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Abstract

Introduction: Slow coronary flow (SCF) is an angiographically observed phenomenon that is characterized by slow antegrade progression of radio-opaque contrast agent in the epicardial arteries and without any evidence of obstructive coronary artery disease. Published reports suggest that apart from having several established clinical and physical etiological factors, SCF might have some genetic determinants, along with some easily detectible biochemical correlates.

Materials and methods: We set out to consolidate the information from all published articles exploring genetic determinants, specifically, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and/or biochemical correlates of SCF, employing a systematic review and possibly a meta-analysis.

Results: After a rigorous, well-defined, online database search, we identified 25 relevant original articles published up to December 2016. These articles reported on a total of 60 studies, including 14 for genetic determinants (nst=14) and 46 for biochemical correlates of SCF (nst=46). The present meta-analysis evaluating genetic determinants indicated statistically significant associations of two SNPs with SCF: nitric oxide synthase 3 (NOS3) gene variations of -849 G>T (rs1799983) and the 4b/a 27 base pair (bp) variable number tandem repeat (VNTR). Both of these SNPs provide dominant as well as allelic genetic models. For rs1799983 (nst=2), the odds ratio (OR) range was 2.11–2.71, with a Z value range of 2.91–3.00 and a p-value range of 0.003–0.004. For 27bp VNTR (nst=2), the OR range was 2.18–2.37, with a Z value range of 3.02–3.10 and p-value range of 0.002–0.003. We also noted possible involvement of some other SNPs which were reported to be associated with SCF in single studies. Our meta-analysis also aimed to assess biochemical correlates of SCF and deduced some noteworthy results. Plasma/serum levels of homocysteine [nst=10, standard mean difference (SMD): 1.45 (95% CI: 0.95–1.95, p<0.00001), endothelin-1 [nst=3, SMD: 2.33 (95%CI: 0.16–4.49), p<0.03] and C-reactive protein [nst=2, SMD: 0.39 (95% CI: 0.06–0.72), p=0.02] were found to be positively correlated with SCF, yielding statistically significant results. On the other hand, plasma levels of nitric oxide [nst=8, SMD: -0.93 (95%CI: -1.59 to -0.28), p=0.005] and folate [nst=3, SMD: -0.62 (95%CI=-0.88 to -0.36), p<0.00001] were found to be significantly, but negatively, correlated with SCF. A few lesser-known biochemical entities reported to be associated with SCF were also identified by single studies.
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Conclusions: The results of this first ever review and meta-analysis on SCF identify its few genetic determinants as well as some biochemical correlates. Implicated biochemical correlates have the potential to be used for early detection of SCF. Published studies evaluating the genetic determinants responsible for this condition, however, are very few and sporadic. Several large and statistically-powered genetic-association studies are required to substantiate our findings.

Introduction

Slow coronary flow (SCF) was first reported in 1972. It is an angiographically observed phenomenon, characterized by slow antegrade progression of radio-opaque contrast agent in human epicardial arteries, without evidence of any significant obstructive coronary artery disease (CAD). SCF has emerged as an important clinical entity, as it can cause precordial pain during exercise or even at rest. The prevalence of SCF, serious enough to create the clinical suspicion of CAD and thus necessitating a diagnostic coronary angiography, is around 7%. SCF is both a rare condition and more likely to be seen in young male smokers who present with recurrent, typical, anginal chest pain. Some cases of sudden cardiac deaths have been attributed to SCF. Diagnosis of SCF may be made during a standard coronary angiography by using the thrombolysis in myocardial infarction-frame count (TIMI-FC) technique; a method first described by Gibson and colleagues in 1996. This proposed process is standard, well-explained and widely used. Coronary flow rates are calculated separately for each major coronary artery of each subject, which is followed by the generation of mean TIMI-FCs for each artery of each subject. As different durations are required for normal visualization of different coronary arteries (due to their differences in lengths), the standard-corrected cut-off values usually used are: (a) 36.2±2.6 frames for the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD); (b) 22.2±4.1 frames for the left circumflex artery (LCX); (c) 20.4±3 frames for the right coronary artery (RCA). The subjects with mean TIMI-FCs greater than 2 standard deviations (SDs) from these cut-offs, for any particular vessel, are considered as SCF patients.

Small vessel disease, endothelial dysfunction, microvascular dysfunction and diffuse intimal thickening have been proposed as important etiological factors for SCF. However, increased coronary microvascular resistance brought about by microvascular endothelial dysfunction coupled with diffused atherosclerosis seems to be the most accepted process underlying the development of SCF. The exact mechanisms leading to the occurrence of coronary microvascular endothelial dysfunction are, however, not completely understood. It is noteworthy though that patients with SCF tend to display impaired flow-mediated dilatation (FMD), which can be studied by a simple, non-invasive method that is otherwise routinely used for assessment of endothelial function.

While the etiopathology of SCF is largely unclear, several research groups have attempted to discover the genetic component and biochemical correlates of this condition. Several small, case-control association studies have implicated some of the common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) residing in a variety of genes as risk factors for SCF. Similarly, several case-control studies have also attempted, succeeding in several instances, to discover some of the biochemical correlates of SCF. Initial review of the literature has shown that these studies are few and sporadic, with relatively modest sample sizes. In any case, consolidation of the generated information will be beneficial.

We, therefore, set out to investigate these datasets as a whole with the objective of determining the genetic component as well as the biochemical correlates of SCF through a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Materials and methods

We strictly adhered to the specific recommendations of the PRISMA statement and followed the specific directions for genetic meta-analyses published in the HuGE Review Handbook, version 1.0, while conducting our present review and meta-analysis.

Database search

We systematically searched the databases of the US National Institutes of Health (PubMed), Embase, Medline, Scopus and Web of Knowledge. All relevant, original, full articles, published online up to 31st December 2016 were considered. Search headings and as open text fields were used to identify specific publications of interest. Databases and the reference lists of the relevant publications were searched using the combination of terms including ‘single nucleotide polymorphism’ OR ‘SNP’ OR ‘polymorphism’ OR ‘genetic variation’ OR ‘mutation’ OR ‘biochemical correlates’ OR ‘biochemical factors’ paired with ‘SCF’ OR ‘slow coronary flow’ OR ‘coronary slow flow’ OR ‘slow flow in coronary arteries’. The search was restricted to articles relating to humans and published in the English language.

The decision to include studies was made sequentially. Initially, study titles were assessed; if they were deemed relevant, then the abstracts were read, which helped to determine relevance for reading of the full-text in order to test their eligibility for inclusion. To be included in our review, the studies were to have assessed the association between a TIMI-FC-defined SCF patients and controls free from SCF. Studies that met all of the following criteria were included in the present review: (1) independent studies using original data, published in English language, in a peer-reviewed journal; (2) unrelated case-control or cohort studies; (3) provision of complete data with genotype frequencies and/or mean levels and the SDs of tested biochemical correlates (or their proxies); (4) SCF diagnosis based on TIMI-FC technique; (5) genotype frequency amongst controls satisfying approximations of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). Departure from HWE amongst controls was checked by goodness-of-fit $x^2$ test. Studies were not included in the present meta-analysis if genotype frequencies amongst controls did not conform to HWE approximations ($p>0.05$). Case reports and the studies not providing adequate information on actual distribution of the tested genetic variant(s) and/or mean and SD level(s) of tested biochemical correlates (or their proxies) amongst both cases and controls were excluded.

Quantitative synthesis was conducted only when there were at least 2 eligible published studies for an SNP or a biochemical factor. Publications that lacked required data were segregated. Formal requests for provision of the relevant data were made via email to the study’s corresponding author. If the relevant data was not made available by the corresponding authors (even after three sub-
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sequent requests), the article in question was excluded. The raw data was extracted on a paper proforma, and was then transcribed onto a Microsoft Excel worksheet, where further calculations (as needed) were performed.

Statistical techniques

All calculations for the present meta-analysis were carried out using the Review Manager (RevMan) computer program (version 5.3; The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2012, Copenhagen). The extracted and tabulated genetic data were further tested by application of dominant, recessive and allelic genetic models, while the data corresponding to biochemical correlates of SCF were extracted and tested using simple case-control comparison.

Owing to inherent low heterogeneity for each selected SNP, an individual study odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI), along with a summary OR and its 95%CI, was calculated for all three genetic models using bivariate-fixed effects for analysis (Mantel-Haenszel method). Individual ORs, with their corresponding 95%CI were used to reveal the association for the studied SNP with SCF in a particular study. Based on the individual ORs, the pooled/summary OR was estimated using the Z test, where a resulting \( p < 0.05 \) indicated statistical significance, and the corresponding Z value indicated the level of association.

All comparisons for biochemical correlates were simple; standard mean difference (SMD) between cases and controls for each study was calculated with the corresponding 95%CI. Results yielding statistical significance were identified, where both the calculated SMD and CI of the study in question were lying completely within either side of the plot and not transversing the central line. In case the calculated CI of a study transversed the central line of the plot, the result was considered as inconclusive. The use of random or fixed effects for analysis was based on the inherent heterogeneity within the study group. Study groups for which Cochrane’s Q statistics \( (P_Q) \) yielded a value of \( \leq 0.01 \) and/or Higgins I\(^2\) statistics \( (I^2) \) yielding a value of \( \geq 50\% \) were identified as heterogeneous, which consequently necessitated the use of a random effects method (i.e. DerSimonian-Laird method) for analysis. Conversely, groups yielding an I\(^2\) value of \(<50\%\) along with a \( P_Q \) of \(>0.01\) were considered homogenous, and a fixed effects method

Fig. 1. Study selection procedure: Genetic component of slow coronary flow (SCF).
was used for analysis.36 Based on the individual SMDs and their 95% CIs, the summary SMD and its 95% CI was estimated using Z test, where the resulting p<0.05 indicated statistical significance, and the corresponding Z value indicated the level of significance of the derived result.

The influence of publication bias represents a grave concern in meta-analyses of non-randomized observational studies. In the present meta-analysis, publication bias assessment was done for groups of ≥3 studies, employing Begg’s funnel plot analysis.38 Each point in such a plot represents the OR/SMD for each included study plotted against standard error (SE) of its OR/SMD. Sensitivity analysis was also performed for groups with ≥5 studies. We excluded one study after another and then repeated the analysis after each omission. This was done to observe if the pooled result altered substantially from the positive significant association to lack of association or the other way around. Absence of such alteration in the results is generally indicative of robustness of the meta-analysis in question.

Results

Records in PubMed, Embase, Medline, Scopus and the Web of Knowledge were thoroughly searched for articles of interest. Figure 1 depicts the study selection procedure of the studies investigating the genetic component of SCF. Amongst the 11 full-text articles assessed for eligibility, 2 were excluded, and 6 reporting 8 different studies supplied data for meta-analysis and 5 articles (including 2 that were already used in our meta-analysis) supplied data from 6 different studies which were used only for the present review. Relevant data extracted from these 14 different studies are displayed in Table 1.10–18 A similar rigorous search was also conducted for identifying studies on biochemical correlates of SCF. Figure 2 depicts the detailed study selection procedure. Amongst the 20 full-text articles assessed for eligibility, 2 were excluded, and 17 articles reporting 33 different studies supplied data for meta-analysis and 5 articles (including 5 that were already used in our meta-analysis) supplied data from 13 different studies which were used only for the review. Relevant data extracted from these 46 different studies are displayed in Table 2.11,16,17,19,34

A total of four polymorphisms were included for quantitative synthesis. Three of them resided in the nitric oxide synthase 3 (NOS3) gene, while one was in the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) gene. The four studies had a total sample size of 1,045 (392 cases and 653 controls), which was assessed by quantitative synthesis. The assessed polymorphisms were: rs1799983 (NOS3 849 G>T; in 2 studies, total sample of 312 (93 cases/219 controls);10,11 rs2070744 (NOS3 786 T>C; in 2 studies, total sample of 174 (89 cases/85 controls));12,13 rs5498, the total sample was 115, including 48 cases and 67 controls, with p=0.042 for the allelic genetic model. For rs5498, the total sample was 115, including 48 cases and 67 controls, with p=0.013 for the allelic genetic model. However, some other SNPs evaluated from the single studies (e.g. rs4762 from the angiotensinogen (AGT) gene, rs1799889 from the plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) gene and rs5186 from the angiotensin II receptor type 1 (AGTR1) gene) were not found to be associated with SCF (p>0.05 for all).13,15,18

Seventeen articles with 33 studies were included in the meta-analysis for the discovery of biochemical correlates for SCF. Serum nitric oxide (NO) was evaluated in 8 studies with a total sample of 625, including 253 cases and 372 controls.11,19-21,27,30,31,33 Homocysteine (Hcy) was evaluated in 10 studies with a total sample of 819, including 448 cases and 371 controls.17,22-26,28,30,32 Folate (in a total sample of 249, including 137 cases and 112 controls), endothelin-1 (ET-1) (in a total sample of 145, including 86 cases and 59 controls), and malondialdehyde (MDA) (in a total sample of 215, including 116 cases and 99 controls) were each evaluated in 3 studies, while C-reactive protein (CRP) (total sample of 148, including 84 cases and 64 controls), fibrinogen (Fib) (total sample of 160, including 94 cases and 66 controls), erythrocyte superoxide dismutase (ESD) (total sample of 152, including 76 cases and 76 controls) and asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) (total sample of 143, including 90 cases and 53 controls) were evaluated in 2 studies each.17,19,20,24,29,33

In our quantitative synthesis (conducted using appropriate effects for analysis), we found several biochemical entities yielding significant correlations with SCF. SCF cases were found to have significantly lower plasma NO concentrations as compared to controls (SMD: ~0.93, 95%CI: ~1.59 to ~0.28, p=0.003, Z=2.79). (Fig. 5A) In contrast, SCF cases appeared to be associated with higher serum concentrations of Hcy as compared to controls (SMD: 1.45, 95%CI: 0.95–1.95, p<0.0001, Z=5.63). (Fig. 5D) SCF cases also appeared to have significantly lower plasma folate concentrations.

DOI: 10.14218/erhm.2016.00010  |  Volume 2 Issue 1, March 2017 15
Table 1. Genetic association studies on slow coronary flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluated Gene</th>
<th>SNP studied</th>
<th>Study, Year</th>
<th>Country, Ancestry</th>
<th>MAF (Cases/Controls)</th>
<th>Total sample size (Cases/Controls)</th>
<th>Genotypic distribution (Cases and Controls)</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOS3</td>
<td>rs1799983 (849 G&gt;T)</td>
<td>Caglayan et al., 2009</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>0.46/0.37</td>
<td>85 (66/19)</td>
<td>GG=17; GT=37; TT=12 in cases AND GG=8; GT=8; TT=3 in controls</td>
<td>No association of rs1799983 with SCF was observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gupta et al., 2014</td>
<td>India; Asian Indian Ancestry</td>
<td>0.30/0.12</td>
<td>227 (27/200)</td>
<td>TT=22; TC=30; CC=4 in cases AND TT=23; TC=11; CC=3 in controls</td>
<td>Strong association was seen for TT genotype and ‘T’ allele resulting due to rs1799983 with SCF. Dominant genetic model comparisons (GT+TT vs. GG) also indicated significant association with SCF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs2070744 (786 T&gt;C)</td>
<td>Nurkalem et al., 2008</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>0.34/0.23</td>
<td>93 (56/37)</td>
<td>TT=22; TC=30; CC=4 in cases AND TT=23; TC=11; CC=3 in controls</td>
<td>Significant association was seen for ‘C’ (major) allele with SCF. Presence of ‘C’ allele in a genotype was identified as a risk factor for SCF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4b/a 27bp VNTR</td>
<td>Ekmekci et al., 2013</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>0.19/0.08</td>
<td>175 (96/79)</td>
<td>b/b=64; b/a=28; a/a=4 in cases AND b/b=67; b/a=12; a/a=0 in controls</td>
<td>Allele ‘a’ and its carriers were both found to be significantly associated with SCF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gupta et al., 2014</td>
<td>India; Asian Indian Ancestry</td>
<td>0.19/0.13</td>
<td>227 (27/200)</td>
<td>b/b=18; b/a=8; a/a=1 in cases AND b/b=157; b/a=34; a/a=9 in controls</td>
<td>Over-dominant genetic model (b/b + a/a vs. b/a) suggested the association of heterozygous genotype ‘b/a’ with SCF. On the other hand both alleles ‘a’ and ‘b’ and genotypes a/a and b/b did not seem to be independently associated with SCF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>rs1799752 (I/D)</td>
<td>Yalcin et al., 2009</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>0.31/0.52</td>
<td>76 (54/22)</td>
<td>DD=27; DI=21; II=6 in cases AND DD=6; DI=9; II=7 in controls</td>
<td>Borderline association for rs1799752 with SCF was seen. Carriers of “D” (major) allele were seen to have higher odds for developing SCF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gazi et al., 2014</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>0.44/0.41</td>
<td>81 (33/48)</td>
<td>DD=11; DI=15; II=7 in cases AND DD=17; DI=23; II=8 in controls</td>
<td>No association of rs1799752 with SCF was observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>rs1800872 (592 A&gt;C)</td>
<td>Shi et al., 2015</td>
<td>China, Asian Ancestry</td>
<td>0.19/0.33</td>
<td>250 (209/41)</td>
<td>AA=209; AC=60; CC=10 in cases AND AA=19; AC=17; CC=5 in controls</td>
<td>A strong association of rs1800872 with SCF was observed. Allele ‘A’ (major allele) itself and its carriers were seen to have higher odds for developing SCF. Conversely; allele ‘C’ (minor allele) and its carriers were observed to carry significantly lower odds for developing SCF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTHFR</td>
<td>rs1801133 (677 C&gt;T)</td>
<td>Tang et al., 2014</td>
<td>China, Asian Ancestry</td>
<td>0.43/0.26</td>
<td>75 (50/25)</td>
<td>CC=15; CT=27; TT=8 in cases AND CC=14; CT=9; TT=2 in controls</td>
<td>A strong association of rs1801133 with SCF was observed. Carriers of “T” (minor) allele were seen to have higher odds for developing SCF.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some other circulating biochemical entities also have been investigated for SCF in the past via single studies. (Table 2) Turhan and colleagues reported statistically higher levels of ICAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and E-selectin in SCF cases as compared to the controls. Uric acid levels were also found to be statistically higher, while IL-10, glutathione, and erythrocyte catalase, levels were found to be statistically lower among the SCF cases as compared to the controls.

As for other factors, like myeloperoxidase, the association remained inconclusive. (SMD: 0.39, 95%CI: 0.06 to 0.72, \(p=0.03\), \(Z=2.11\) for ET-1; SMD: \(-0.62\), 95%CI: \(-0.88\) to \(-0.36\), \(p<0.00001\), \(Z=4.69\), (Fig. 5C)) On the other hand, ET-1 and CRP levels were found to be significantly lower among the SCF cases as compared to the controls. (SMD: \(-0.62\), 95%CI: \(-0.88\) to \(-0.36\), \(p=0.03\), \(Z=2.11\) for ET-1; SMD: \(-0.39\), 95%CI: 0.60 to 0.72, \(p=0.02\), \(Z=2.29\) for CRP). (Fig. 5D–E).

We found a lack of association for MDA, Fibrinogen, ESD and ADMA levels with SCF in the present meta-analysis (\(p>0.05\) for all). (Fig. S3A–D).

Some other circulating biochemical entities also have been investigated for SCF in the past via single studies. (Table 2) Turhan and colleagues reported statistically higher levels of ICAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and E-selectin in SCF cases as compared to the controls. Uric acid levels were also found to be statistically higher, while IL-10, glutathione, and erythrocyte catalase, levels were found to be statistically lower among the SCF cases as compared to the controls. As for other factors, like myeloperoxidase, the association remained inconclusive.

Begg’s funnel plots were used to evaluate the existence of publication bias in groups with \(\geq 3\) studies. Study groups for only two factors, like myeloperoxidase, the association remained inconclusive. (SMD: \(-0.62\), 95%CI: \(-0.88\) to \(-0.36\), \(p<0.00001\), \(Z=4.69\), (Fig. 5C)) On the other hand, ET-1 and CRP levels were found to be significantly lower among the SCF cases as compared to the controls. (SMD: \(-0.62\), 95%CI: \(-0.88\) to \(-0.36\), \(p=0.03\), \(Z=2.11\) for ET-1; SMD: \(-0.39\), 95%CI: 0.60 to 0.72, \(p=0.02\), \(Z=2.29\) for CRP). (Fig. 5D–E).

We found a lack of association for MDA, Fibrinogen, ESD and ADMA levels with SCF in the present meta-analysis (\(p>0.05\) for all). (Fig. S3A–D).

Some other circulating biochemical entities also have been investigated for SCF in the past via single studies. (Table 2) Turhan and colleagues reported statistically higher levels of ICAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and E-selectin in SCF cases as compared to the controls. Uric acid levels were also found to be statistically higher, while IL-10, glutathione, and erythrocyte catalase, levels were found to be statistically lower among the SCF cases as compared to the controls. As for other factors, like myeloperoxidase, the association remained inconclusive.

Begg’s funnel plots were used to evaluate the existence of publication bias in groups with \(\geq 3\) studies. Study groups for only two biochemical correlates (i.e. NO and Hcy) qualified these preset criteria. Figure S4A–B display the plots for study groups evaluating NO and Hcy respectively. Most of the points in both the plots were found to be generally contained within the inverted cone, indicating no significant bias. (Fig. S4A–B) Sensitivity analysis was performed to verify the results of the aforementioned correlates and also attested to the robustness of the pooled results (data not shown).

**Discussion**

The present manuscript is the first of its kind, entailing a meta-analysis and a systematic review of published studies evaluating the genetic component as well as the biochemical correlates of SCF. This present review includes every relevant study published on the subject to date. Genotypic frequencies of controls included in all selected studies, for all included SNPs, satisfied the HWE criteria. Figure S4A–B display the plots for study groups evaluating NO and Hcy respectively. Most of the points in both the plots were found to be generally contained within the inverted cone, indicating no significant bias. (Fig. S4A–B) Sensitivity analysis was performed to verify the results of the aforementioned correlates and also attested to the robustness of the pooled results (data not shown).

**Genetic component of SCF**

The present meta-analysis showed an association of two NOS3 gene polymorphisms (i.e. rs1799883 NOS3 849 G>T, and NOS3 4b/a 27bp VNTR) with SCF via two genetic models each (i.e. dominant and allelic). Recently published meta-analyses suggest undeniable involvements of both these SNPs with CAD as well as myocardial infarction (MI).

The NOS3 849 G>T gene polymorphism was first described in
It results from a naturally occurring point replacement of guanine (G) to thymine (T) at nucleotide 1917 in exon 7 of the NOS3 gene. The consequence of this is a further replacement of glutamic acid by aspartic acid at codon 298, known as Glu298Asp (also commonly referred to as 894 G>T). There has been controversy on the functional status of this SNP. Since this SNP was first described, it has been associated with lower concentrations of intracellular NO by some, while others have found non-association with NO levels.42,43

Regardless of their genetic composition, SCF cases have been shown to have lower levels of plasma NO.30 If this SNP is truly associated with lower intracellular NO production, then further decreased concentrations among SCF cases may accelerate the rate of endothelial dysfunction. Published reports indicate that endothelial dysfunction might be the most prominent etiological factor behind SCF, which probably is the reason why this association is seen in the present meta-analysis.19 Befittingly, in addition to CAD and MI, this SNP has also been associated with coronary artery spasm and hypertension.44,45 Distinct geo-ethnic differences are also seen between the two included studies for the NOS3 894 G>T variant.10,11 Larger studies of diverse populations are warranted to validate/negate the observed association.

Amongst the several VNTRs that have functional significance within the NOS3 gene, the 27bp polymorphic repeat in intron 4, close to the 5’ end of the gene, is certainly one of the most explored in relation to cardiovascular ailments. The resulting, rare 4-repeat

---

Fig. 2. Study selection procedure: Biochemical correlates of slow coronary flow (SCF).
Table 2. Studies on biochemical markers investigated for their association with slow coronary flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, Year</th>
<th>Evaluated marker/s</th>
<th>Country, Ancestry</th>
<th>Total sample size (Cases/Controls)</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Camsar et al., 2003</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide; Endothelin-1</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>45 (25/20)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically lower NO levels at baseline as compared to SCF free control group (27±5.1 vs. 31.2±4.9 µmol/L respectively, P=0.0001). NO levels increased in both the groups after strenuous exercise, similar significant differences between the groups still existed after exercise (29.4±5.9 vs. 33.3±5.6 µmol/L respectively, P=0.0001). On the other hand, higher endothelin-1 levels at baseline were seen in the SCF group as compared to SCF free control group (11.1±5.9 vs 7.0±4.5 pg/ml respectively, P=0.0001). Endothelin-1 levels increased in both the groups after strenuous exercise, similar significant differences still existed after exercise (20.1±10.4 vs. 6.2±4.3 pg/ml respectively, P&lt;0.0001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pekdemir et al., 2004</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide; Endothelin-1</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>44 (25/19)</td>
<td>Exercise negative SCF group (n=25) had lower NO levels as compared to SCF free control group. This difference, although not was statistically significant (32.8±22.7 vs. 42.5±15.9 µmol/L respectively, P=NS). However, exercise positive SCF group (n=8) had statistically significantly lower NO levels as compared to SCF free control group (23.4±13.5 vs. 42.5±15.9 µmol/L respectively, P&lt;0.05). On the other hand, exercise negative SCF group (n=25) had statistically higher endothelin-1 levels as compared to SCF free control group (15.9±10.6 vs. 6.0±5.7 pg/ml respectively, P&lt;0.0001). Exercise positive SCF group (n=8) also had statistically higher endothelin-1 levels as compared to SCF free controls (28.7±17.4 vs. 6.0±5.7 µmol/L respectively, P&lt;0.0001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sezgin et al., 2005</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>70 (36/34)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically lower NO levels as compared to SCF free control group (18.4±4.4 vs. 25.2±6.3 µmol/L respectively, P&lt;0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barutcu et al., 2005</td>
<td>Homocysteine</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>69 (39/30)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher Hcy levels as compared to SCF free control group (14.1±2.2 vs. 5.5±1.3 µmol/L respectively, P&lt;0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riza Erbay et al., 2005</td>
<td>Homocysteine</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>103 (53/50)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher Hcy levels as compared to SCF free control group (15.5±5.7 vs. 8.6±4.2 µmol/L respectively, P&lt;0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanriverdi et al., 2006(a)</td>
<td>Homocysteine; Folate</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>88 (44/44)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher Hcy levels as compared to SCF free control group (12.4±4.9 vs. 8.5±2.8 µmol/L respectively, P=0.0001). On the other hand, SCF group had statistically lower folate levels as compared to SCF free controls (13.8±4.4 vs. 16.5±5.6 mg/L respectively, P=0.014).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turhan et al., 2006</td>
<td>ICAM; VCAM; E selectin</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>37 (17/20)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher ICAM, VCAM and E selectin levels as compared to SCF free control group (ICAM-1: 545±198 vs. 242±113 ng/mL respectively, p &lt; 0.001; VCAM-1: 2040±634 vs. 918±336 ng/mL respectively, p &lt; 0.001; E-selectin: 67.49 vs. 52±8 ng/ml respectively, p &lt; 0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evrengul et al., 2007</td>
<td>Homocysteine; Folate; Vitamin B12</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>86 (43/43)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher Hcy levels as compared to SCF free control group (13.4 ± 5.6 vs. 7.9 ± 2.5 µmol/L respectively, P=0.0001). On the other hand, SCF group had statistically lower folate levels as compared to SCF free control group (13.2±4.3 vs. 17.1±5.2 ng/mL respectively, P=0.0001). Vitamin B12 levels were found to be comparable between the two groups (873±96.5 vs. 290±72.8 µg/mL respectively, P=NS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanriverdi et al., 2007(b)</td>
<td>Homocysteine; MDA; ESD; Glutathione</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>88 (44/44)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher Hcy, MDA and ESD levels as compared to SCF free control group (Hcy: 12.2±4.9 vs. 8.5±2.9 µmol/L respectively, P=0.0001; MDA: 1.65±1.4 vs. 1.15±0.5 mmol/mL respectively, P=0.036; ESD: 4,249.6±987.1 vs. 2,560.3±765.3 U/gHb respectively, P=0.002). On the other hand, SCF cases had statistically lower glutathione levels as compared to SCF free control group (7.27±0.5 vs. 8.74±1.3 µmol/gHb respectively, P=0.029).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akcay et al., 2010</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide; MDA; ESD; Erythrocyte catalase</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>64 (32/32)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically lower NO, ESD and Erythrocyte catalase levels at baseline as compared to SCF free control group (NO: 4.1±1.6 vs. 6.1±2.9 µmol/L respectively, P&lt;0.001; ESD: 1133.0±415.3 vs. 1647.5±303.4 U/gHb respectively, P=0.0001; Erythrocyte catalase: 5.3±1.9 vs. 7.3±2.6 U/gHb respectively, P=0.001). On the other hand, SCF group had statistically higher MDA levels at baseline as compared to SCF free control group (3.3±1.6 vs. 2.0±0.6 mmol/mL respectively, P=0.0001).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. Studies on biochemical markers investigated for their association with slow coronary flow – (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, Year</th>
<th>Evaluated marker/s</th>
<th>Country, Ancestry</th>
<th>Total sample size (Cases/ Controls)</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kopetz et al., 201228</td>
<td>Homocysteine; MDA; CRP; Myeloperoxidase; ADMA; SDMA; Arginine</td>
<td>Australia, European Ancestry</td>
<td>63 (40/23)</td>
<td>SCF group showed trends of higher Hcy and CRP levels as compared to SCF free control group. The comparisons however did not reach statistical significance [Hcy: 9.2±0.39 (SEM) vs. 8.3±0.34 (SEM) µM respectively, P=NS; CRP: 3.23±0.59 (SEM) vs. 2.63±0.77 (SEM) mg/L respectively, P=NS]. On the other hand, SCF group showed trends of lower Myeloperoxidase and Arginine levels at baseline as compared to SCF free control group. Here too, the comparisons did not reach statistical significance [Myeloperoxidase: 16.9±2.3 (SEM) vs. 24.9±4.8 (SEM) ng/mL respectively, P=NS; Arginine: 99.8±4.2 (SEM) vs. 108.7±5.5 (SEM) µM respectively, P=NS]. While MDA, ADMA and SDMA levels were seen to be comparable amongst SCF and control groups [MDA: 0.19±0.05 (SEM) ng/mL respectively, P=NS; ADMA: 0.57±0.01 (SEM) vs. 0.59±0.01 (SEM) µM respectively, P=NS; SDMA: 0.55±0.01 (SEM) vs. 0.53±0.01 (SEM) µM respectively, P=NS].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoon et al., 201229</td>
<td>Homocysteine; CRP; Fibrinogen</td>
<td>Korea, Asian Ancestry</td>
<td>85 (44/41)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher Hcy levels as compared to SCF free control group (9.30±4.89 vs. 7.34±2.44 µmol/L respectively, P=0.031). On the other hand, SCF group showed trends of higher CRP and fibrinogen levels as compared to SCF free control group. The comparisons however did not reach statistical significance [CRP: 0.57±1.01 vs. 0.61±0.18 mg/dL respectively, P=NS; Fibrinogen:277.49±83.18 vs. 258.22±59.12 µg/mL respectively, P=NS].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yucel et al., 201230</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide; Homocysteine; ADMA</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>80 (50/30)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically lower NO levels as compared to SCF free control group (11.4±6 vs. 16.1±9 µmol/L respectively, P=0.02). On the other hand, SCF group had statistically higher Hcy and ADMA levels as compared to SCF free control group [Hcy: 12.4±5 vs. 9.8±2 µmol/L respectively, P=0.03; ADMA: 0.90±0.3, 0.7±0.3 µM respectively, P=0.01].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasolar et al., 201331</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>39 (22/17)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically lower NO levels at baseline as compared to SCF free control group (32.58±21.36 vs. 48.16±24.35 µg/mL respectively). NO levels increased in both the groups after exercise (25.02±17.69 vs. 44.13±17.39 µmol/L respectively).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yurtadas et al., 201332</td>
<td>Homocysteine</td>
<td>Turkey, Middle Eastern Ancestry</td>
<td>82 (41/41)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher Hcy levels as compared to SCF free control group (14.9±4.0 vs. 6.5±1.8 µmol/L respectively, P=0.0001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gupta et al., 201433</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide</td>
<td>India, Asian Indian Ancestry</td>
<td>227 (27/200)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher NO levels as compared to SCF free control group (144.51±43.25 vs. 129.64±29.47 µmol/L respectively, P=0.022).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang et al., 201434</td>
<td>Homocysteine; Folate; Fibrinogen; Uric acid</td>
<td>China, Asian Ancestry</td>
<td>75 (50/25)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically higher Hcy and uric acid levels as compared to SCF free control group [Hcy: 13.30±5.1 vs. 9.95±3.55 µmol/L respectively, P&lt;0.01; Uric acid: 321.76±81.92 vs. 275.40±58.85 µmol/L respectively, P=0.02]. On the other hand, SCF group had statistically lower folate levels as compared to SCF free control group (12.59±2.75 vs. 14.11±3.39 ng/mL respectively, P&lt;0.05). While, SCF group showed trends of higher fibrinogen levels as compared to SCF free control group. The comparison however did not reach statistical significance (3.44±0.99 vs. 3.23±0.54 g/L respectively, P=NS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al., 201535</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide; Endothelin-1</td>
<td>China, Asian Ancestry</td>
<td>56 (36/20)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically lower NO levels as compared to SCF free control group (23.96±5.51 vs. 39.63±3.82 µmol/L respectively, P=0.001). On the other hand, SCF group had statistically higher endothelin-1 levels at baseline as compared to SCF free control group (12.49±1.43 vs. 4.91±1.36 pg/mL respectively, P&lt;0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi et al., 201536</td>
<td>Inteleukin-10</td>
<td>China, Asian Ancestry</td>
<td>250 (41/209)</td>
<td>SCF group had statistically lower interleukin-10 levels as compared to SCF free control group (1.89±0.18 vs. 2.01±0.22 ng/L respectively, P=0.001).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCF: Slow coronary flow; NO: Nitric Oxide; Hcy: Homocysteine; MDA: Malondialdehyde; CRP: C-reactive protein; ESD: Erythrocyte superoxide dismutase; ADMA: Asymmetric dimethylarginine; SDMA: Symmetric dimethylarginine; ICAM-1: Intracellular adhesion molecule-1; VCAM-1: Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1; NS: Non significant; SEM: Standard error of mean.

SCF assessment tool used in all above included studies was thrombolysis in myocardial infarction-frame count (TIMI-FC).
Fig. 3. Forest plots depicting meta-analysis results for NOS3 849 G>T polymorphism (rs1799983) and its association with slow coronary flow (SCF). A: Effect size estimation using dominant genetic model (TT+GT vs. GG); B: Effect size estimation using recessive genetic model (TT vs. GT+GG); C: Effect size estimation using allelic genetic model (allele T vs. allele G). Quantitative synthesis for all three genetic models was done using fixed effects for analysis.

Fig. 4. Forest plots depicting meta-analysis results for NOS3 4b/a 27bp VNTR polymorphism and its association with slow coronary flow (SCF). A: Effect size estimation using dominant genetic model (a/a + a/b vs. b/b); B: Effect size estimation using recessive genetic model (a/a vs. a/b + b/b); C: Effect size estimation using allelic genetic model (allele a vs. allele b). Quantitative synthesis for all three genetic models was done using fixed effects for analysis.
Fig. 5. Forest plots depicting meta-analysis results for possible biochemical correlates of slow coronary flow (SCF). A: Effect size estimated for serum nitric oxide (NO) levels as a correlate for SCF (NO values in µmol/L); B: Effect size estimated for serum homocysteine (Hcy) levels as a correlate for SCF (Hcy values in µmol/L); C: Effect size estimated for serum folate levels as a correlate for SCF (folate values in ng/mL); D: Effect size estimated for serum endothelin-1 (ET-1) levels as a correlate for SCF (ET-1 values in pg/mL); E: Effect size estimated for serum C-reactive protein (CRP) levels as a correlate for SCF (CRP values in mg/L).
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Biochemical correlates of SCF

We deduced a few strong biochemical correlates of SCF, with the present meta-analysis and review displaying a correlation that was either positive (Hcy, ET-1, ICAM, VCAM, E-selectin and uric acid) or a negative (NO, folate, glutathione, erythrocyte catalase and IL-10). (Fig. 5A–D and Table 2)

The underlying mechanisms of Hcy and NO leading to impaired FMD and promoting the SFC phenomenon are interlinked. Elevated levels of Hcy has been associated with impaired flow-mediated endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in children, as well as in adults.66,67 The process of damage in endothelium in cases with hyperhomocysteinemia has been explained as a result of impairment of the basal production of NO brought about by the emergence of biochemically active hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), superoxide anion (O₂⁻) and hydroxyl radical (HO·).68 In summary, chronic high levels of Hcy result in oxidative stress caused by-de-
Arteries). Elevated plasma levels of Hcy in hyperhomocysteinemic individuals may thus lead to impaired FMD, which is a common phenomenon seen among SCF cases. The present meta-analysis therefore, befittingly shows this positive correlation between Hcy and SCF. (Fig. 5B)

Lower plasma NO levels and its reduced bioactivity have already been shown to be independent risk factors for endothelial dysfunction and also to be associated with SCF. Our meta-analysis results attest to the role of low plasma NO concentrations in the pathogenesis of SCF. (Fig. 5A)

Scientific evidence also points towards a strong association between hyperhomocystenemia and inadequate vitamin intake leading to lower plasma concentrations of vitamins, as is especially seen with vitamin B12 and folate levels. All three included studies reporting differences in folate levels between the SCF and control groups thus displayed such results (i.e. lower folate levels among the SCF cases as compared to the controls). This correlation was also attested to by the present meta-analysis. (Fig. 5C)

ET-1 is a vasoconstrictor peptide, known to increase coronary vascular resistance, which in turn contributes to progression of atherosclerosis. Plasma concentration of ET-1 is also increased in the event of an endothelial injury and among syndrome X patients (patients having chest pain but angiographically patent coronary arteries). Befittingly, higher ET-1 levels have been consistently shown to be associated with SCF in several published studies, as well as in the present meta-analysis, (Fig. 5D)

Other biomarkers like ICAM, VCAM, E-selectin and uric acid have been shown to be positively correlated, while glutathione, erythrocyte catalase and IL-10 have been shown to be negatively correlated with SCF through various single published studies, with modest sample sizes. (Table 2) More studies, with larger sample sizes are warranted, however, to definitively assess the role of these correlates in the pathophysiology of SCF.

Considering the data published on the subject so far, it would be fair to say that SCF may very well have a genetic component as well as several biochemical correlates. However, it can be argued that SCF is a multifactorial disorder and that the role of other previously described etiological factors like small vessel diameter, presence of some degree of coronary microvascular endothelial dysfunction (possibly brought about by these biochemical correlates) and diffused stenosis can prove substantial in the development of SCF. Genetic composition of an individual only seems to an additional factor for increasing the odds of developing the disease. The relationship of SCF with several of its biochemical correlates seems not so straightforward. Further studies are warranted to evaluate each and discern if any individual biochemical entity is indeed a precursor for SCF or is differentially released as a consequence of SCF.

It is interesting that most of the published studies on the subject have originated from either Asian/Asian-Indian cohorts, who are known to have smaller vessel diameter, or cohorts of Middle Eastern ethnicity (specifically of Turkish descent). This profile may be due to the restricted marriage traditions of these ethnic groups, specifically endogamy (the custom of marrying only within the limits of a local community, clan, or tribe) and/or (cousin marriages), both of which are known to exacerbate the effect of genetically transmitted disorders.

Most of the statistical methods used for the evaluation of existing publication bias are sensitive to heterogeneity, however the Begg’s funnel plot is one of the most widely used tools. We found no evidence of significant publication bias in our tested groups; yet, its presence can never be fully ruled out, at least not while dealing with non-randomized observational studies. Our sensitivity analysis, however, indicated that our results obtained for NO and Hcy were robust.

Limitations

Primarily, the lack of studies limited our statistical approach for the present meta-analysis in several ways. (1) Since, all the groups constructed for polymorphic variants had only 2 studies each (and some biochemical correlates like Fib, ESD and ADMA), we had to use a fixed effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method) for OR calculation. As such, we did not have the choice to use either a random (DerSimonian-Laird method) or fixed effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method), which otherwise would have been a decision based on the inherent heterogeneity of the group (please see the process described in Materials and Methods section). Since, all the groups constructed for polymorphic variants had only 2 studies each, the results of the Q test were unable to guide our approach. We, therefore, had to use a fixed effects model in these groups, which may have not been the ideal approach. (2) Presence of publication bias among the study groups is best estimated by an Egger’s funnel test. These estimates are usually calculated in study groups with ≥3 studies. Since we had only two such groups, with ≥3 studies (this was only done for the biochemical correlates NO and Hcy), the publication bias assessment could not be done for all other groups. (3) Lack of sufficient studies in these groups also prevented us from performing sensitivity analysis (this was only done for the biochemical correlates NO and Hcy).

Conclusions

The results of the present meta-analysis and review suggest the presence of a strong genetic component for SCF. So far, several SNPs residing in several genes seem to be associated with SCF (notably rs1799983, NO33–4b/a 27bp VNTR, rs1800872, rs1801133 and rs5498). We also noted the presence of several positively associated biochemical correlates (notably Hcy, ET-1 and CRP) as well as negatively associated biochemical correlates of SCF (notably NO and folate). Published studies on this subject are, however, few, small and sporadic; therefore, several large and statistically-powered studies are warranted to substantiate our findings. Our presented results, nonetheless, could be assessed for their clinical implication, especially with respect to screening and early detection of SCF.
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