**Supplementary Table 9. Comparison of the practice of empirical antibiotic treatment among China, America, Asia (excluding China), and Europe**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Characteristics** | **China (n = 1438)** | **America (n = 321)** | **Asia (n = 416)** | **Europe (n = 565)** | ***P* value** |
| **Adherence to the EASL empirical antibiotic treatment recommendations, n (%)** | | | | | |
| Adherence | 267 (21.5) | 208 (65.0) | 227 (54.6) | 361 (64.0) | <0.001 |
| Non-adherence | 976 (78.5) | 112 (35.0) | 189 (45.4) | 203 (36.0) | <0.001 |
| Weaker | 243 (24.9) | 71 (63.4) | 123 (65.1) | 131 (64.5) | <0.001 |
| Broader | 733 (75.1) | 41 (36.6) | 66 (34.9) | 72 (35.5) | <0.001 |

Data were presented as number (percent). Statistical analysis was performed by Chi-Squared test or Fisher exact test.

Abbreviations: EASL, European Association for the Study of the Liver.

† Data were extracted from a global study [Piano S, Singh V, Caraceni P, Maiwall R, Alessandria C, Fernandez J, et al. Epidemiology and Effects of Bacterial Infections in Patients With Cirrhosis Worldwide. Gastroenterology 2019;156(5):1368-1380.e1310.].