**Supplementary** **File 3. Development of algorithm.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Software used*: SPSS statistics (version 27); Microsoft Excel and Microsoft Solver Excel plug-in.  **Method**   1. 2020 data set (*n* = 273) screened for outliers. Excluded data:  * ALT >221 UL/L (*n* = 3) * HbA1c >140 mmol/mol (*n* = 1) * Total for algorithm training data ***n* = 269** | |
| 1. A ‘fitting the risk model’ was adopted for this algorithm. 2. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to find the predicted probability and group membership of the three predictor variables: ALT, BMI, and HbA1c. The dependent variable used was ≥ F2 (≥ 8.2 kPa). | |
| 1. The regression analysis output was plotted on a graph (**Fig. 1**). 2. The aim was to develop an equation that replicated the regression analysis on the graph, using ALT, BMI, and HbA1c. 3. We arrived at the following equation:   ((patient ALT score – ALT y-intercept)\*ALT multiplierΦ)+ ((patient BMI score – BMI y-intercept)\* BMI multiplierΦ)+ ((patient HbA1c score – HbA1c y-intercept)\*HbA1c multiplierΦ)  Φmultiplier comes from Excel Solver analysis of the training data set.   1. The y-intercept of the best fit lines from the training data: ALT, BMI, and HbA1c was calculated (**Fig. 2**). 2. Excel Solver computed the multiplier for each of the three variables. 3. The missing values were added to the algorithm: ((patient ALT score – 28.826)\*0.002638)+ ((patient BMI score – 23.291)\* 0.02152)+((patient HbA1c score – 28.462)\*0.009975). 4. The algorithm was applied to the complete training data set (*n* = 269). 5. The results of the algorithm were plotted on the graph (**Fig. 1)** for comparison with the logistic regression output. | **Fig. 1.** Comparison of the predicted probability of group membership for ≥ F2 using the calculated regression analysis and the ALBA algorithm    **Fig. 2.** y-intercept of the best fits lines for ALT, BMI, and HbA1c   |  |  | | --- | --- | |  |  | |  | |

|  |
| --- |
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