1.5 times higher than the upper limit of normal (ULN) had a higher probability of developing an HE episode, as compared to patients with NH\textsubscript{3} levels below 1.5 ULN ($0.44 \pm 0.22$, $p = 0.003$). Similarly, the annualized rates of HE-related hospitalizations and HE episodes were higher in patients with NH\textsubscript{3} levels $>1.5$ ULN at baseline compared with those with normal NH\textsubscript{3} or $>1$ ULN.\textsuperscript{32} Measurement of NH\textsubscript{3} levels is not needed and does not add any diagnostic, staging or prognostic value in HE patients with

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|l|}
\hline
Grade or stage & Clinical features \\
\hline
1 & Minimal lack of awareness, shortened attention span, impairment of calculation ability, altered sleep pattern \\
\hline
2 & Disorientation (usually to time), lethargy, inappropriate behavior, personality changes \\
\hline
3 & Gross disorientation (time and place), marked confusion, somnolent but responsive to stimuli, incomprehensible speech \\
\hline
4 & Coma, unresponsive to verbal or physical stimulation \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Grade or stage of HE according to the west haven criteria\textsuperscript{6,15,34}}
\end{table}
chronic liver disease. Furthermore, a previous study evaluated 59 cirrhotic patients and correlated NH₃ levels using the WHC and critical flicker frequency (CFF) test, and found that up to 41% and 49% of patients were misdiagnosed if NH₃ levels were used solely to diagnose HE, as compared to using the WHC and CFF. The authors concluded that NH₃ levels...
should not be used as a screening test and do not reliably detect HE in the emergency department, as frequent misinterpretations can arise when using NH₃ blood levels in cirrhotic patients.³³ Therefore, measurement of NH₃ is not required for the diagnosis and management of these patients; however, if NH₃ levels are normal in a patient with chronic liver disease and altered mental status (AMS), then a search for other factors that may cause the change in mental status should be sought.³,⁴

Evaluation of a patient with cirrhosis or portosystemic shunting and signs of overt HE starts with a search for alternative causes of AMS (such as stroke, hypercarbia, etc) and assessment of precipitating factors, correcting them if present (Table 2).⁴,³¹,³⁴ The evaluation includes obtaining history and performing a physical examination to investigate the presence of symptoms of gastrointestinal bleeding, constipation and infections that may have precipitated the HE. Labs are obtained to evaluate for electrolyte abnormalities, renal insufficiency and elevated white blood cell count. A full infectious work-up (including blood cultures, urine analysis and culture), chest X-ray and a diagnostic paracentesis should be undertaken as infections are a common precipitant for HE (Fig. 2). Commonly, patients will undergo cross-sectional imaging of the brain on admission.

One study showed that more than two-thirds of patients admitted with AMS in the setting of cirrhosis underwent brain imaging, but structural brain abnormalities were only found in patients who had focal neurological findings on physical examination.³⁵ Another study by Donovan and colleagues³⁶ evaluated the diagnostic yield of computed tomography (CT) scan in detecting intracranial hemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis and AMS; only 3% of the scans showed evidence of intracranial hemorrhage. The number needed to scan (NNS) for each positive result from CT varied by indication: focal neurologic deficits, NNS = 9; fall/trauma, NNS = 20; and AMS, NNS = 293.³⁶

Assessment for medication noncompliance is a very important part of the evaluation. Data has shown that lack of adherence to a lactulose regimen was responsible for up to 38% of admissions with HE.³⁷ Lack of adherence is most commonly due to gastrointestinal side effects, such as bloating, abdominal pain and diarrhea, leading to inability to titrate bowel movements regularly.³⁷ Up to 80% of patients may have a precipitant.⁴,³⁴ When a precipitant is found, management of the precipitant, along with concomitant lactulose therapy (see below), is recommended.⁴,³⁴ Large portosystemic shunts are found in up to 71% of patients with intractable HE,³⁸ and portosystemic shunts are a target for embolization in patients with intractable HE (see below).

### Table 2. Common precipitants of HE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gastrointestinal bleeding</th>
<th>Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of centrally acting medications</td>
<td>Medication (lactulose and rifaximin) non-compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure and electrolyte abnormalities</td>
<td>Spontaneous portosystemic shunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Treatment**

The two main forms of medical therapy for HE are non-absorbale disaccharides (i.e. lactitol and lactulose) and non-absorbable antibiotics (i.e. rifaximin). The hyperammonemia and inflammation that occurs due to urea breakdown in cirrhotics has led to development of HE treatments that target gut bacteria.³⁹ Lactulose (B-galactosidofructose) and lactitol (B-galactosidosorbitol) are nonabsorbable disaccharides that are metabolized by the colonic microbiota to yield short chain organic acids.⁵ The resultant acidic environment is thought to prevent the growth of NH₃-producing bacteria and promote the growth of beneficial microorganisms. The acidic environment also results in the change of NH₃ to ammonium (NH₄⁺), which is not absorbed and decreases the ammonia load. Furthermore, the laxative effect results in removal of nitrogen-containing substances from the lumen of the gastrointestinal tract.³⁵ Lactulose is administered orally or rectally and titrated to a goal of two to four bowel movements daily.⁴,¹⁵

A previous study demonstrated that 22% of 1-month readmissions were preventable if the proper use of maintenance lactulose treatment and education was given to patients at discharge regarding the correct number of daily bowel movements that need to be achieved.⁴⁰ A Cochrane review carried out in 2016 showed that, compared with placebo/no intervention, the nonabsorbable disaccharides were associated with overall beneficial effects on HE (relative risk: 0.58, 95% confidence interval: 0.50 to 0.69).⁴¹ At present, lactulose is the first-line therapy for HE (although not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for use in HE) while treating the underlying precipitant of HE.⁴ Alternative, antibiotics work by altering the intestinal microbiota composition, and result in decreased production of ammonia.⁴² Rifaximin is the most commonly used nonabsorbable antibiotics and is typically applied as additive therapy to lactulose. Although prior research has evaluated the use of neomycin for overt HE,⁴³ the side effect profile of ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity limits its current use. Other antibiotics such as metronidazole and vancomycin have also been studied, but their long-term use is limited due to potential side effects.³,⁴,⁴²

In 2010, a multicenter study randomized patients who recovered from an episode of overt HE following treatment with rifaximin or placebo. Both groups were continued on lactulose and followed for a 6-month period. A breakthrough episode of HE occurred in 22% (31/140) of patients in the rifaximin group, as compared with 46% (73/159) of patients in the placebo group, resulting in a number needed to treat of 4 patients to prevent one episode of overt HE. Furthermore, a decrease in hospitalization rates were seen in the rifaximin group (14% (19/140)), as compared with 23% (36/159) of patients in the placebo group), giving a hazard ratio of 0.50 (95% confidence interval: 0.29 to 0.87; p = 0.01) and resulting in a number needed to treat of 9 patients to prevent one hospitalization.⁴²

An open label study that expanded on the safety and efficacy of long-term (more than 24 months) rifaximin use demonstrated no increase in the rate of infections, including with Clostridium difficile, or in development of bacterial antibiotic resistance. Furthermore, rates of hospitalizations with long-term rifaximin administration remained low; the HE-related hospitalization rate, normalized for exposure (0.21 events per person-year of exposure; all-rifaximin
population), was similar to that of the rifaximin group in the original randomized controlled trial (RCT) (0.30) and lower than that for the placebo group (0.72).\textsuperscript{44} Despite these data, only 60% of patients with a diagnosis of overt HE are on rifaximin, likely due to the high cost of this medication and lack of adequate insurance coverage.\textsuperscript{45} Data from Europe has shown that treatment with rifaximin can be cost effective through the related decrease in hospitalizations for HE.\textsuperscript{46,47}

Fig. 2. Approach for addressing a patient with suspected HE.
Although cost of obtaining the medication for outpatient therapy is crucial, off-label use of rifaximin for overt HE was studied and 120 cirrhotic patients who were randomized to lactulose alone or lactulose and rifaximin treatment groups during hospitalization. Seventy-six percent of the patients in the combination group had complete reversal of HE, as compared to 50.8% in the lactulose alone group ($p < 0.004$). Patients in the lactulose plus rifaximin group had shorter hospital stay ($5.8 \pm 3.4$ vs $8.2 \pm 4.6$ days, $p = 0.001$). There was also a significant decrease in mortality after treatment with lactulose plus rifaximin compared to lactulose and placebo (23.8% vs 49.1%, $p < 0.05$).48 Although more deaths were seen in lactulose group, no differences in mortality were associated with gastrointestinal bleeding or HRS between groups.

The current guidelines recommend addition of rifaximin to lactulose for the prevention of HE recurrence after the second episode of HE.4 Rifaximin is not recommended, however, as a stand-alone therapy at this point in time; however, further research is needed.4 Various studies have compared the effects of rifaximin as compared to other antibiotics and nonabsorbable disaccharides in the resolution of overt HE. A meta-analysis of these trials, reported in 2014, showed that rifaximin treatment was more likely to fully resolve an episode of overt HE (relative risk: 1.34, 95% confidence interval: 1.11 to 1.62)49 and had a beneficial effect on secondary prevention of HE (relative risk: 1.32; 95% confidence interval: 1.06 to 1.65).49

Another issue that is often forgotten is the role of nutrition in the management of HE. Malnutrition is common in patients with cirrhosis and is associated with increased risk of sarcopenia and worsened survival.4,50–52 Muscle tissue plays an important role in nitrogen metabolism and its loss is associated with increased risk of HE. Malnutrition is multifactorial in patients with cirrhosis and anorexia, early satiety, ascites, frequent paracentesis and AMS, and frequent hospitalizations lead to decreased protein intake and contribute to malnutrition as well.53 The International Society for Hepatic Encephalopathy and Nitrogen Metabolism released a consensus paper in 2013 outlining recommendations for nutritional management in patients with cirrhosis.52 The optimal daily energy intake should be 35–40 kcal/kg ideal body weight. The optimal daily protein intake should be 1.2–1.5 g/kg ideal body weight. The publication suggested that small meals, evenly distributed throughout the day, and a late-night snack of complex carbohydrates will minimize protein utilization.52 In a study by Plank and colleagues,51 provision of night time supplementation was associated with an increase in total body protein stores, as compared to day time feedings. Compliance was an issue in that study, with some patients having difficulty in consuming the full volume of the supplements or taking it all at night time. In those patients, branched chain fatty acids can be used (see below).4,52

**Other treatment regimens**

**Polyethylene glycol (PEG)**

A recent trial comparing PEG and lactulose found that PEG led to more rapid overt HE resolution within 24 hours compared to the standard-of-care lactulose, suggesting that PEG may be superior to standard lactulose therapy in patients with cirrhosis hospitalized for acute HE54 (Fig. 1). Although nasogastric tube placement was used to administer PEG in patients with severe overt HE, difficulty in administering a large volume of PEG in patients with AMS may limit the use of this approach if nasogastric tube placement cannot be achieved.

**Glycerol phenylbutyrate (GPB)**

GPB is a colorless (to pale yellow), nearly tasteless, sodium-free, odorless liquid, which removes nitrogen in the form of urinary phenylacetylglutamine (PAGN), allowing for excretion of NH$_3$ (Fig. 1). As GPB has been shown to be well tolerated,55 a multicenter, RCT phase II trial was conducted.56 Out of 178 cirrhotic patients, 61% (55/90) in the GPB arm (vs 76% (67/88) in the placebo arm) were included in the intention-to-treat analysis. Patients in the GPB group experienced less HE events than those in the placebo group (the primary endpoint; 21% vs 36%, $p = 0.02$). In addition, time to first event (hazard ratio: 0.56, $p < 0.05$), fewer HE hospitalizations (13 vs 25, $p = 0.06$) and total events (35 vs 57, $p = 0.04$) favored the GPB arm over the placebo arm. Among patients not taking rifaximin at enrollment, GPB had even more prominent effects, reducing the proportion of patients with an HE event (10% vs 32%, $p < 0.01$), total events (7 vs 31, $p < 0.01$), and time to first event (hazard ratio: 0.29; $p < 0.01$). Plasma NH$_3$ levels were significantly lower in the GPB arm, while adverse events (about 75% in each group) did not differ between the two groups. Therefore, GPB decreased NH$_3$ and was considered safe and capable of improving outcomes in cirrhotic patients with recurrent HE. Future investigations are anticipated to further establish the role of GPB in cirrhotic patients with HE.

**Ornithine phenylacetate (OP)**

OP (OCR-002) combines ornithine and phenylacetate (PAA) in a crystalline salt and removes nitrogen in the form of urinary PAGN (Fig. 1). Its safety and tolerability was demonstrated in upper gastrointestinal cirrhotic patients without HE, in a study which explored effects on blood ammonia and urine metabolites during a 5-day continuous infusion.57 As expected, OP infusion decreased plasma NH$_3$ levels at every 24-hour time point up to 5 days, with plasma glutamine also being decreased and an increasing concentration of urinary PAGN throughout the 5 days. No serious adverse events were reported. Currently, we are awaiting the results of a multi-center randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled phase 2b trial evaluating OP in overt HE, with results expected in mid-2017.

**Probiotics**

Multiple studies have looked at the use of probiotics for the treatment of HE.54 One study, in which 160 patients with HE were randomly assigned to receive probiotics 3 times daily or no probiotics, was conducted to assess the development of overt HE over a mean follow-up of 40 weeks ± 10 weeks after receiving 3 months of probiotics. Of the 86 patients (42 with covert HE) receiving probiotics, 7 subjects developed overt HE, compared to 74 patients (33 with covert HE) among the controls, of which 14 developed overt HE ($p < 0.05$), as determined after psychometric testing was performed. There were no differences in overall mortality between the two groups. This study concluded that, in patients without prior overt HE, probiotics can be useful in...
preventing overt HE;\textsuperscript{58} however, longer follow-up studies are needed to assess probiotic efficacy.

Another study focused on secondary prophylaxis for HE cirrhotic patients. A total of 235 cirrhotic patients, who had recovered from overt HE, were randomized to receive either lactulose (30 mL 3 times a day; \textit{n} = 80), probiotics (3 capsules daily, containing 112.5 billion viable lyophilized bacteria per capsule; \textit{n} = 77) or placebo (\textit{n} = 78) and were followed for 1 year and tested for development of overt HE by psychometry and using the WHC. Using intention to treat analysis, 30/80 (38\%) receiving lactulose, 35/77 (45\%) receiving probiotics and 50/78 (64\%) receiving no therapy developed recurrent HE, (\textit{p} = 0.003). There were significant differences seen in the cases of lactulose or probiotics given for secondary prophylaxis, as compared to placebo (\textit{p} = 0.001 and \textit{p} = 0.02); however, there were no differences when direct comparisons were made between lactulose and probiotics respectively (\textit{p} = 0.35).\textsuperscript{59}

A recent meta-analysis showed that compared to placebo, probiotic use was associated with improvement in covert HE, decreased hospitalization and decreased progression to overt HE in patients with minimal (i.e. covert) HE.\textsuperscript{60} However, these effects were not observed when probiotic use was compared to lactulose. In addition, probiotics were not associated with any effects on mortality when compared to placebo and lactulose.\textsuperscript{50}

### Branched chain amino acids (BCAAs)

Patients with cirrhosis have an imbalance between aromatic amino acids and BCAAs.\textsuperscript{31} It has been suggested that this imbalance contributes to the development of HE.\textsuperscript{31} A Cochrane review of 16 RCTs comparing BCAA to placebo, no intervention, diet, neomycin or lactulose revealed that BCAAs had a beneficial effect on HE (relative risk: 0.73, 95\% confidence interval: 0.61 to 0.88).\textsuperscript{62} In sensitivity analyses that excluded trials with a lactulose or neomycin control, BCAAs had a beneficial effect on HE (relative risk: 0.76, 95\% confidence interval: 0.63 to 0.92); however, there was no difference between BCAAs and lactulose or neomycin (relative risk: 0.66, 95\% confidence interval: 0.34 to 1.30).\textsuperscript{61} The most up to date recommendations suggest that oral BCAAs can be used as an alternative or additional agent to treat patients nonresponsive to conventional therapy.\textsuperscript{4}

### Zinc

Some of the urea cycle enzymes are zinc-dependent.\textsuperscript{5} Zinc deficiency is prevalent in patients with cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{34} Multiple trials have evaluated the use of zinc in the treatment of HE, yielding variable results. A meta-analysis of four RCTs showed that zinc supplementation improved the results of some psychometric tests but not of HE recurrence.\textsuperscript{31} In the setting of zinc deficiency or confirmed losses, zinc supplementation can be considered when treating HE.\textsuperscript{4}

### L-Ornithine-L-Aspartate (LOLA)

LOLA lowers plasma ammonia concentrations by enhancing the metabolism of ammonia to glutamine.\textsuperscript{5,34} The efficacy of LOLA was evaluated in a meta-analysis by Bai and colleagues\textsuperscript{62} that showed that when comparing to a placebo/no-intervention control, LOLA was significantly more effective in the improvement of HE (relative risk: 1.49, 95\% confidence interval: 1.10 to 2.01). Two studies that compared LOLA and lactulose showed similar efficacy in the treatment of HE (relative risk: 0.88, 95\% confidence interval: 0.57 to 1.35).\textsuperscript{62} Intravenous LOLA can be used as an alternative or additional agent to treat patients nonresponsive to conventional therapy;\textsuperscript{62} however, LOLA is not currently available in the US.

### Portosystemic shunt embolization

Embolization of the portosystemic shunts is thought to offer a therapeutic target in patients with intractable HE.\textsuperscript{4} The concern is that, with embolization, blood is shunted to the portal system and as a result causes an increase in portal hypertension and portal hypertensive complications. Various centers have reported on their outcomes and success rates with these techniques, with varying results. In a study reported by Lynn and colleagues,\textsuperscript{63} 20 patients underwent embolization of large portosystemic shunts for medically refractory HE. The mean preprocedural MELD score was 13.1 ± 3.4. Prior to embolization, 14 (70\%) patients had overt, preexisting portal hypertension. The procedure was technically successful in all patients, with immediate significant improvement in symptoms occurring within 1 week after the procedure. Long-term follow-up information was available for 12/20 patients, with no HE reported hospitalizations within 12 months of the procedure for 8/12 patients. Within the follow-up period, 7 patients presented evidence of worsening portal hypertension; one developed small nonbleeding varices on endoscopy and six had new or worsening ascites.\textsuperscript{63}

Similar results were seen in the multicenter European study, in which 37 patients with a mean MELD score of 13.2 ± 0.9 underwent embolization of portosystemic shunts. On a short-term basis (i.e. within 100 days after embolization), 59.4\% (22/37) of the patients were free of HE (vs before embolization, \textit{p} < 0.001), of which 18 (or 48.6\% of patients overall) remained HE-free over a mean period of follow-up of 697 ± 157 days (vs before embolization, \textit{p} < 0.001). In this study, there was no significant aggravation of portal hypertension during follow-up.\textsuperscript{64} Naeshiro et al.\textsuperscript{65} reported resolution of HE in 13/14 patients who underwent embolization, and aggravation in varices in 29\% of patients (4/14). Another series reported by Zidi et al.\textsuperscript{66} on 7 patients with cirrhosis (mean Child-Pugh score of B9) and intractable HE resulted in sustained improvement in only 1 patient. Four patients died during the follow-up period, two from sepsis and coma and two from variceal bleeding. A pre-embolization MELD score of 11 or more was found to be associated with higher chances of recurrent HE after embolization in the study by Lalman et al.\textsuperscript{64} Singh et al.\textsuperscript{57} proposed a pre-embolization MELD score of 15 as a cut-off to identify potential candidates for embolization.\textsuperscript{64}

### Post-TIPS

HE occurs after TIPS placement in 10-50\% of patients.\textsuperscript{7} An RCT evaluated the efficacy of rifaximin, lactitol or no treatment on the incidence of HE after TIPS placement.\textsuperscript{58} The incidence of HE was found to be similar for the three groups.\textsuperscript{68} The current guidelines do not recommend routine prophylaxis for prevention of post-TIPS HE.\textsuperscript{4}
Extracorporeal devices

Therapeutic alternatives, like extracorporeal devices that remove NH$_3$ from the body have been used for cirrhotic patients with refractory HE and acute liver failure with HE. The Molecular Adsorbent Recirculating System (MARS; Baxter Intl., Deerfield, IL, US) represents the classic device, which was granted Food and Drug Administration approval in January 2013 for the treatment of HE, and is used in nearly 50 countries worldwide, although mostly for research purposes as it is considered a costly treatment. However, a multicenter RCT in patients with severe HE not responding to standard medical therapy (SMT) was conducted using the MARS system. In that study, MARS was used for 6 hours daily for 5 days or until the patient had at least a 2-grade improvement in HE when compared to SMT. A total of 70 patients (median age: 53; median MELD: 32); 66% of who were male, having severe overt HE (grade 3, 56%; grade 4, 44%), were randomized to MARS plus SMT (n = 39) or SMT alone (n = 31). Higher improvement of HE was seen in the MARS plus SMT group (34%) compared to the SMT group (19%, p = 0.044). Overall, HE resolution was reached at a more rapid rate than with the SMT group (p = 0.045), and was tolerated well; however, there was no benefit in regards to mortality between the two groups.

Liver Transplantation

Liver transplantation represents the ultimate treatment for decompensated cirrhosis. Although overt HE significantly improves and reverses after transplant, some patients continue to show signs of cognitive impairment. In a study by Campagna et al., patients with cirrhosis (with or without history of HE) had psychometric evaluation for HE and electroencephalograms (EEGs) both before and after transplant. Patients with a history of overt HE showed greater improvements after liver transplantation than patients with a negative history, but their global cognitive function remained slightly worse; in contrast, EEGs normalized in both groups. Another study evaluated patients with minimal HE who had undergone liver transplant. Patients with a history of overt HE showed greater improvement in HE when compared to SMT. A total of 8 Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology
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